#### FY2012

## FY2011

- Three different requests
  - \$37 billion
  - \$35 billion
  - \$32 billion
- We all got the same outcome

## One Number or Many?

- Advantages of being on the same page
  - Strength in numbers
  - Benefits of repetition
  - Reassuring to our champions on the Hill
- Can we get there?

#### How Do We Get Our Recommendations?

- Analytical approaches
  - Number of grants
  - BRDPI/historical patterns
- Political approaches
  - What our communities want/need
  - What the I/C directors tell us they need
  - Try to predict the future
- Consistent message approaches
  - Five year doubling
  - "Back on track"

## The Case for an Analytic Model

- Times are difficult
  - Both parties have accepted the "austerity" theme
  - Intense competition for federal funding
  - We need more than a slogan
- Key staff will ask penetrating questions
  - What is the basis for this request?
  - What will happen if it is not appropriated?
- The model need not be "the message"

### FASEB's FY2011 Request

- Early FY2009
  - Passage of ARRA
  - Total NIH research funding under ARRA: \$8.4 b
  - Emphasis on speed
    - "Shovel Ready"
    - Two year funding
- Estimated ARRA outlays
  - \$4.2 billion in FY2009
  - \$4.2 billion in FY2010
- FASEB recommended \$37 billion for NIH in 2011

## Analysis

- NIH award data on line
  - <u>http://report.nih.gov/recovery/Understanding\_AR</u>
     <u>RA\_Spreadsheet.aspx</u>
    - 20,061 grants
    - \$8.473 billion
    - Start date, end date, total budget, activity code
- Estimated grant budget by fiscal year

#### Supplemental (ARRA) Budgets by Fiscal Year



**Fiscal Year** 

#### An Immodest Proposal



## A Model for FY2012

•	Maintain progress, sustain research investment	
•	Keep NIH "program budget" at current levels:	
	<ul> <li>Appropriated level for FY2011:</li> </ul>	\$32.0 B
	<ul> <li>Supplemental funding for research projects:</li> </ul>	<u>\$ 2.4 B</u>
	<ul> <li>FY2011 Program Budget:</li> </ul>	\$34.4 B
•	FY2012 Program Budget Target:	
	<ul> <li>– FY 2011 "Program Budget":</li> </ul>	\$34.4 B
	<ul> <li>Increased cost of research with low rate of inflation (BRDPI = 2.7%):</li> </ul>	<u>\$.9 B</u>
	<ul> <li>Total FY2012 Program Budget</li> </ul>	\$35.3 B
•	Appropriations request for FY2012:	
	<ul> <li>Target Program Budget for FY2012</li> </ul>	\$35.3 B
	<ul> <li>Minus remaining stimulus research funding</li> </ul>	<u>\$6B</u>
	<ul> <li>Appropriations request for FY2012:</li> </ul>	\$34.7 B

# Advantages

- -Maintains level of investment
- Prevents abrupt and wasteful project termination
- Uses data and assumptions about NIH funding
- Provides for inflationary increase over
   FY2011 Program Budget