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MINUTES
of the PROCEEDINGS
of the
FORTY-FIFTH ANNUAL MEETING
Held in
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE
OCTOBER 29, 30 and 31, 1934

Office of the Secretary
5 South Wabash Avenue
Chicago, Illinois
FIRST DAY
Monday, October 29

The first session of the fifty-fifth annual meeting of the Association was held in Memorial Hall, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee.

The delegates were called to order by the president, Dr. Ross V. Patterson, at 9:30 A. M.

Announcements as to the local arrangements were made by Dr. W. S. Leathers, dean Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, and by Dr. Beverly Douglas, associate dean, and by Dr. J. J. Mullowney, president of Meharry Medical College.

The regular program was then taken up.

The first paper on the program, entitled “Plea for Closer Cooperation Between the Boards of Licensure and the Association of American Medical Colleges” was read by Dr. H. M. Platter, secretary of the Ohio State Medical Board.

The discussion was opened by Dr. I. D. Metzger, president Pennsylvania State Board of Medical Education and Licensure.

The discussion was continued by Dr. Harold Rypins and Dr. Alphonse M. Schwitalla. It was closed by Dr. Platter.

Dr. Ray Lyman Wilbur, Chairman of the Council on Medical Education and Hospitals of the American Medical Association, followed with a paper entitled “Inspection of Medical Schools and Survey of Medical Education.”

The paper was discussed by Drs. Thomas Ordway, William Pepper, and, in closing, by Dr. Wilbur.

“Clinical Clerkships for Juniors” was the title of the next paper, read by Dr. C. W. M. Poynter, dean University of Nebraska College of Medicine.

The paper was discussed by Dr. Leathers and, in closing, by Dr. Poynter.

Dr. C. C. Macklin, University of Western Ontario Faculty of Medicine, followed with a paper entitled “Relationship of Histology to Pathology.”

Dr. Otto F. Kampmeier, University of Illinois College of Medicine, read a paper entitled “On the Pedagogic Integration of the Divisions of Anatomy.”

These two papers were discussed by Drs. Harvey S. Thatcher, E. S. Ryerson, E. J. Carey, E. P. Lyon, B. C. H. Harvey, W. McKim Marriott and, in closing, by Drs. Macklin and Kampmeier.

The Secretary read a proposed amendment to the by-laws, Section 5, the curriculum, offered by the Committee on Educational Policies which was to be acted on at the executive session to be held on the following day, thus giving the required twenty-four hours notice, in writing, of an amendment to the by-laws.

The session adjourned at 1 P. M. to meet again at 9:30 A. M. Tuesday, October 30.

The afternoon of Monday was devoted to visiting the School of Medicine of Vanderbilt University in accordance with the program provided by the Local Committee.

The delegates and others met at dinner in the Andrew Jackson Hotel at 7 P. M. Several hundred persons were present. Dr. W. S. Leathers officiated as toastmaster.
Addresses were delivered by the president of the Association, Dr. Patterson, and the chancellor of Vanderbilt University, Dr. J. H. Kirkland.

SECOND DAY
Tuesday, October 30

The delegates convened in Memorial Hall, Vanderbilt University, and were called to order by President Patterson at 9:30 A. M.

Further announcements as to local arrangements were made by Drs. Leathers, Douglas and Mullowney.

At this juncture, the Chair announced the appointment of the following Nominating Committee: Drs. Adam M. Miller, A. C. Bachmeyer and R. H. Oppenheimer.

Dr. Patterson requested that the vice president, Dr. C. W. M. Poynter, assume the Chair, which Dr. Poynter did.

The first order of business was the report of the Committee on Aptitude Test. The report was presented by Dr. F. A. Moss, secretary of the Committee.

The discussion of the report was opened by Dr. Torald Sollmann and continued by Drs. W. C. MacTavish, S. I. Kornhauser and, in closing, by Dr. Moss.

"Effect of the Depression on Medical Students of the Negro Group and on Internships Available" was the title of a paper presented by Dr. J. J. Mullowney, president Meharry Medical College.

The paper was discussed by Drs. N. P. G. Adams, A. M. Schwitalla, T. Sollmann, J. N. Baker and, in closing, by Dr. Mullowney.

The following symposium by members of the faculty of Vanderbilt University School of Medicine was presented:

"Methods and Objectives in the Organization of the Outpatient Department of a Teaching Hospital," by Drs. C. Sidney Burwell and John B. Youmans.

"Coordination of the Fundamental Sciences and Clinical Branches in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Disease," by Dr. Barney Brooks.

"Correlation of Departmental Activities in the Instruction of Medical Students," by Dr. W. S. Leathers.

"Advantages Gained from the Cooperation of Several Departments in Research Programs," by Dr. R. S. Cunningham.

The discussion of this symposium was participated in by Drs. John Wyckoff, C. D. Leake and Dr. Burwell. By request of many of the delegates the courtesy of the floor was extended to Miss Shirley Titus, dean of the School of Nursing of Vanderbilt University.

Further announcements as to the afternoon program were made by Dr. Douglas and the meeting then adjourned at 1 P. M.

The afternoon was spent in making a most delightful trip by automobiles to many points of interest, including the home of a former president of the United States, Mr. Polk, and a number of beautiful southern homes built more than one hundred years ago.

Others visited The Hermitage, home of former President of the United States, Andrew Jackson, the Parthenon and other points of interest in Nashville.
EXECUTIVE SESSION  
Tuesday, October 30

The delegates convened in executive session at the University Club, Nashville, and were called to order at 9 P. M. by the president, Dr. Ross V. Patterson.

ROLL CALL

The first order of business was the roll call. The secretary announced that 69 of the 80 colleges in membership in the Association were represented by one or more delegates, as follows:

University of Alabama School of Medicine.—Stuart Graves.
Stanford University School of Medicine.—Ray Lyman Wilbur; Loren P. Chandler.
University of California Medical School.—C. D. Leake.
College of Medical Evangelists.—Percy T. Magan.
University of Toronto Faculty of Medicine.—E. S. Ryerson.
University of Colorado School of Medicine.—Maurice H. Rees; Robert C. Lewis.
Georgetown University School of Medicine.—David McCauley; Wm. Gerry Morgan.
Howard University School of Medicine.—Numa P. G. Adams.
Emory University School of Medicine.—R. H. Oppenheimer; Paul E. Lineback.
University of Georgia School of Medicine.—Philip Weltner; G. Lombard Kelly; V. P. Sydenstricker.
Loyola University School of Medicine.—T. H. Ahearn; L. D. Moorhead.
Northwestern University Medical School.—J. Roscoe Miller.
University of Chicago Medical Schools.—B. C. H. Harvey.
University of Illinois College of Medicine.—Otto F. Kampmeier.
Indiana University School of Medicine.—Burton D. Myers.
State University of Iowa College of Medicine.—John T. McClintock; E. D. Plass.
University of Kansas School of Medicine.—H. R. Wahl; C. F. Nelson.
University of Louisville School of Medicine.—John Walker Moore; S. I. Kornhauser; A. J. Miller.
Tulane University of Louisiana School of Medicine.—C. C. Bass.
Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine.—Alan M. Chesney.
University of Maryland School of Medicine.—J. M. H. Rowland.
Boston University School of Medicine.—A. S. Begg.
Harvard University Medical School.—Frank R. Ober; Leroy E. Parkins.
Tufts College Medical School.—A. W. Stearns.
University of Michigan Medical School.—F. G. Novy.
University of Minnesota Medical School.—E. P. Lyon.
University of Minnesota Graduate School (Mayo Foundation).—Louis B. Wilson.
University of Mississippi School of Medicine.—P. L. Mull.
St. Louis University School of Medicine.—A. M. Schmitalla; C. H. Nielson; W. D. Collier; A. H. Kerper.
University of Missouri School of Medicine.—Dudley S. Conley.
Washington University School of Medicine.—W. McKim Marriott.
Creighton University School of Medicine.—B. M. Riley.
University of Nebraska College of Medicine.—C. W. M. Poynter.
Dartmouth Medical School.—Rolf C. Syvertsen.
Albany Medical College.—Thomas Ordway; Harold Rypins.
Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons.—Edw. Cathcart.

