

Table B: Average Full-time Faculty Promotions by Medical School, Rank, and Sex, Academic Years 2016–2017 Through 2019–2020



The table below shows the average number and percentage of full-time faculty who were promoted at a medical school per year during academic year 2016–2017 through academic year 2019–2020. Data are reported to the Faculty Roster voluntarily on a rolling basis by medical schools throughout the year and thus reflect school-to-school differences in timeliness and consistency of reporting. As a result, comprehensive counts of promotions in academic year 2019–2020 could not be calculated for all medical schools. For those medical schools whose Faculty Roster data are not comprehensive through June 30, 2020, the average number and percentage of promotions were calculated for academic year 2016–2017 through academic year 2018–2019.

Medical School	Average Promotions to Associate Professor Per Year					Average Promotions to Full Professor Per Year				
	Women Promotions		Men Promotions		Total Promotions Average	Women Promotions		Men Promotions		Total Promotions Average
	Average	%	Average	%		Average	%	Average	%	
ALABAMA										
Alabama*	16.7	36%	29.3	64%	46.0	10.3	38%	16.7	62%	27.0
South Alabama	1.5	38%	2.5	63%	4.0	0.3	11%	2.5	89%	2.8
ARIZONA										
Arizona	12.8	50%	12.8	50%	25.6	5.8	50%	5.8	50%	11.6
Arizona Phoenix*	5.7	53%	5.0	47%	10.7	2.0	54%	1.7	46%	3.7
ARKANSAS										
Arkansas	13.3	44%	17.0	56%	30.3	4.5	45%	5.5	55%	10.0
CALIFORNIA										
California**	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
California Northstate	0.0	0%	0.3	100%	0.3	0.0	NA	0.0	NA	0.0
Kaiser Permanente-Tyson**	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Loma Linda*	7.0	40%	10.7	60%	17.7	2.3	35%	4.3	65%	6.6
Southern Cal-Keck	29.3	53%	26.5	47%	55.8	7.5	36%	13.5	64%	21.0
Stanford	10.8	42%	14.8	58%	25.6	9.3	35%	17.5	65%	26.8
UC Davis	14.5	45%	17.8	55%	32.3	9.8	39%	15.3	61%	25.1
UC Irvine*	9.0	43%	12.0	57%	21.0	6.0	39%	9.3	61%	15.3
UC Riverside*	0.7	29%	1.7	71%	2.4	0.7	41%	1.0	59%	1.7
UC San Diego	28.8	51%	27.5	49%	56.3	18.8	45%	23.3	55%	42.1
UC San Francisco	56.0	55%	45.3	45%	101.3	38.0	51%	37.0	49%	75.0
UCLA-Geffen*	32.3	43%	43.7	58%	76.0	19.0	35%	35.0	65%	54.0
COLORADO										
Colorado*	50.0	50%	50.3	50%	100.3	16.0	48%	17.0	52%	33.0
CONNECTICUT										
Connecticut	9.8	51%	9.5	49%	19.3	1.8	32%	3.8	68%	5.6
Quinnipiac-Netter*	0.7	29%	1.7	71%	2.4	0.3	100%	0.0	0%	0.3
Yale*	27.0	40%	41.3	60%	68.3	8.3	34%	16.0	66%	24.3
