

Creating Informed Consent Documents That are Approachable, Readable and Brief

Secretary's Advisory Committee on
Human Research Protection Meeting
Arlington VA, July 31, 2007

Howard B. Dickler, M.D.
Director for Clinical Research



Tomorrow's Doctors, Tomorrow's Cures

Learn

Serve

Lead



Association of
American Medical Colleges

Lack of CFR Requirements in ICFs #1

White et.al. Academic Emergency Medicine
1996 3(5): pg. 745-50

- Evaluated 22 requirements in 82 ICFs from 16 specialties
- 9% addressed all requirements
- 37% addressed $\geq 90\%$
- 22% incomplete missing ≥ 9 requirements
- Mean number discrepancies 4.7 ± 3.5

Lack of CFR Requirements in ICFs #2

Silverman, Hull, and Sugarman. *Critical Care Medicine* 2001. 29 (2) pg. 235-41

- Multicenter trial with 16 sites and common protocol
- 3 out of 16 ICFs contained all of the basic elements of CFR45 Part 46
- 13 had missing elements
 - 6 missing 1
 - 4 missing 2
 - 1 missing 3
 - 2 missing 4

Reading Level of Informed Consent Forms

- 1980 Morrow JAMA
 - 60 ICFs from cancer trials only slightly less difficult than medical journals
- 1996 Golstein et.al. J Family Practice
 - 284 consent forms from 2 universities had average reading level of 12th grade and less than 10% at 10th grade or less
- 2004 Sharp. Amer J. Chn. Oncology
 - 107 ICFs None at 8th grade or below and only 10.5% at or below 10th grade

Reading Level of Informed Consent Forms

- 2003 Paasche-Orlow et. al. NEJM
 - 61 U.S. Medical School Websites provided specific readability standards which ranged from 5th – 10th grade level
 - Mean Flesch-Kincaid scores of the sample IRB provided text exceeded the stated standard by 2.8 grade levels
 - Average score was 10.6

Increasing Length ICFs

- Three studies have provided data showing that informed consent documents have increased in length over time

Baker and Taub, JAMA 1983; LoVerde et al J Gen Int Med 1989; Tarnowski et al Pediatrics 1990

- The longer the document the less likely it will be read
 - time constraints
 - intimidation
- Credibility issue – long ICFs inconsistent with usual oral consent process (what aren't you telling me?)

Results of Shortening ICFs

Epstein and Lasagna Arch Int. Med 1969

- Mock experimental situation
- Acetylhydroxybenzoate (fictitious name for aspirin) for headache
- Varyingly detailed descriptions of the actions and hazards, increased detail = increased length
- Comprehension inversely related to length

Short	67%
Medium	45%
Long	35%
- Long form- 2/22 volunteered despite contraindication
 - 5/22 missed that fatal reactions might occur

Results of Shortening ICFs

Dresden and Levit Academic Emergency Med 2001

- Standard industry consent form (IF) vs. modified (MF)
- Modification
 - Removed all information not required by regulation
 - Formatting changes
 - Simplified words
- Reading level change 12.0 to 8.7
- Significantly increased comprehension of purpose, randomization, study duration, risks, benefits, alternatives, confidentiality and voluntary participation.
- MF users: better than 85% correct on 10/12 questions vs. IF users: 85% or better on only 3/12 questions.
- Only 2% did not completely read the MF vs. 32% for the IF.

AAMC Meeting on Informed Consent

May 30, 2007

- Goal was to develop a strategy that would lead to common use of informed consent documents that are as short and readable as possible.
- Participants included bioethicists, IRB Chairs, IRB Administrators, University Counsels, Research Deans/Vice-Presidents and representatives of OHRP, FDA, NIH, AHRQ, and AAHRPP.

AAMC Meeting on Informed Consent May 30, 2007

Experiences Simplifying Informed Consents – Children's Oncology Group

- Focused consent on the research question
- Additional information on research process and standard treatment etc. contained in supplemental materials (handbook, website) and appendices.
- Created templates for different phases using junior high level language, one thought per sentence, short paragraphs.
- Most efficient and improved consistency if all consents written by a small group.

AAMC Meeting on Informed Consent May 30, 2007

Experiences Simplifying Informed Consents – AHRQ Informed Consent and Authorization Toolkit

- Designed for low literacy audience and health services research.
- Omits all non-essential information
- Uses short words and sentences
- Uses formatting and highlighting to improve understanding
- Toolkit incorporates teach-back, question solicitation, and certification that entire process conducted.

AAMC Meeting on Informed Consent May 30, 2007

Experiences Simplifying Informed Consents – Commercial IRB One Page Consent for Simple Procedures Research

- Avoid redundancies and include only required information
- Avoid unneeded elements
- Be concise
- Group like information into cohesive headings

AAMC Meeting on Informed Consent May 30, 2007

Obstacles to the goal of common use of short and readable informed consent documents

- Financial costs to implement change.
- Institutions and IRBs feel isolated and in need of positive guidance and templates from regulatory agencies.
- Inertia – easiest to repeat what has worked even if deficient.
- Writing concise, simple consents is difficult and the writers lack the necessary skills and training.
- No incentive.

AAMC Meeting on Informed Consent

May 30, 2007

Potential Approach

Treat informed consent as a process with 3 parts

- Part A – Limit the informed consent document to the research question and the essential elements presented in concise easy language and format.
- Part B – Supplemental information – all additional information that a participant might want or need.
- Part C – Verification/Certification – could include teach back or testing and certification that entire process carried out.

AAMC Meeting on Informed Consent

May 30, 2007

Next Steps

- AAMC working group to model templates for research of differing complexity and risk.
- Involve OHRP and FDA in endorsing materials as consistent with regulations including templates, best practices, and toolkits.
- Establish a website repository for endorsed materials
- Enlist pioneer institutions to implement change for investigator initiated protocols

AAMC Meeting on Informed Consent May 30, 2007

Next Steps (continued)

- Work with sponsors including NIH and industry.
- Liaison with SACHRP.

SACHRP Support Can Enable Change

- Change is needed and the time is now.
- Many in academic medicine are ready and eager to implement this change.
- Support positive, proactive action by OHRP, FDA, and NIH in the form of guidance and approved templates, best practices and toolkits.
- Support funding to establish and maintain a website to distribute the above materials.
- Support funding for a pilot project to implement the change at 2-4 pioneer institutions.



Tomorrow's Doctors, Tomorrow's Cures

Learn

Serve

Lead

Association of
American Medical Colleges