Columbia University, New York Post Graduate Medical School.—R. B. Allen.

Long Island College of Medicine.—Frank L. Babbott, Jr.; Adam M. Miller.

New York Homeopathic Medical College.—Claude A. Burrett; J. T. Simonson.

Syracuse University College of Medicine.—H. G. Weiskotten.

New York University, University and Bellevue Hospital Medical College.—John Wyckoff; Currier McEwen.

University of Buffalo School of Medicine.—E. W. Koch.

Duke University School of Medicine.—W. C. Davison.

University of North Carolina School of Medicine.—Chas. S. Mangum.

Wake Forest College School of Medicine.—Thurman D. Kitchin.

Ohio State University College of Medicine.—J. H. J. Upham.

University of Cincinnati College of Medicine.—Albert Friedlander; A. C. Bachmeyer.

Western Reserve University School of Medicine.—Torald Sollmann.

Hahnemann Medical College.—W. A. Pearson.

Jefferson Medical College.—Ross V. Patterson.

University of Pennsylvania, School of Medicine and Graduate School of Medicine.

—Wm. Pepper.

University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine.—R. R. Huggins; W. S. McEllroy.

Women's Medical College of Pennsylvania.—Martha Tracy.

Medical College of the State of South Carolina.—Robert Wilson.

Meharry Medical College.—J. J. Mullowney.

University of Tennessee College of Medicine.—O. W. Hyman.

Vanderbilt University School of Medicine.—W. S. Leathers; Beverly Douglas.

Baylor University College of Medicine.—B. F. Hambleton.

University of Texas School of Medicine.—Geo. E. Bethel.

University of Utah School of Medicine.—L. L. Daines.

University of Vermont School of Medicine.—J. N. Jenne.

Medical College of Virginia.—W. T. Sanger; Lee E. Sutton, Jr.; I. A. Biggar.

University of Virginia Department of Medicine.—J. C. Flippin.

University of West Virginia School of Medicine.—J. N. Simpson; Orville R. Kelley.

Marquette University School of Medicine.—A. H. Berens; Eben J. Carey.

University of Wisconsin Medical School.—C. R. Bardeen.

OTHERS PRESENT

The secretary reported that the following registrations were made by representatives from other interested groups, state boards of licensure, etc.:

William D. Cutter, Council on Medical Education and Hospitals of the American Medical Association; Lester J. Evans, Commonwealth Fund; J. S. Rodman and E. S. Elwood, National Board of Medical Examiners; Paul S. McKibben, University of Southern California; W. C. MacTavish, Washington Square College of New York University; C. C. Macklin, University of Western Ontario; G. Henry Mundt, Chicago Medical School; Byron H. Bellans and Chas. W. Beaman, Eclectic Medical College; James M. Smith, Rigney D'Aunoy, B. I. Burns and R. H. Kampmeier, Louisiana State University Medical Center; Harvey S. Thatcher, University of Arkansas School of Medicine; H. M. Platter,
Ohio State Medical Board; I. D. Metzger, Pennsylvania Board of Medical Licensure; J. N. Baker, Alabama State Board of Health; Franklin G. Ebaugh, National Committee for Mental Hygiene; W. L. Saunders and W. D. Wilcox, Philadelphia.

MINUTES OF 1933 MEETING

The secretary submitted the minutes of the 1933 meeting as printed, stating that they had been sent to each member college.

There being no objection, the minutes as printed were approved.

Report of Secretary

The Secretary presented the following report:

MEMBERSHIP

The membership remains unchanged. Eighty medical schools are in membership. One of these schools is a graduate school; one is a graduate and postgraduate school; one is a postgraduate school. All of these schools are parts of university system including undergraduate medical schools. Three of the member schools are situated in Canada.

APPLICATION FOR MEMBERSHIP

One application for membership has been received during the past year—from the School of Medicine of the University of Southern California.

HEADQUARTERS

The office of the Association has been enlarged considerably to meet current needs, and new equipment has been purchased to carry on the work authorized by the Executive Council and the Association.

A great deal of valuable information is on file in the office. It is available at all times to any member college or organizations interested in or concerned with medical education. Nowhere else can this information be secured. It is original and without a duplicate. Most of it is recorded on cards, thus making it readily available.

FELLOWSHIP PAMPHLET

At the 1933 meeting of the Association, a revision of the pamphlet first published in 1930 listing opportunities for further study and research on the part of graduates in medicine was authorized. The Rockefeller Foundation again graciously agreed to defray the cost of publication. A copy of this pamphlet was sent to every person, library, institution or organization listed on the mailing list of the JOURNAL of the Association and 2,000 copies were sent to the Rockefeller Foundation, whence they may obtained on request and free of charge.

STUDENT REGISTER

On authorization of the Executive Council a register of all medical students is now in progress of making. Each student is represented by a card. On this card are listed data as to preliminary education, application record, aptitude test and scholastic accomplishment in medical school. Data on graduation, internship and licensure will be added later.
The register starts with the freshman class of 1932. It differs from other files of this sort in that it is an educational record, giving details which will prove of special value in checking up on transferring students who have been dropped or who have failed and who do not always give an honest report when making a transfer. The register will show not only that the student has previously attended a medical school but also, in detail, what his record was. This information is not obtainable elsewhere.

JOURNAL

Volume 9 of the JOURNAL is nearing completion. Two thousand copies are printed for each issue. About 1,600 copies are sent to individuals whose names have been submitted by the colleges in membership. About 300 copies are sent to libraries, institutions, organizations and individuals interested in or concerned with medical education and to advertisers. There are, in addition, a few subscribers, about 25 in number.

The mailing list is kept up to date all the time by taking note of changes as published in various journals and bulletins. Biennially, a complete revision is made by submitting to each member college its mailing list. The cost of revision is considerable, therefore, a complete revision is not made more often. By order of the Executive Council each member college is entitled to submit 25 names for listing.

Together with all other publications, the JOURNAL suffered a considerable loss in advertising in the past three years, but the income from this source has slowly been increased so that the actual cost of the JOURNAL to the Association is lessening year by year. The actual cost has never, however, approached the appropriation made by the Association when the JOURNAL was established in 1925.

STUDY OF STUDENT ACCOMPLISHMENT

This study was begun in 1928. Its purpose was to furnish information to the arts colleges whence came medical students of the accomplishment of these students during their first year in the medical school. At first this information was sent to colleges making a request for it. Last year, the Association authorized that the information be sent to all arts colleges—about 600 in number. The information was sent out and the response from the colleges was a most satisfactory one. While some medical schools had been sending the information to the colleges from which their freshmen students came, the colleges had never, until then, received a complete report covering all the medical schools.

Almost invariably the colleges requested that the standing of the student in his class would add much to the value of the report. Therefore, this information has been asked for this year from the medical schools, both as to the freshmen and the sophomore students. The request from the arts colleges for a report on all four years of the medical course was a universal one.

To supplement the information now on file for each student, it is planned to ask each arts college on the list to send in a report on the standing of their students while in college. This information will be correlated with his work in the medical school.

A correlation is also being made of the student's accomplishment in medical
school and his aptitude test rating, if he took the test. The data on this study will also be included in the published report.

