Celebrate when you receive a revision decision!
Most journals rarely accept manuscripts without at least some revisions. Don’t be discouraged by a major revisions decision. That means the journal thinks your ideas and your work have merit and they’re interested in your manuscript, even if they and the reviewers ask for a number of changes.

Use an explanation of revisions table.
Copy/paste each comment from the reviewers and editors in one column of your table, include your response to that comment in the next column, and document where in your manuscript you’ve made the corresponding change in a third column. Using this format makes it easy for editors to find and evaluate your changes.


Additional Tips

- Become a reviewer, accept invitations to review, and do a good job. Reviewing can help you improve your own scholarship, and submitting high quality reviews can get you recognized by the journal. Reviewing can also be a pathway to getting more involved with the journal on the editorial board or the editor team.
- It’s OK to email the editorial office to ask for an extension if you need more time to revise your manuscript. You can also email the editorial office to ask for clarification or with questions about the reviewers’ or editors’ comments if you’re unsure what they’re asking you to do.
- When you’re reading the reviewers’ and editors’ comments, think about why they said what they said. Maybe the text isn’t clear and, while their comments may have missed the point of your argument, you may be able to make edits to make it clearer what you meant to say so future readers don’t have the same confusion.
- In your explanation of revisions table, provide an adequate description of each change without overwhelming the editors with too much detail. Your table shouldn’t be longer than your manuscript!
Key Moments and Helpful Resources from the Scholarly Publishing Webinar Series, co-sponsored by *Academic Medicine* and *MedEdPORTAL*

**Additional Resources**

- **Annotated Bibliography of Journals for Educational Scholarship from the Group on Educational Affairs (GEA) Medical Education Scholarship, Research, and Evaluation Section (MESRE)**  
  [https://www.aamc.org/media/38166/download](https://www.aamc.org/media/38166/download)
  [https://journals.lww.com/academicmedicine/Fulltext/2016/12000/Ten_Tips_to_Move_From__Revisions_Needed__to.48.aspx](https://journals.lww.com/academicmedicine/Fulltext/2016/12000/Ten_Tips_to_Move_From__Revisions_Needed__to.48.aspx)
- The *Review Criteria for Research Manuscripts* includes a helpful 1-page checklist that reviewers can follow when they’re evaluating each section of a paper. Even though this checklist is geared toward reviewers, it’s useful as an author to know what reviewers are looking for as they evaluate your manuscript so you can be sure you’ve included the appropriate information. [https://store.aamc.org/review-criteria-for-research-manuscripts.html](https://store.aamc.org/review-criteria-for-research-manuscripts.html)

*These key moments and helpful resources are from a monthly webinar series hosted by the editorial teams of the AAMC’s 2 peer-reviewed journals, Academic Medicine and MedEdPORTAL. Sessions cover the importance of publishing your education scholarship and practical suggestions for how to do so successfully. All are invited to attend. Learn more about upcoming sessions at [https://www.aamc.org/publishingwebinar](https://www.aamc.org/publishingwebinar).*