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA										
George Washington	14.5	58%	10.5	42%	25.0	3.3	34%	6.3	66%	9.6
Georgetown*	11.0	41%	15.7	59%	26.7	4.7	37%	8.0	63%	12.7
Howard*	4.0	57%	3.0	43%	7.0	0.7	41%	1.0	59%	1.7
FLORIDA										
FIU-Wertheim	0.8	20%	3.3	80%	4.1	1.0	50%	1.0	50%	2.0
Florida	11.8	34%	22.5	66%	34.3	5.3	31%	12.0	69%	17.3
Florida Atlantic-Schmidt	1.0	77%	0.3	23%	1.3	0.0	0%	1.3	100%	1.3
Florida State*	0.7	41%	1.0	59%	1.7	2.0	74%	0.7	26%	2.7
Miami-Miller	12.3	35%	22.5	65%	34.8	9.3	47%	10.3	53%	19.6
Nova Southeastern-Patel**	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
UCF*	3.0	48%	3.3	52%	6.3	0.7	70%	0.3	30%	1.0
USF-Morsani*	11.3	45%	14.0	55%	25.3	4.3	50%	4.3	50%	8.6
GEORGIA										
Emory*	28.7	47%	32.7	53%	61.4	8.3	29%	20.7	71%	29.0
MC Georgia Augusta*	5.7	38%	9.3	62%	15.0	3.7	34%	7.3	66%	11.0
Mercer*	3.0	70%	1.3	30%	4.3	1.0	43%	1.3	57%	2.3
Morehouse	1.5	43%	2.0	57%	3.5	1.5	45%	1.8	55%	3.3
HAWAII										
Hawaii-Burns*	1.3	57%	1.0	43%	2.3	1.0	43%	1.3	57%	2.3
ILLINOIS										
Carle Illinois**	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Chicago Med Franklin*	0.3	23%	1.0	77%	1.3	0.3	23%	1.0	77%	1.3
Chicago-Pritzker	14.5	54%	12.5	46%	27.0	6.0	32%	12.5	68%	18.5
Illinois*	12.7	46%	15.0	54%	27.7	5.0	36%	9.0	64%	14.0
Loyola-Stritch	13.0	50%	12.8	50%	25.8	5.3	47%	6.0	53%	11.3
Northwestern-Feinberg	22.5	48%	24.3	52%	46.8	11.5	41%	16.8	59%	28.3
Rush*	10.0	43%	13.0	57%	23.0	3.7	34%	7.3	66%	11.0
Southern Illinois*	3.0	70%	1.3	30%	4.3	0.3	100%	0.0	0%	0.3
INDIANA										
Indiana	13.3	40%	20.0	60%	33.3	7.8	34%	15.3	66%	23.1
IOWA										
Iowa-Carver	5.3	33%	10.8	67%	16.1	3.0	30%	7.0	70%	10.0
KANSAS										
Kansas	15.3	40%	22.8	60%	38.1	4.8	29%	11.5	71%	16.3
KENTUCKY										
Kentucky	15.3	41%	22.0	59%	37.3	5.0	28%	12.8	72%	17.8
Louisville	12.0	44%	15.3	56%	27.3	3.8	33%	7.8	67%	11.6
LOUISIANA										
LSU New Orleans*	8.3	42%	11.3	58%	19.6	2.3	29%	5.7	71%	8.0
LSU Shreveport*	3.7	44%	4.7	56%	8.4	2.7	39%	4.3	61%	7.0
Tulane*	4.0	40%	6.0	60%	10.0	1.0	27%	2.7	73%	3.7
MARYLAND										
Johns Hopkins	29.8	42%	41.8	58%	71.6	14.0	37%	23.8	63%	37.8
Maryland	10.8	39%	17.0	61%	27.8	5.0	31%	11.3	69%	16.3
Uniformed Services-Hebert	20.8	29%	51.8	71%	72.6	3.0	18%	13.3	82%	16.3
MASSACHUSETTS										
Boston*	10.7	51%	10.3	49%	21.0	5.0	56%	4.0	44%	9.0
Harvard*	56.3	42%	77.3	58%	133.6	21.7	34%	43.0	66%	64.7
Massachusetts	7.0	35%	12.8	65%	19.8	6.3	52%	5.8	48%	12.1
Tufts	6.0	51%	5.8	49%	11.8	2.8	35%	5.3	65%	8.1