Since 1929, the second year of this study, a complete report on each arts college has been sent to the dean of each medical school in membership in the Association and the five colleges not in membership, but who cooperate in the study by reporting their students. Thus, it is possible to see at a glance, year by year, the record for each arts college.

On file in the office is a card for each arts college giving the name of the student, his premedical preparation, his rating in the aptitude test, medical school attended and his record for that year.

The study includes data on women students and on repeaters. Unfortunately, the reporting colleges do not always report all the repeaters. Perhaps, they do not always know whether a student is a repeater. The data on file in the office give this information. It will be supplied on request. In several such instances these files have proven their worth in this respect in cases where the student had failed to report himself as a repeater although he had failed in some medical school previously.

The time did not permit the completion of the report for the 1933 freshmen. However, some of the essential data are the following: The total number of freshmen reported on was 6,598, the largest freshman class for all time. The accomplishment of these students was somewhat better than that of the 1932 class. It gave about 3 per cent more clear records; 3 per cent fewer incumbrances, but only 0.1 per cent fewer out than in 1932. This percentage has for many years remained approximately the same—14.0 per cent.

Fewer arts colleges (598) sent students to medical schools than in the previous 3 years. Each year since this study has been made about 22 per cent of these schools are not approved. The accomplishment of the students coming from these schools is on the whole definitely inferior to that of the students coming from the approved schools.

In 1932, 6,457 freshman students were reported. This same class as sophomores numbered 5,586, or 13.5 per cent less than were reported as freshman in 1932. At the end of the freshman year (1932-1933), 14.1 per cent were out, and at the end of the sophomore year (1933-1934), 5.3 per cent were out, a total of 19.4 per cent for both years. Next year the junior class will be included in this study, and when the senior class is added the following year it is certain that there will be proof that about 25 per cent of every entering class fails to graduate.

Other items of educational value could be mentioned at this time, but time forbids. The published report will disclose them, hence should be studied carefully.

Each year a complete report on this study has been published in the JOURNAL.

STUDY OF APPLICANTS

This study is in the third year of its revival. Reports for the studies of 1932 and 1933 have been published in the JOURNAL. The study for 1934 is under way but cannot be completed until sometime in January. It is not possible at this time to forecast whether it will differ from previous studies in results.
obtained. The report on this study will be published in the JOURNAL at the earliest possible time.

This study, too, has on more than a few occasions proven of value by furnishing information on the application record of students. Some member colleges have had their freshman class checked against this study.

**STUDENTS IN EUROPEAN MEDICAL SCHOOLS**

The Executive Council authorized the secretary to act officially in the evaluation of credentials of American applicants to European medical schools, a task he had been performing as an individual since 1930, at the request of the General Medical Council of Great Britain. This year there has been an increase in the number of such applicants over last year to the extent of about 45 per cent. Last year, 113 such applications were received. This year, the number was 151. There has not been any improvement in the scholastic ability of the applicants. Many of them had previously made application to an American medical school but failed to gain acceptance. With very few exceptions, the applicants were residents of New York City.

In this connection it must be pointed out that the credentials submitted were only of those students who wish to go on the medical students register. The credentials of students who do not wish to be so registered are not submitted to the General Medical Council, hence do not reach this office.

Last year 65 such applications came from Germany. This year none were received. Only one application to the University of Vienna was received.

The files of the Association contain valuable information bearing on these applicants. They contain data on applications made to American medical schools, aptitude test rating, rating of arts colleges, and whether the applicant had previously been in attendance in one of our own medical schools, and if so, full data on his accomplishment, with reference to his arts college, it is possible to state now the students from his college fared in medical schools over a period of seven years. The secretary has been authorized by the Executive Council to state that the applicant is eligible for consideration as a matriculant by an American medical college if his record warrants such a statement.

Respectfully submitted,

FRED C. ZAPFFE, Secretary.

**Report of Treasurer**

Dr. B. C. H. Harvey submitted the following report:

I submit hereby my report as Treasurer covering the year terminating August 31, 1934.

The books of the Association have been audited by Scovell Wellington and Company, auditors authorized by the President and Executive Council and their report submitted to the Council.

The financial statements attached show in detail the financial condition of the Association as of August 31, 1934, and summarize its operations during the year then terminating. Attention is especially invited to the following facts.

Expenditure for the year is within the total budget estimate, though appropriations for several accounts were supplemented by transfer from the Contingency
Fund or from other appropriations. All such transfers appear in a special statement attached to the financial report submitted. Each was authorized by the Executive Council or by the President.

The total expenditure authorized in the budget was $22,975.00. The actual expenditure was $19,878.87. This expenditure for the year was also within the income of the year which was $22,718.89. The yearly operations have therefore resulted in an excess of income over expenditure of $2,840.02.

The surplus of the Association August 31, 1934, is $19,449.57. On August 31, 1933, it was $16,959.49.

All dues for 1933-34 have been paid. The total number of member institutions is 77 as shown by the list in the JOURNAL. No institution has paid dues for more than one membership during this year. During the year application fee of $250.00 was returned to Louisiana State University.

ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN MEDICAL COLLEGES
BALANCE SHEET—AUGUST 31, 1934

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSETS:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash in bank</td>
<td>$22,417.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petty cash advances</td>
<td>135.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>181.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$22,734.25</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LIABILITIES AND NET WORTH:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>334.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership application deposit—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Arkansas</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Southern California</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income—prepaid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership dues, 1934-35</td>
<td>2,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated net income</td>
<td>19,449.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>22,734.25</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All Accruals are now cleared.

STATEMENT OF ACCUMULATED NET INCOME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accumulated net income, August 31, 1934</th>
<th>$16,959.49</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less direct charge—contingent liability on Membership application deposits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Arkansas</td>
<td>$ 100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana State University</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>16,609.49</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess income over expense, 1933-34</td>
<td>2,840.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$19,449.51</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN MEDICAL COLLEGES
### ESTIMATED AND ACTUAL OPERATIONS UNDER THE BUDGET
#### SEPTEMBER 1, 1933, TO AUGUST 31, 1934

#### Income:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dues</td>
<td>$11,850.00</td>
<td>$11,550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
<td>1,141.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aptitude Test</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
<td>9,908.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Sales and Subscriptions</td>
<td></td>
<td>118.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td></td>
<td>.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>22,050.00</td>
<td>22,718.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authorized underwriting from Accumulated Income</td>
<td>925.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Income</strong></td>
<td>22,975.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Expense:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secretary’s Office</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary’s salary</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surety bond</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenographer’s salary</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office rent</td>
<td>825.00</td>
<td>825.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stationery and printing</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>63.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>82.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone and light</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>80.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New equipment</td>
<td>360.00</td>
<td>332.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>71.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total of project</strong></td>
<td>7,800.00</td>
<td>7,667.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer’s Office</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical and stenographic service</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>255.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surety bond premium</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditing fees</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage and miscellaneous</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>32.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>363.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication</td>
<td>3,200.00</td>
<td>2,528.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>105.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total of project</strong></td>
<td>3,300.00</td>
<td>2,634.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traveling expense, Dr. Zapffe</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>47.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting meeting</td>
<td>250.00</td>
<td>189.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programs, etc.</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>35.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publishing Minutes</td>
<td>170.00</td>
<td>169.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total of project</strong></td>
<td>520.00</td>
<td>441.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Traveling expense—visiting colleges........................................... 500.00  177.72
Traveling expense of Executive Council to Council Meetings ....................... 110.00  103.05
American Council on Education.................................................. 100.00
Committee on Aptitude Test
  Technical and statistical assistance.................................................. 2,370.00  2,370.00
  Additional clerical help for scoring and tabulating tests ........................................ 1,310.00  1,305.58
  For further statistical studies on predictive value of the tests .................................. 700.00  684.45
  For special study of cases of discrepancy between test scores and medical school work ......................... 200.00  200.00
Printing ......................................................................................... 605.00  602.46
Rent for office space ......................................................................... 600.00  600.00
Expense in connection with advertising, sending tests, returning tests, etc. ......................... 500.00  498.37
Traveling expenses ............................................................................. 165.00  156.71
Additional equipment ........................................................................... 100.00  96.54
 Honorarium to Dr. Moss ................................................................... 1,200.00  1,200.00
Surety bond ......................................................................................... 15.00  10.00
Traveling Expense of Dr. Moss to annual meeting ........................................... 100.00  96.00
Study of correlation between preparatory college record and medical record ......................... 100.00  88.00

Educational Research
  Student accomplishment ....................................................................... 200.00  182.21
  Applicants .......................................................................................... 400.00  400.22

Total of projects .................................................................................... 600.00  582.43
*Contingency ......................................................................................... 1,580.00

TOTAL EXPENSE .................................................................................. 22,975.00  19,878.87
Excess of Income.................................................................................... 2,840.02

*See attached summary of budget transfers.

