

A Summary of Conflict Management/Negotiation Styles

Description of Style	This style is useful when
Competing/Forcing	
Works the negotiation to win more than any one	Quick, decisive action is needed.
else in the deal.	An emergency looms
Likes to be in control and may withhold information, ignore mutually beneficial alternatives that do not advance his or her interests, and use threats and ultimatums, including walking out to demonstrate commitment to the goal. This position could be taken in defense of a position you believe is absolutely right with the other position being wrong. Requires the ability to argue, rank, and use sanctions.	Moral issues are at stake. Unpopular actions are implemented. One party is playing hardball and you wish to prevent them from taking advantage of a noncompetitive person. The issue is trivial and others do not really care about the outcome or goal you seek. The issues are simple and the terms of resolution are clear.
Collaborating/ Problem-Solving	
Uses candid disclosure of interests, brainstorming options, and fair standards of practice to uncover the underlying problems and potential benefits to both parties. Requires inquiry and listening skills to probe disagreements; imagination to find creative solutions.	Diplomacy is required to address complex negotiations. Time is plentiful. Two parties of equal power are committed to mutually beneficial goals.
	Issues and relationships are both important and valued.
Compromising	
Looks for a standard to find a quick, obvious, and acceptable resolution, i.e. "split the difference".	Cooperation is important but time and/or resources are short.
Solutions are often partially satisfactory to both parties but completely satisfying to neither.	Some solution is better than none.



Avoiding/ Withdrawing

Strongly dislikes interpersonal conflict and will go to great lengths to keep it to a minimum.

May diplomatically sidestep or postpone dealing with an issue ("Ignore it until it goes away") or may withdraw from a threatening situation (" I don't want to play")

Generally likes hierarchy and clear rules.

An issue is trivial or tangential to more important and urgent issues and time is short.

When you have little power and believe there is no chance your goals will be met, but you wish to block the other party.

When the potential danger of confrontation exceeds the benefits of addressing the issue.

When gathering more information may improve the decision (when time is in your favor).

Accommodating

Enjoys resolving conflict by solving the other person's problem, even if neglecting own concerns.

May result from selfless generosity or charity, obedience, or yielding to another's point of view.

Accomodators are good listeners, known as good team players

You realize you are incorrect.

You wish to show you are reasonable and fair.

The issue is less important to you than it is to the other party, especially if the other party has more power.

Preserving harmony is important.

References for Learning More about Negotiation Skills and styles

- Fisher R, Ury, W. (1991) Getting to Yes. New York: Penguin Books
- Ury W. (1993) Getting Past No, New York: Bantam Books
- Shell, G. R. (1999) Bargaining for Advantage, New York: Penguin Books
- Babcock L and Laschever S, (2003) Women Don't Ask, Princeton University Press
- Craver, Charles B. Negotiation styles; The impact on bargaining transactions. from Dispute Resolution Journal Feb-Apr 2003.
 - www.findarticles.com/p/articles/mi ga3923/is 200302/ai n9224490 Referenced June 10, 2005
- Williams, Scott. Conflict management—style and strategy. www.wright.edu/~scott.williams/LeaderLetter/conflict.htm
- Wilen, Tracey. Women and Negotiations. http://womenof.com/Articles/cb012901.asp
- Magrane DM. Preparing for Negotiation, AAMC Faculty Vitae www.aamc.org/members/facultydev/facultyvitae/may05/lessons.htm
- Magrane DM. Negotiating for Success—Basic Stages, AAMC Faculty Vitae www.aamc.org/members/facultydev/facultyvitae/jan05/lessons.htm