Table B: Average Full-time Faculty Promotions by Medical School, Rank, and Sex, Academic Years 2016–2017 Through 2019–2020



The table below shows the average number and percentage of full-time faculty who were promoted at a medical school per year during academic year 2016–2017 through academic year 2019–2020. Data are reported to the Faculty Roster voluntarily on a rolling basis by medical schools throughout the year and thus reflect school-to-school differences in timeliness and consistency of reporting. As a result, comprehensive counts of promotions in academic year 2019–2020 could not be calculated for all medical schools. For those medical schools whose Faculty Roster data are not comprehensive through June 30, 2020, the average number and percentage of promotions were calculated for academic year 2016–2017 through academic year 2018–2019.

Medical School	Average Promotions to Associate Professor Per Year					Average Promotions to Full Professor Per Year				
	Women Promotions		Men Promotions		Total Promotions Average	Women Promotions		Men Promotions		Total Promotions Average
	Average	%	Average	%		Average	%	Average	%	
MICHIGAN										
Central Michigan	0.3	38%	0.5	63%	0.8	0.3	100%	0.0	0%	0.3
Michigan*	30.3	41%	43.7	59%	74.0	10.0	29%	24.3	71%	34.3
Michigan State	2.5	56%	2.0	44%	4.5	0.8	22%	2.8	78%	3.6
Oakland Beaumont*	2.0	33%	4.0	67%	6.0	0.3	30%	0.7	70%	1.0
Wayne State	8.0	38%	13.0	62%	21.0	4.3	34%	8.3	66%	12.6
Western Michigan-Stryker*	0.0	0%	0.7	100%	0.7	0.7	50%	0.7	50%	1.4
MINNESOTA										
Mayo-Alix*	17.3	32%	37.0	68%	54.3	13.3	29%	33.0	71%	46.3
Minnesota*	15.7	43%	21.0	57%	36.7	9.0	39%	14.0	61%	23.0
MISSISSIPPI										
Mississippi*	9.0	36%	16.0	64%	25.0	5.3	32%	11.3	68%	16.6
MISSOURI										
Missouri Columbia*	8.7	40%	13.0	60%	21.7	3.0	39%	4.7	61%	7.7
Missouri Kansas City	18.3	57%	14.0	43%	32.3	5.0	42%	6.8	58%	11.8
Saint Louis	9.5	40%	14.5	60%	24.0	4.0	33%	8.0	67%	12.0
Washington U St Louis	30.8	41%	44.8	59%	75.6	15.5	38%	25.5	62%	41.0
NEBRASKA										
Creighton	1.3	30%	3.0	70%	4.3	0.5	17%	2.5	83%	3.0
Nebraska	8.8	38%	14.3	62%	23.1	3.8	41%	5.5	59%	9.3
NEVADA										
Nevada Las Vegas**	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Nevada Reno	1.3	42%	1.8	58%	3.1	0.3	17%	1.5	83%	1.8
NEW HAMPSHIRE										
Dartmouth-Geisel*	6.3	50%	6.3	50%	12.6	1.3	22%	4.7	78%	6.0
NEW JERSEY										
Cooper Rowan	4.8	36%	8.5	64%	13.3	0.3	11%	2.5	89%	2.8
Hackensack Meridian**	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Rutgers New Jersey	4.8	39%	7.5	61%	12.3	1.5	28%	3.8	72%	5.3
Rutgers-RW Johnson	6.0	37%	10.3	63%	16.3	2.5	34%	4.8	66%	7.3