SUMMARY OF TRANSFERS OF BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS—1933-34

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Authority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>Secretary—Equipment</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>Executive Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>February 12, 1934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>Treasurer—Salaries</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>Executive Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>February 12, 1934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>Secretary—Rent</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>Executive Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>February 12, 1934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>Secretary—Equipment</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>Dr. Patterson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>August 20, 1934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary—Misc.</td>
<td>Secretary—Equipment</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>Dr. Patterson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>August 20, 1934</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The first recommendation presented by the Executive Council refers to the aptitude test. It is recommended by the Executive Council that the aptitude test should be discontinued after the academic year 1934-1935, and that the Aptitude Test Committee shall proceed to the preparation of a detailed analysis of the research project studied by this committee and present it to the Executive Council for transmission to the Association at the 1935 meeting, and that the report of the committee be supplemented by analyses made by medical schools in membership in the Association.

Perhaps, to facilitate the transaction of business, it would be better if you acted on the recommendations of the Executive Council as presented. I place this recommendation before you for discussion and for such action as you deem fit and proper.

Dr. Maurice H. Rees (University of Colorado): May I ask why the Council has asked that the aptitude test be discontinued?

President Patterson: There have been a number of criticisms presented to the Council in various ways with regard to the aptitude test. Suggestions have been made by some members that the aptitude test should be discontinued. There have also been other suggestions. It seemed to the Executive Council wise to consider these comments and criticisms and suggestions and to place the matter before the Association. It did seem to the Executive Council that it might be wise to pause at the end of a five-year period and take stock as to the accomplishments of the aptitude tests, as to their value and, as the recommendation notes, to check against the experience in certain medical schools, and that at that time, with such information before the Association, the Association might then determine whether it decided to continue the aptitude tests presumably at that time upon a permanent basis or whether it cared to discontinue the tests altogether.

Dr. Rees: I move that this recommendation be laid on the table.

The motion was severally seconded.

President Patterson: Of course a motion to lay on the table, Dr. Rees, pre-
vents the open and free discussion that we hoped would result from the presentation of this recommendation.

DR. J. C. FLIPPIN (University of Virginia): I move that the aptitude tests be continued, in order to bring it before the house.

PRESIDENT PATTERSON: We already have a motion which has been seconded.

DR. REES: With the consent of my second, I will second Dr. Flippin’s motion.

PRESIDENT PATTERSON: It has been moved and seconded that the aptitude tests should be continued. Of course, you understand the recommendation of the Council is that they should be continued for the present year.

DR. FLIPPIN: I understand they recommended it be discontinued after the present year.

PRESIDENT PATTERSON: Until such time as the proposed study is made.

DR. FLIPPIN: It seems to me that would create an interruption in it if we have sufficient facts now in hand to warrant a continuation, and I do not know whether that is waste or not. But I think it is a sufficiently important subject for this body to take under consideration. I therefore make the motion in such form that it can be discussed freely.

PRESIDENT PATTERSON: The motion is that the aptitude tests be continued. The motion is open for discussion.

DR. E. P. LYON (University of Minnesota): I am very much in favor of the aptitude tests being continued for a longer time. I was rather in hopes the motion would be for a period of five years, but it does not matter because I suppose this Association at any time or at any meeting can decide how long we shall go ahead.

I think this is one of the most important things the Association is doing, and all of us in Minnesota would regret very much to see it discontinued. We think it has accomplished a good deal, has shown a good deal of value. Even if it had shown no results so far, we would still be in favor of doing it, because we think the whole matter of admission of students is one of the greatest responsibilities institutions, colleges and administrative officers have to face, and anything which in the remotest degree gives promise of helping in that situation should be pursued with the greatest help we can give to it.

At our university the matter is receiving great attention. There is a committee for the whole university studying admissions. Dr. Jackson, of the department of anatomy, is the chairman. There is a subcommittee of his committee for each of the schools. We have a number of projects under way of a research character, and the university is furnishing funds for pursuing these.

I might read the number of tests we gave our medical students last year, none of which were so good as the aptitude test of the Association so far as the correlations are concerned. Our people believe they are worth trying. With the freshmen for this year we are trying the sophomore test, the test which is used by many arts colleges for admission to the junior class. We do not know how it will work out. But when you look at the fact that 25 per cent of your students who were accepted finally dropped or failed to graduate—of course there may be many reasons, but the main reason is the scholastic one I have not any doubt—then you see the great problems before you. Every student who starts out and does not make it, has lost money, time, ambition and many other things. Every student who is kept out has had an injustice done to him, and every
student who is a failure in the school costs the school money, and to that extent
there is an element of friction in the educational machine.

I do not believe there is a single problem now before educators so important
as the matter of admissions. We are tackling it in a broad way, and we have a
place for a certain number of beginning students, whose previous records do not
give promise, called a general college. Some of you know about it. It is being
studied in many places.

That is the main reason, then, why we, think this test should be continued.
We think it is promising. We have special committees to see where we can put it.
We think our committee ought to be entirely free to go ahead and use the material
collected, use all the ingenuity they can put upon the problem, get all the help
they need, the money which is available, and see if in some way better methods
of admission cannot be devised.

DR. PERCY T. MAGAN (College Medical Evangelists, Los Angeles, Calif.):
I would like to ask what the cost of the test is to the Association.

PRESIDENT PATTERSON: There is no expense to the Association except the
expense that is, perhaps, very incidental to the other activities of the Association.
There is no active expense.

DR. FLIPPIN: In criticism of your explanation, could we have, perhaps, a
fuller explanation of the reasons of the Executive Council for discontinuing it. I
am not particularly a proponent of this, but I would like to know more fully the
reasons, in view of the data that have been submitted to us at this and other
meetings.

PRESIDENT PATTERSON: I would say the purpose of the Executive Council in
bringing it before the Association in this way is to make it possible to have the
Association itself express an opinion with regard to the tests. It is put in this
form in order that those who have criticisms to make may register those criticisms
in the only effective way they can. It is not the desire of the Executive Council
to impose this recommendation on the Association.

DR. FLIPPIN: I have great respect for the opinion of the Executive Council,
but it was put in a negative way and that seemed a little bit peculiar to me if
they approved of it. If they disapprove of it, the cogent reasons for so doing
might be given.

PRESIDENT PATTERSON: I remind the Association that the recommendation of
the Council is simply that it be discontinued one year hence, pending an appraise­
ment, and that further action be deferred until such time as an appraisement
might be made.

