

COSR Community Call Chat

06.03.24

00:48:34 Yes, we have at the Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University.

00:49:02 We've experienced something very similar this at UCF (we are a home school only).

00:49:10 Yes, this has happened many times at the Loyola University Stritch Medical School

00:49:32 yes, we have this experience. the rotation was canceled

00:49:53 Yes, we have experienced it at the University of Illinois College of Medicine. The back and forth with the affiliation agreement led to the student not rotating at the host site.

00:49:54 COM-Tucson has experienced it too. Rotations would be canceled.

00:50:22 Hi, Everyone! Yes, this is one of the issues we experience here at Lincoln Memorial University's DeBusk College of Osteopathic Medicine.

00:51:01 Many of them would share their templates but will give notification right at the beginning that they will not negotiate any changes.

00:51:38 USF Morsani COM has had this issue also. Rotations had to be canceled and the students were very upset.

00:52:04 At the University of Nebraska Medical Center, we are utilizing the AAMC Uniform Clinical Training Affiliation Agreement for our away rotations. We recently ran into a situation where a student was scheduled to rotate with a program through VSLO and the educating hospital does not accept affiliation agreements. We escalated the situation to get their legal and contract services involved, however, we were not successful in resolving this issue. The student was not able to attend this rotation.

00:53:09 Hello, everyone. I'm at Mercer University School of Medicine. We require the AAMC agreement for our student's away rotations. Recently we have had a couple of other schools respond to our request for the AAMC agreement that we do not need an agreement as both schools are in VSLO. Can you please offer guidance on that?

00:53:15 We have ran into trouble where our OGC prefers to update an agreement and remove the evergreen term. Most schools now prefer to have a term on the AAMC agreement.

00:55:19 We are a home institution and don't require affiliation agreements for away rotations. This hasn't been an issue with LCME.

00:55:55 We only do them if the other school requires it; we don't initiate them, and haven't had an issue previously (fingers crossed I didn't jinx anything).

00:55:58 We do not require affiliation agreements either and haven't had an issue with LCME.

00:56:18 The LCME requirement for affiliations is not specific to electives.

00:57:19 We do not require an affiliation agreement for away rotations in VSLO. We will sign one if the other institution requires one.

00:58:07 I believe that an agreement is not required for electives but is best practice.

00:58:19 1.4 Affiliation Agreements

In the relationship between a medical school and its clinical affiliates, the educational program for all medical students remains under the control of the medical school's faculty, as specified in written affiliation agreements that define the responsibilities of each party related to the medical education program. Written agreements are necessary with clinical affiliates that are used regularly for required clinical experiences; such agreements may also be warranted with other clinical facilities that have a significant role in the clinical education program.

00:58:58 I think the key phrase is "used regularly".

00:59:10 ISMMS does not require agreements either for electives

00:59:26 That is my understanding too, not really necessary for Away rotations.

00:59:36 If you could, would you share with me the name of the hospital using VSLO that did not accept affiliation agreements

Arrowhead Regional Medical Center - Colton, California

01:00:22 If students apply through VSLO for our electives, we do not require an agreement. We will always sign one if other party ask us to do that.

01:00:28 COM-Tucson

01:00:39 Thanks you! That is our understanding here at the U of Cincinnati College of Medicine. We only require affiliation agreements with our clinical affiliates for required clinical rotations.

01:03:27 Thank you! Some thoughts for future updates to VSLO-For those of us whose professional liability insurance does require an affiliation agreement for electives, it would be very helpful if a very general affiliation agreement was part of the institution sign up in VSLO. We could then ask that our professional liability insurance cover all participating institutions and rotations in VSLO. Then we could avoid the need for an individual agreement with each institution.

01:13:58 The institution that responded "no" to modifications, if you are able, can you explain the institution's reasoning?

01:15:50 Our main struggle comes when we need to deal with Medical Centers who have recently decided to use VSLO for purposes of offering rotations to visiting students.

01:17:45 Just wondering when will VSLO program be offered to institutions located outside the United States ?

01:17:50 It is possible to add a field to the elective description on if an agreement is required for this rotation and if yes, is the AAMC Agreement accepted?

01:18:29 We have it in our requirements

01:19:04 one re-occurring issue is from institutions in a different state that want the governing laws to be under their state jurisdiction. We always have to request that those modifications be removed and we are silent. That always delays implementation.

01:20:41 Clarification, this was sent via email directly to the student records institutional representative at each institution- not via the listserv :)

01:21:28 Can you add a tab for comments in VSLO? We could add what institutions are not likely to agree to our terms for the agreement.

01:23:15 Playing devil's advocate. We should look at the data again on the percent of students who match at places where they do away rotations. When the visiting student workgroup looked at this data years ago, the data was not significant. While I appreciate wanting to advocate for the student, I also recognize that this could be a lot of work for someplace where the student might not interview or match.

01:28:28 The Institutional Student Records Representative is the highest-ranking staff person responsible for student records and appointed by the Dean's office. If you did not receive the survey and think you should have, please email chprobst@aamc.org

01:31:50 Sorry! to clarify - the Gainful Employment financial transparency reporting requirements open in July and are due Oct 1, 2024 but NASFAA submitted a request to ED to extend the due date to October 2025