NEW MEXICO										
New Mexico	19.0	55%	15.3	45%	34.3	7.3	50%	7.3	50%	14.6
NEW YORK										
Albany	10.3	56%	8.0	44%	18.3	3.8	31%	8.3	69%	12.1
Buffalo-Jacobs*	4.7	38%	7.7	62%	12.4	1.7	25%	5.0	75%	6.7
CUNY	0.0	0%	0.5	100%	0.5	0.0	0%	0.3	100%	0.3
Columbia-Vagelos*	16.7	41%	24.3	59%	41.0	10.7	50%	10.7	50%	21.4
Cornell-Weill	18.5	41%	26.8	59%	45.3	9.8	43%	12.8	57%	22.6
Einstein	24.5	53%	22.0	47%	46.5	7.8	38%	12.5	62%	20.3
Mount Sinai-Icahn*	29.3	43%	39.3	57%	68.6	13.0	35%	23.7	65%	36.7
NYU Long Island**	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
NYU-Grossman	15.8	44%	19.8	56%	35.6	5.0	33%	10.0	67%	15.0
New York Medical	4.8	37%	8.3	63%	13.1	1.0	25%	3.0	75%	4.0
Renaissance Stony Brook*	5.0	35%	9.3	65%	14.3	2.7	39%	4.3	61%	7.0
Rochester	20.8	46%	24.3	54%	45.1	8.5	30%	19.8	70%	28.3
SUNY Downstate	1.8	42%	2.5	58%	4.3	2.5	43%	3.3	57%	5.8
SUNY Upstate	7.0	42%	9.8	58%	16.8	1.3	21%	5.0	79%	6.3
Zucker Hofstra Northwell*	9.3	34%	17.7	66%	27.0	2.3	26%	6.7	74%	9.0
NORTH CAROLINA										
Duke*	21.0	41%	29.7	59%	50.7	11.7	40%	17.3	60%	29.0
East Carolina-Brody*	5.0	49%	5.3	51%	10.3	2.3	58%	1.7	43%	4.0
North Carolina	21.8	48%	24.0	52%	45.8	16.3	52%	15.3	48%	31.6
Wake Forest	9.0	35%	17.0	65%	26.0	5.8	34%	11.5	66%	17.3
NORTH DAKOTA										
North Dakota	1.8	78%	0.5	22%	2.3	0.3	50%	0.3	50%	0.6
OHIO										
Case Western Reserve*	25.7	46%	30.3	54%	56.0	7.7	24%	24.3	76%	32.0
Cincinnati	28.0	41%	39.5	59%	67.5	14.0	41%	20.0	59%	34.0
Northeast Ohio	4.8	52%	4.5	48%	9.3	0.5	20%	2.0	80%	2.5
Ohio State*	31.0	46%	36.3	54%	67.3	12.3	37%	21.0	63%	33.3
Toledo	2.0	31%	4.5	69%	6.5	0.3	10%	2.8	90%	3.1
Wright State-Boonshoft*	2.0	47%	2.3	53%	4.3	1.0	27%	2.7	73%	3.7
OKLAHOMA										
Oklahoma	7.0	37%	11.8	63%	18.8	3.5	34%	6.8	66%	10.3
OREGON										
Oregon*	31.3	57%	23.3	43%	54.6	12.0	47%	13.3	53%	25.3
PENNSYLVANIA										
Drexel	9.8	61%	6.3	39%	16.1	3.3	54%	2.8	46%	6.1
Geisinger Commonwealth*	0.0	0%	0.3	100%	0.3	0.7	70%	0.3	30%	1.0
Jefferson-Kimmel*	15.0	48%	16.0	52%	31.0	2.7	21%	10.3	79%	13.0
Penn State	5.8	32%	12.3	68%	18.1	3.0	31%	6.8	69%	9.8
Pennsylvania-Perelman*	37.3	39%	57.3	61%	94.6	23.3	45%	28.7	55%	52.0
Pittsburgh	26.0	45%	31.3	55%	57.3	11.3	34%	21.5	66%	32.8
Temple-Katz*	13.0	39%	20.0	61%	33.0	8.0	33%	16.0	67%	24.0
PUERTO RICO										
Caribe	0.0	NA	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	NA	0.0
Ponce	0.0	NA	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	NA	0.0
Puerto Rico	0.8	50%	0.8	50%	1.6	1.3	62%	0.8	38%	2.1
San Juan Bautista	1.3	36%	2.3	64%	3.6	0.0	NA	0.0	NA	0.0
RHODE ISLAND										
Brown-Alpert	11.3	49%	11.8	51%	23.1	6.0	36%	10.8	64%	16.8