DR. JOHN WYCKOFF (New York University): It seems to me it would be a
very great mistake to interrupt this test for a period of even one year. There
are a large number of schools, I know, from what I have heard today, who feel
that it has some use. If they feel it has some use and they have grown to depend
on it, and if it can be carried on without expense to the Association, it seems to
me it would be a great mistake to discontinue it. For that reason I speak for
the motion.

DR. ALPHONSE M. SCHWITALLA (St. Louis University): It seems to me the
appraisement of this work is very definitely indicated. However, the two ques­
tions can be very easily separated. Whether this should be done through an
amendment to Dr. Flippin's motion or not is a matter of ruling on the part of the Chair, but I should like to see an appraisement of this whole work done, and done as soon as possible without, however, interrupting the progress of the investigation.

I have no doubt at all about the value of the work so far as I myself am concerned, yet I feel it is the part of very prudent wisdom on the part of the Executive Council to see that some other judgment on this matter be secured than such judgment as the Association has given.

If there is any way of bringing this before the Association in the form of an amendment to the motion, or in some other form, I should like to see official action taken on something reading like this: Without interruption of the progress of the aptitude test and the work done, a study be undertaken by the Executive Council in any way that it feels it ought to be done in order to determine its validity and its value to the Association as a whole. I would like to give every member of the Association an opportunity of voicing an opinion separately on this matter.

PRESIDENT PATTERSON: Do you offer that as an amendment to the motion already made?

DR. SCHWITALLA: If that is proper. It seems to me it is sufficiently kin to the original motion to be treated as an amendment. I would like to offer that as an amendment to the motion.

PRESIDENT PATTERSON: Is that acceptable to the mover?

DR. FLIPPIN: I do not understand the amendment. If you would please restate it.

DR. SCHWITALLA: I would like to offer an amendment to your motion that without interruption, taking your motion as it stands, the aptitude test be continued, and that the Executive Council devise ways and means for securing an independent appraisement of the work that has been done.

DR. FLIPPIN: That is perfectly satisfactory.

PRESIDENT PATTERSON: Are you ready for the vote on the question?

The motion is offered and an amendment, the amendment being accepted by the mover, and I take it by the seconder, Dr. Rees.

Those in favor of the amended motion as offered please signify by saying "aye"; contrary minded, "no." The "ayes" have it.

LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY

The Executive Council recommended the admission of the Louisiana State University to membership in the Association.

The school has been inspected. The matter has been under consideration for some time, and the Council now recommends the admission of that school.

DR. C. R. BARDEEN (University of Wisconsin): I move the Council's recommendation be accepted.

DR. SCHWITALLA: I second the motion.

PRESIDENT PATTERSON: The motion is that on recommendation of the Executive Council the Louisiana State University Medical School be admitted to membership in this Association.

Those in favor please say "aye"; contrary minded, "no." The motion is carried.
You remember the action of this Association at the 1933 meeting. The school has been inspected. Very considerable improvements have been made since the inspection reported on to the Executive Council in February, but a second inspection has not been made since those changes were made as the result of the visit of the inspectors. The Council recommends that the school be continued in membership on probation, subject to a further inspection.

DR. MAGAN: I move approval of the recommendation.

DR. REES: I second the motion.

PRESIDENT PATTERSON: Is there any discussion?

Those in favor of the motion please say “aye”; contrary minded, “no.” The motion is carried.

The Executive Council recommends that this school be continued in membership on probation, further action to depend on the findings obtained on a further inspection.

There have been a number of changes, additions, and improvements in the situation there, so the Executive Council has been informed, but no inspection has been made since these improvements, additions, and other things have been done.

SECRETARY ZAPFEE: Mr. Chairman, the Chancellor of the University of Mississippi came here too late to appear before the Executive Council to make a statement on his own behalf, and he asked if I would present to the Association through the Executive Council the question of whether it is possible for the Executive Council to get an authorization from the Association that, if on inspection in the near future it finds the school has met all of the conditions that were laid down it can restore the school to membership at its meeting in February.

PRESIDENT PATTERSON: What the Secretary has presented is not a part of the action of the Executive Council, but if this Association desires to take that into consideration it is entirely in order that it should do so.

DR. HAROLD RYPINS (Albany Medical College): If a school is continued in membership on probation, does it lose any of its rights during that period?

PRESIDENT PATTERSON: None.

DR. MYERS: This school has been on probation a long time. I think most of you recall that it went on probation because of a political upheaval in the state of Mississippi. I personally have every confidence that the Executive Council would represent us adequately in this matter and that if by February they find that it is of advantage to the university that probation be removed, their status is just a little bit different in that if probation is raised their student body does know it and the other schools also know it.

Therefore, I would like to move that the school be continued on probation as the Council recommends, but that if by February the Council finds that the requirements of the Council have been met, the Council has the authority to remove that probation.

DR. O. W. HYMAN (University of Tennessee): I second the motion.

DR. REES: Is it not true that the same political machinery that caused the difficulty in the past has again come into power in Mississippi, and is it not
possible, if inspection is made too early, something may happen down there similar to what happened before?

President Patterson: In answer to that inquiry, the information which the Executive Council has is that the political control which brought about the previous deplorable conditions no longer prevails; that the governor is friendly to the school and will make recommendations to the legislature that will give the school very considerable support. But that would be a matter to be looked into further on an inspection.

Dr. W. S. Leathers (Vanderbilt University): Having lived in Mississippi for many years, I am quite familiar with the situation there. While I have no zeal whatever to advocate the admission of any school to this Association that does not meet the requirements, I do think this appeal has some merit to it.

Speaking especially in regard to the political situation, that has been entirely corrected. The present governor is a well educated man and a person who is very much interested in education, and has done, perhaps, more constructive things than any governor the state has had for many years. He is a high class public official, and therefore there is no cause for concern in regard to that particular feature.

The university has been through a very severe experience because of the nefarious procedure of the preceding governor who now, by the way, is United States Senator. (Laughter.) The school, through the cooperation of the present governor, has been successful in continuing its hospital, spending some $75,000 on it. They have renovated the medical building, spending a good deal of money on it. They have increased their budget and enlarged the faculty very definitely. They have purchased within recent weeks about $20,000 worth of equipment of different kinds.

In the consideration of this matter it would seem to me there may be the question before medical educational agencies as to the desirability of continuing two-year medical schools in connection with the inspection which is to be made. I can very easily see how that question may come up for further consideration, and some of you may have thought of the possibility of making a beginning at this time and therefore would be somewhat disinterested in the recommendation for this motion. But regardless of what is done in that respect, it seems to me, in view of the very decided improvement which this institution has made with reference to its medical school, we would be doing the university a great injustice from the standpoint of our usual procedure and attitude not to give this question the liberal consideration which has been suggested by this motion.

They have done everything that has been requested, and this school, I may say, will have a situation which will be an improvement on other two-year medical schools, in that they will have a hospital, which I think all of us agree may be a very desirable thing. Most of these schools have no hospitals to use in physical diagnosis, in minor surgery, or in clinical practice. So they have an additional advantage now compared to other two-year medical schools, and it seems to me they ought to be given an equitable status from the standpoint of any future consideration of this question. Therefore, I am heartily in favor of Dr. Myer's motion.

President Patterson: Is there any further discussion? The motion, in effect, is that the Executive Council be authorized by the Association to restore
the University of Mississippi to full membership in February if, on inspection, they find conditions as represented to be.

Are you ready for a vote? If so, those in favor of the motion please say "aye"; contrary minded, "no." The motion is carried.

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

The application of this school is pending, and a recommendation with regard to this application must be deferred until an inspection is made. This is made by way of report and requires no action.

BUDGET FOR 1934-1935

The next matter in the report of the Executive Council is the presentation of a budget for 1934-1935, and as the Treasurer is so much more familiar with that than the Chairman of your Council, I will ask him if he will present the budget as prepared.

TREASURER HARVEY: The budget for the year September 1, 1934, to August 31, 1935, is necessarily based on the budget of last year, plus the financial experience of last year and the project for next year. The budget has been discussed in the meeting of the Executive Council and is presented to the Association as follows:

ESTIMATED INCOME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dues</td>
<td>$11,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aptitude Test</td>
<td>$9,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$21,900.00</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authorized underwriting from Accumulated Income</td>
<td>$3,327.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$25,227.50</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXPENSE

SECRETARY'S OFFICE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secretary's Salary</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surety bond</td>
<td>$12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenographer's salary</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office rent</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stationery and printing</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone and light</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New equipment</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>8,037.50</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TREASURER'S OFFICE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clerical and stenographic service</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surety bond premium</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditing fees</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage and miscellaneous</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>425.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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JOURNAL
Publication ......................................................... 3,200.00
Postage ................................................................. 125.00

Total of project .................................................. 3,325.00

ANNUAL MEETING
Traveling expense, Dr. Zapffe ..................................... 65.00
Reporting meeting .................................................. 250.00
Programs, etc. ....................................................... 50.00
Publishing Minutes .................................................. 200.00

Total of project .................................................. 565.00
Traveling expense—visiting colleges .............................. 2,000.00
Traveling expense of Executive Council to Council Meetings 250.00
American Council on Education .................................... 100.00

COMMITTEE ON APTITUDE TEST
Technical and statistical assistance .............................. 2,400.00
Additional clerical help for scoring and tabulating tests ...... 1,300.00
For further statistical studies on predictive value of the tests 700.00
For special study of cases of discrepancy between test scores and medical school work 200.00
Printing ................................................................. 600.00
Rent for office space ............................................... 600.00
Expense in connection with advertising, sending tests, returning tests, etc. ............................................. 500.00
Traveling expenses .................................................. 165.00
Additional equipment ................................................ 100.00
Honorarium to Dr. Moss ............................................ 1,200.00
Surety bond ................................................................ 10.00
Traveling expense of Dr. Moss to Annual Meeting .............. 100.00

Total of projects .................................................. 7,875.00

EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH
Student accomplishment .............................................. 200.00
Applicants ................................................................ 450.00

Total of projects .................................................. 650.00
Contingency ............................................................... 2,000.00

Total Expense ........................................................ 25,227.50

DR. WYCKOFF: I move the approval of the budget.
DR. HYMAN: I second the motion.
PRESIDENT PATTERSON: Are there any further questions?
DR. LYON: Dr. Moss draws my attention to the fact that the committee has requested money for proctoring the giving of the aptitude tests. It is a matter to which I have not given any thought at all, but I do wish it would receive
consideration. I would like to know, for example, why the Executive Council thought the item should be cut off.

We are all interested, if we are interested in this test, in making it as accurate as possible. The possibility of cheating Dr. Moss spoke of today. It is serious if it exists to any extent.

I am just asking a question for the present, with the idea of possibly offering an amendment unless there is some objection which I do not see.

PRESIDENT PATTERSON: There was some uncertainty in the minds of the Executive Council as to what action this Association would take in regard to the Aptitude Test Committee. It did not seem necessary, therefore, to include any additional expense.

I would assume that the Executive Council, under the authority given in the previous motion, might appropriate from the contingent fund included in the budget a certain sum of money to cover any expense that seemed to them justifiable. I do not think the Executive Council considered that there had been sufficient information to decide whether it was wise to recommend such an expenditure or not.

DR. HYMAN: I would like to ask Dr. Moss to give us an estimate of what it would cost to have these examinations or tests proctored.

DR. F. A. MOSS (Committee on Aptitude Tests): If we could get $1,800 we could do a fairly good job; $1,200 would help considerably. We will actually have $2,000 surplus from this year's tests if we have what we had last year. We turned over to the Association $9,908, and the budget was $7,875. That gives a $2,000 surplus. The $1,800 or $2,000 will not take anything out of any surplus in the Association.

DR. HYMAN: I would like to suggest that it be the sense of the Council that if the money can be appropriated from the contingent fund, and if in the opinion of the Executive Council it would accomplish the purpose for which it was appropriated, it would be well to appropriate it without instructing the Executive Council, however, to do so.

PRESIDENT PATTERSON: It has been moved and seconded that the budget be adopted as presented. Are you ready for the question? Those in favor please say "aye"; contrary minded, "no". The motion is carried.

SECRETARY ZAPFPE: Someone asked about the application of the University of Arkansas. The dean stated that they would be ready for an inspection next year when the new building will be completed.

Report of the Committee on Educational Policies

Dr. E. S. Ryerson, chairman of the committee, submitted the following report:
The Committee met in the office of Dr. John Wyckoff, Dean, New York University, University and Bellevue Hospital Medical College, in New York City, on Saturday, October 13, 1934. Dr. Alan M. Chesney and Dr. G. Canby Robinson were unable to be present.

This was the first occasion on which this Committee has held a meeting other than immediately prior to the Annual Meeting of the Association, and the Committee are firmly of the opinion that this procedure should become a regular one in the future.

[22]
The Committee considered the Report of the Committee on Medical Education, British Medical Association, a copy of which had been sent to each member a short time before the meeting. Each of the divisions of the Report were fully discussed and the Committee were in full accord with most of the principles of medical education which are presented so comprehensively and concisely in the Report. Although the Committee realized that certain details of the Report might not be accepted by medical educators in this country, and that the emphasis given to some aspects of the curriculum might be different from that which would be the case here, the Committee feel fully justified in commending the principles of medical education as set forth in this Report, to all who are interested in medical education and recommend that it should be made available to them by authority being obtained from the British Medical Association to print the Report in full in the Bulletin of the Association, along with sufficient reprints, should such be asked for by any of the constituent member colleges for the use of their teaching staff.

The Committee further considered that the sections in the Report with regard to pre-registration subjects were stated so admirably that they should be brought to the attention of the Association of American Universities for the information of their member universities and colleges in connection with their pre-medical courses.

The Committee gave full and careful consideration to Section 5, Curriculum, in the By-Laws of this Association at this meeting and again on October 29 at a meeting in Nashville and begs to recommend that the present statement should be deleted and the following substituted therefor:

The entire course should be designed to train the student in the practice of medicine, including the cultivation of health and the prevention and treatment of disease. On its completion, he should possess the medical knowledge necessary to undertake the general practice of medicine, scientific investigation, or further graduate instruction in preparation for practice in a special field.

The student should have matured sufficiently to think for himself, to continue his own education, to profit by his own experience and to assume the responsible duties of his profession.

The curriculum should extend over a period of at least four academic years and provide for instruction in the following:

- Anatomy, including Embryology, Histology and Neurology.
- Physiology, including Psychobiology.
- Biochemistry.
- Pathology, Bacteriology and Immunology.
- Pharmacology.
- Preventive Medicine, Hygiene and Sanitation.
- Medicine, including Paediatrics, Psychiatry, Neurology, Dermatology, Therapeutics, including Physical Therapy.
- Surgery, including Orthopaedics, Urology, Ophthalmology, Otolaryngology, Radiology, Anaesthesia, Obstetrics and Gynaecology.

(Signed)

E. S. Ryerson, Chairman.
John Wyckoff
H. Rypins
A. M. Chesney
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The report was discussed at some length until the conclusion was reached that the matter was too important to make final action feasible at this time.

Dr. B. D. Myers (Indiana University) moved that the report be referred back to the Committee for further consideration and that the Committee present the matter involved again at the next annual meeting of the Association.

The motion was seconded and carried.