Table B: Average Full-time Faculty Promotions by Medical School, Rank, and Sex, Academic Years 2016–2017 Through 2019–2020



The table below shows the average number and percentage of full-time faculty who were promoted at a medical school per year during academic year 2016–2017 through academic year 2019–2020. Data are reported to the Faculty Roster voluntarily on a rolling basis by medical schools throughout the year and thus reflect school-to-school differences in timeliness and consistency of reporting. As a result, comprehensive counts of promotions in academic year 2019–2020 could not be calculated for all medical schools. For those medical schools whose Faculty Roster data are not comprehensive through June 30, 2020, the average number and percentage of promotions were calculated for academic year 2016–2017 through academic year 2018–2019.

Medical School	Average Promotions to Associate Professor Per Year					Average Promotions to Full Professor Per Year				
	Women Promotions		Men Promotions		Total Promotions Average	Women Promotions		Men Promotions		Total Promotions Average
	Average	%	Average	%		Average	%	Average	%	
SOUTH CAROLINA										
MU South Carolina	16.0	43%	21.3	57%	37.3	10.5	35%	19.3	65%	29.8
South Carolina Columbia*	3.3	50%	3.3	50%	6.6	0.3	13%	2.0	87%	2.3
South Carolina Greenville*	2.3	41%	3.3	59%	5.6	0.3	15%	1.7	85%	2.0
SOUTH DAKOTA										
South Dakota-Sanford	2.0	32%	4.3	68%	6.3	1.0	29%	2.5	71%	3.5
TENNESSEE										
East Tennessee-Quillen	0.0	NA	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0%	0.3	100%	0.3
Meharry	0.3	19%	1.3	81%	1.6	0.0	0%	1.0	100%	1.0
Tennessee	8.0	30%	18.5	70%	26.5	3.5	32%	7.3	68%	10.8
Vanderbilt*	23.0	41%	33.3	59%	56.3	12.0	39%	19.0	61%	31.0
TEXAS										
Baylor	36.8	51%	35.8	49%	72.6	10.5	39%	16.3	61%	26.8
Houston**	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TCU UNTHSC**	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Texas A & M*	2.0	33%	4.0	67%	6.0	0.3	15%	1.7	85%	2.0
Texas Tech	5.3	42%	7.3	58%	12.6	3.0	41%	4.3	59%	7.3
Texas Tech-Foster	1.8	32%	3.8	68%	5.6	1.0	56%	0.8	44%	1.8
UT Austin-Dell	0.8	62%	0.5	38%	1.3	0.0	0%	0.3	100%	0.3
UT Houston-McGovern*	16.3	40%	24.0	60%	40.3	4.7	33%	9.7	67%	14.4
UT Medical Branch	9.0	46%	10.5	54%	19.5	4.0	36%	7.0	64%	11.0
UT Rio Grande Valley	0.0	0%	0.3	100%	0.3	0.3	50%	0.3	50%	0.6
UT San Antonio-Long	10.0	38%	16.0	62%	26.0	5.3	40%	8.0	60%	13.3
UT Southwestern*	26.7	45%	32.0	55%	58.7	8.3	25%	24.7	75%	33.0
UTAH										
Utah*	22.0	41%	31.7	59%	53.7	9.3	41%	13.3	59%	22.6
VERMONT										
Vermont-Larner	6.0	47%	6.8	53%	12.8	2.5	36%	4.5	64%	7.0
VIRGINIA										
Eastern Virginia	8.5	57%	6.5	43%	15.0	3.0	41%	4.3	59%	7.3
Virginia*	11.3	36%	19.7	64%	31.0	6.0	43%	8.0	57%	14.0
Virginia Commonwealth	13.0	43%	17.0	57%	30.0	3.5	21%	13.3	79%	16.8
Virginia Tech Carilion	8.3	51%	8.0	49%	16.3	0.0	0%	2.5	100%	2.5
WASHINGTON										
U Washington*	36.3	42%	50.0	58%	86.3	14.3	35%	27.0	65%	41.3
Washington State-Floyd**	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
WEST VIRGINIA										
Marshall-Edwards	1.8	31%	4.0	69%	5.8	0.3	13%	2.0	87%	2.3
West Virginia*	6.0	29%	15.0	71%	21.0	1.7	30%	4.0	70%	5.7
WISCONSIN										
MC Wisconsin	16.5	44%	21.3	56%	37.8	7.8	34%	15.0	66%	22.8
Wisconsin	16.8	42%	23.0	58%	39.8	10.5	37%	17.8	63%	28.3
Total	1,725.1	43%	2,243.1	57%	3,968.2	758.1	37%	1,302.0	63%	2,060.1

Notes

* The Faculty Roster data for these medical schools are not comprehensive through June 30, 2020, so the averages were calculated for academic year 2016–2017 through academic year 2018–2019.

** Promotion data are not reported for medical schools that received accreditation after June 30, 2016.

The table does not include faculty with unreported sex (n = 6).

As an example of how to interpret this table, the 1.5 figure for South Alabama under Average Women Promotions to Associate Professor indicates that an average of 1.5 full-time women faculty per year were promoted to Associate Professor at the University of South Alabama College of Medicine between academic year 2016–2017 and academic year 2019–2020, which represents 38% of the total average full-time faculty promotions to Associate Professor per year at the University of South Alabama College of Medicine during the same time period (4.0).

Source: AAMC Faculty Roster, December 31, 2020 snapshot