Report of Representatives to the Advisory Board in Medical Specialties

DR. LOUIS B. WILSON: The committee has no formal report to make, except to say, what most of you know, that in February, and later, in June, at the meeting of the American Medical Association an Advisory Board in Medical Specialties was formed. A series of suggestions to the national specialties certifying bodies was formulated by a joint committee of the Advisory Board and the Council on Education of the American Medical Association. These suggestions were gone over in detail separately and in joint session, and again approved separately by each one. The Council and the Advisory Board in Specialties are working in perfect unison in connection with this subject.

Several boards have been incorporated and are actively engaged in their work. A number of other boards are in process of incorporation. We hope at the meeting of the Congress on Education in February a very large majority of the boards that have been suggested will have formulated their constitutions and by-laws and be ready for action.

NEW BUSINESS

Amendments to the Constitution and By-Laws.—These amendments were drawn up by Dr. Louis B. Wilson, with the assistance of Dr. B. D. Myers, and at the request of Dr. Wilson were read by the secretary, seriatim action being taken on each item as read.

The following items were approved as read:

Article III, Section 1, is amended to read: “Any medical school or college . . .” etc.

Article III, Section 2, second paragraph, is amended to read as follows:

“The annual dues of such graduate member may be remitted if the same university or corporation also conducts an undergraduate school of medicine which holds membership in the Association and pays the customary dues.”

Article III, Section 3, is amended to read as follows:

“A medical school or college desiring membership in this Association shall make application in writing giving such details of organization and resources as may be prescribed by the Executive Council and expressing its readiness to be inspected and to defray the expense of such inspection. The application shall be submitted to the Executive Council, which may order an inspection. The inspection report and all other information bearing on the applicant for membership shall be submitted to the Executive Council for consideration. The Executive Council shall report its findings to the Association at the next annual meeting for action. An affirmative vote of three-fourths of the official representatives present at such meeting is required for election to membership.
Article III, Section 4, is amended to read as follows:

“Each member may send as many representatives as it desires to the annual meeting of the Association, who shall have the privilege of the floor in all discussions. But each school or college in active membership is entitled to only one official representative at all business sessions of the Association, and to one vote on all questions. The dean of the college shall be the accredited representative, unless otherwise provided by the college authorities.

“Any university holding membership in this Association as provided for in Section 1, and also graduate membership, and paying only one annual due as provided for in Section 2 of this constitution shall be entitled to but one representative at all business sessions of the Association and to but one vote on all questions. In such cases the university authorities shall select the accredited representative.”

Article III, Section 5. The first two sentences stand unchanged.

The sentence relating to colleges in arrears is dropped.

The last part of Section 5, relating to reinstatement, becomes Section 6, to read as follows:

Section 6. “Any college dropped from membership may be reinstated by the Executive Council, subject to the approval of the Association at a regular session. If the application for reinstatement is rejected, the annual fee deposited shall be returned.”

Article IV remains unchanged except for Section 3, in which the last sentence is dropped.

Article V, as it stands is entirely deleted.

Article VI, relating to Officers, then becomes Article V. Section 1 is amended to read as follows:

Section 1. “The officers of this Association shall be a president, a president elect, a vice-president, a secretary, a treasurer, and an executive council of nine members, consisting of the president, the outgoing president, the president elect, the vice-president, the treasurer and four elective members, two to be elected to serve one year, and two to be elected to serve two years, and thereafter two to be elected at each annual meeting to serve two years. All the remaining officers shall be elected to serve one year or until their successors are elected. The secretary shall attend all meetings of the Council and record the proceedings, but shall have no vote.”

At this juncture, the secretary stated that the proposed amendment of Section 2, Article V (formerly Article VI) had been withdrawn by Dr. Wilson at the meeting of the Executive Council held October 28.

DR. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, I arise to a point of order. It seems to me these proposals, having been sent out to the Association, Section 2 of Article IV should come before the Association for vote, regardless of the recommendation of the Council. Do they wish to make the change as suggested in the revisions sent out? I simply bring up the point of order that these provisions, these propositions, having been proposed to the members, they have the right to vote on them. Do they wish to include the provision which has been proposed or do they not, knowing full well that the Council has refused at its last meeting to approve of this recommendation?

PRESIDENT PATTERSON: The proposal of the committee as sent out to the mem-
bers is that the president shall be chairman ex-officio of the Executive Council.

The Executive Council itself does not approve of this recommendation, feeling that the Executive Council should be free to select its own chairman. The recom-
mendation of the Council would be to vote against the amendment as presented by the committee and as sent out to the members.

The question in simple form is: Does the Association wish to vote that the president shall be ex-officio the chairman of the Executive Council, or does it wish to leave the Executive Council free to select its own chairman?

DR. MYERS: I would like to move the adoption.

The secretary read the proposed amendment which was as follows:

Section 2 of Article V, formerly Article VI), is unchanged except at the end of the section the following sentence is added:

“The president shall be chairman ex-officio of the Executive Council.”

Dr. Myers moved the adoption of the recommendation of the Committee on Revision of the Constitution and By-Laws.

Dr. Wilson seconded the motion.

The motion was put to a vote and was lost.

The secretary continued the reading of the proposed amendments:

Section 4 (of Article V, formerly Article VI), is amended to read as follows:

Section 4. “The Secretary shall record the proceedings of the meeting of the Association and shall edit and publish the same; he shall edit and publish the Journal of the Association of American Medical Colleges; he shall collect the dues, assessments, and all other moneys due the Association and shall turn them over to the treasurer, taking his receipt for same; and shall perform such other duties as may be required of him by the Association, or the Executive Council.”

Section 6 (of Article V, formerly Article VI), is amended to read as follows:

“After each annual meeting the Executive Council shall appoint the following standing committees and representatives:

1. Committee on Educational Policies. 2. Official representatives to other organizations, and such other committees as may be deemed necessary.

“The Council shall have and exercise direct supervision, general control and management of the business affairs of the Association. It shall have the power to fix the salaries of the officers and disburse funds for purposes pertaining to the affairs of the Association. It shall have the power to investigate any charges made against members of the Association for violation of the rules and regulations of the Association. All of the actions authorized in this paragraph shall be reported to the Association at its annual business session and be subject to the approval of the Association.

“It shall inspect and examine colleges making application for membership or reinstatement and shall inspect colleges in membership in the Association at its discretion. A report of such inspection together with recommendations shall be submitted to the Association at its next annual meeting for action. It shall have power to fill vacancies occurring in any of the elective offices during the year.”

Article VII, which now becomes Article VI, is amended to read as follows:

“Section 1. The annual meeting of the Association shall be held at such place as the Association may designate by vote, the time of meeting to be set by the Executive Council.
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“Section 2. Official representatives of a majority of the active members whose dues are paid, shall constitute a quorum.”

Article VIII becomes Article VII and is unchanged.

BY-LAWS

Section 1. Unchanged.

Sections 2 and 3. Deleted.

Section 4 then becomes Section 2. The numbering of the succeeding sections is correspondingly changed.

On page twelve, line 4, a star is placed before the word “biology,” and the star is explained in a footnote as follows:

“Exceptions may be made in these subject requirements in unusual cases only. Proposed exceptions shall be presented to and approved by the Executive Council...”

Section 4 (Page 14, new numbering), lines 6 and 7, changed to read “Sec. 2 and 3,” in accordance with the renumbering of sections.

Section 5, the former Section 7, is changed to read as follows:

“The Association, through its Council and Committees, is prepared on request to consult with institutions engaged in preparing students for entrance to medical schools and medical colleges, in the study of educational problems of mutual interest.”

Section 6, the former Section 8, line 7, is changed to read “Sections 3 and 4,” in accordance with the renumbering of sections.

Each of these amendments was put to a vote as read and carried.

It was then moved, seconded and carried, that the report as a whole (except for Section 2, Article V—formerly Article VI—which failed of approval) be approved as a whole.

ELECTION OF OFFICERS

The report of the Nominating Committee was presented by the chairman of the Committee, Dr. Miller.

For President: Ross V. Patterson.

President-Elect: John Wyckoff.

Vice President: Thurman D. Kitchin.

Secretary: Fred C. Zapffe.

Treasurer: B. C. H. Harvey.


The Chair called for further nominations from the floor. None were made.

Dr. Rees moved that the nominations be closed and that the Secretary be instructed to cast the ballot for the nominees.

The motion was seconded, put to a vote and carried unanimously.

The Secretary announced that he had cast the ballot as instructed.

PLACE OF 1935 MEETING

The Chair called for invitations received for the 1935 meeting.

The Secretary presented invitations from the president of the University of Toronto, the board of trade of the city of Toronto, the Toronto Hotel Association, the mayor of the city of Toronto who writes a very long and earnest appeal to come to Toronto, and the Rameses Temple of the Ancient Arabic Order of Nobles
of the Mystic Shrine, Toronto, Canada; also invitations from the dean of the Emory University School of Medicine, president of Emory University, the mayor of the city of Atlanta, the medical director of the Grady Hospital in the city of Atlanta, and from the president of the Atlanta Convention and Tourists' Bureau.

The Chair called for a vote. It was largely in favor of Toronto. Toronto was duly declared to be the place of meeting in 1935.

Dr. B. D. Myers moved that a vote of thanks and appreciation be extended to Vanderbilt University, to Meharry Medical College and to all those who contributed so largely of their hospitality, time and energy to make this meeting successful in every way.

The motion, which was seconded by Dr. E. P. Lyon, was carried unanimously by a standing vote.

DR. PATTERSON: The Secretary has called the attention of your presiding officer to what was apparently an omission. The Executive Council asked to be authorized to designate from its membership individuals to take part in the inspection of medical schools as representing the Association, the sum of $2,000, or such part thereof as may be required, to be appropriated for the purpose.

The appropriation was included in the budget adopted earlier during the meeting. A motion to give the authorization asked for is in order.

A motion to that effect was made by Dr. J. J. Mullowney, seconded by Dr. R. H. Oppenheimer, and carried.

On motion, duly seconded, the executive session was adjourned at 11:45 P. M.

THIRD DAY
Wednesday, October 31

The delegates met in the parlor of the Nurses' Home of the George W. Hubbard Hospital of Meharry Medical College, and were called to order by President Patterson at 9:30 A. M.

A symposium on graduate teaching was presented, as follows:
“Graduate Teaching from the Specialist's Viewpoint,” by W. McKim Marriott, Dean and Professor of Pediatrics, Washington University School of Medicine.
“The Department of Regional Extension of the Albany Medical College, with Particular Reference to Graduate Instruction,” by Thomas Ordway, Dean and Professor of Medicine, Albany Medical College.
“The Relation of the Medical School to Extension Postgraduate Medical Instruction,” by Leroy E. Parkins, Secretary to Courses for Graduates under the Commonwealth Fund.
“Current Trends in Graduate Medical Education,” by Willard C. Rappleye, Dean Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons. (Read by Dr. R. B. Allen, Associate Dean).
“Experience with a Postgraduate Course for Practitioners: Evaluation of Results,” by John B. Youmans, Director of Postgraduate Instruction, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine.
“Are Short Courses in Graduate Schools Worth While?” by Frank R. Ober, Assistant Dean Harvard University Medical School.
The discussion on these papers was participated in by Drs. Louis B. Wilson, J. M. H. Rowland, Ray Lyman Wilbur, W. T. Sanger, W. S. Leathers, C. D. Leake, Thomas Ordway, Leroy E. Parkins and Frank R. Ober.

Dr. W. S. Leathers expressed the appreciation of the local committee, and others, for the opportunity to entertain the Association in Nashville and extended an urgent invitation to come again.

Dr. E. J. Carey suggested that the Secretary write letters of thanks and appreciation of the entertainment afforded to the ladies who worked so diligently and successfully to make this feature so delightful.

Dr. J. J. Mullowney briefly related the history of Meharry Medical College in connection with information with regard to visiting the college after luncheon.

An adjournment was taken at 12:30 P. M.

(Signed) Fred C. Zapffe, Secretary.
Minutes of the Organization Meeting of the Executive Council
Held October 31, 1934, in the Nurses' Home of the George W. Hubbard
Hospital, Nashville, Tennessee.

The meeting was called to order by Dr. Patterson at 2 P. M.

The following members of the Council were present: Drs. Ross V. Patterson,
C. W. M. Poynter, Louis B. Wilson, W. S. Leathers, B. C. H. Harvey and H. G.
Weiskotten.

On motion, duly seconded and carried, Dr. Patterson was elected chairman
of the Council for the ensuing year.

Dr. Franklin Ebaugh, director of psychiatric education of the National Com­
mittee on Mental Hygiene, appeared before the Council and requested that a rep­
resentative from his group be given an opportunity to meet with the Committee
on Educational Policies for the purpose of considering a joint program which
will lead to the acceptance of standards of teaching neuro-psychiatry.

It was suggested to Dr. Ebaugh that he deal directly with the Committee on
Educational Policies as the matter should properly come before the Committee
before it can be considered by the Association. The suggestion met with Dr.
Ebaugh's approval.

Committee on Educational Policies. The Council expressed its approbation of
the excellent work done by Dr. Ryerson, chairman of the Committee, and his asso­
ciates. The following committee for 1934-1935 was appointed: E. S. Ryerson,
chairman; Alan M. Chesney; John A. Wyckoff; Harold Rypins and C. R. Bardeen.

Committee on Aptitude Test. The personnel of this Committee was re­
appointed for 1934-1935, as follows: Torald Sollman, chairman; F. A. Moss, Bev­

It was moved, seconded and carried, that (1) the secretary collect data on
the test in his possession; (2) that certain medical schools—specifically, Jefferson
Medical College, St. Louis University School of Medicine, Harvard Medical School,
Stanford University School of Medicine and the University of Minnesota Med­
ical School—be requested to make an analysis of the test so far as it pertains to
each one of these schools; (3) that one or more experts be selected by the Execu­
tive Council to review the results of the test, and (4) that the Committee on Apti­
tude Test be requested to make an analysis of the results obtained thus far and
present such analysis to the Executive Council.

The request of the Committee on Aptitude Test for an appropriation to meet
the cost of monitoring was discussed. It was moved, seconded and carried that
the Council deemed it unwise at this time to adopt the policy of appointing moni­
tors; that the Committee urge the deans or other responsible officers of the col­
leges to assume the responsibility of conducting the aptitude test; that if under
special circumstances monitors seem to be desirable, they should be appointed, but
the colleges should be impressed with the idea that they are participating in the
carrying on of the test and share in the responsibility of conducting it properly.

Drs. Louis B. Wilson and W. C. Rappleye were appointed the representatives
of this Association to the National Advisory Board in Medical Specialties.

Taking further action in the case of the University of Mississippi School of
Medicine, the chairman of the Executive Council was authorized to arrange for
such inspection of the school as may seem desirable.
The secretary read a letter from the Municipal Civil Service Commission of the City of New York requesting advice as to the requirements to be demanded of a medical expert. He was instructed to reply that this matter lay outside the provinces of this Association.

The secretary also read a letter from the secretary of the California Board of Medical Examiners requesting assistance in setting forth requirements to be exacted of graduates of medical schools of foreign countries who seek licensure in this country. He was instructed to reply that this is a matter to be decided by the Board, but that it might well consider acceptance of one year of internship in an approved hospital as meeting all requirements.

The Council then adjourned sine die.

Fred C. Zapffe, Secretary.