

*Property of
AAMC Archives*

**Association of American Medical Colleges
Proceedings
and
Annual Report
for 1973**

Association of American Medical Colleges
Proceedings
and
Annual Report
for 1973

Table of Contents

Proceedings

Assembly Minutes November 6, 1973	483
--	-----

Annual Meeting Program Outlines

Plenary Sessions.....	490
Council of Deans	490
COD-VA Joint Program	490
COD-GME-GSA Joint Meeting on Evaluation.....	490
Council of Academic Societies	491
Council of Teaching Hospitals.....	491
Organization of Student Representatives	491
Minority Affairs Program.....	491
Primary Care—Quality of Care	492
Faculty Roster Report.....	492
Group on Business Affairs.....	492
Group on Medical Education	492
Group on Public Relations.....	493
Group on Student Affairs	493
International Medical Education.....	493
Planning Coordinators Group.....	493
Research in Medical Education	494

Annual Report

Message from the President, John A. D. Cooper, M.D.....	499
The Councils.....	501
National Policy	506
Working with Other Organizations.....	509
Education	512
Research	515
Health Care	517
Faculty	518
Students.....	520
Institutional Development	523
Communications.....	525
Information Systems	527
Treasurer's Report.....	528
AAMC Membership	530
AAMC Committees.....	531
AAMC Staff.....	537

Proceedings

Minutes of AAMC Assembly Meeting

November 6, 1973

Washington Hilton Hotel, Washington, D.C.

Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Dr. Charles C. Sprague, chairman, at 1:30 p.m.

Quorum Call

Dr. Sprague declared present a quorum of the Assembly membership.

Presentation of the Borden Award

Dr. Lewis Thomas, chairman of the AAMC Borden Award Committee, presented the 1973 Borden Award to Dr. Thomas C. Merigan, professor of medicine at Stanford University School of Medicine. Dr. Merigan was cited for his investigation of the properties of interferon, particularly its inhibiting effect on viral infection. In accepting the award, Dr. Merigan emphasized the collaborative nature of his work with interferon, which began in 1965. He expressed optimism over the possible uses of interferon in treating viral infection, while adding that clinical application of this methodology was still at a preliminary stage.

Presentation of the Flexner Award

Dr. Harold Wiggers, Chairman of the AAMC Flexner Award Committee, presented the 1973 Abraham Flexner Award for "extraordinary individual contributions to medical schools and to the medical educational community as a whole" to Dr. George T. Harrell, vice president for medical sciences emeritus, Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine, the Milton S. Hershey Medical Center. Dr. Harrell was cited for his many contributions during 35 years as a scholar, teacher, scientist, and administrator. His pioneering efforts in developing new medical

schools and in establishing innovative curricula in ambulatory health centers were applauded by the award committee. Also cited were his many contributions to the Association of American Medical Colleges. In accepting the award, Dr. Harrell emphasized that medical educators must train their students to see the patient as a person and to deal with disease in the context of that person and his environment.

Consideration of Minutes of the November 4, 1972 Meeting

The minutes of the November 4, 1972 meeting were approved without change.

Report of the Council of Academic Societies

Dr. Robert G. Petersdorf reviewed the major activities of the CAS over the past year. CAS representatives had participated in major AAMC activities such as working with the Social Security Administration on Medicare reimbursement for teaching physicians, determining the impact of fiscal 1973 and fiscal 1974 budget cuts, formulation of biomedical research training legislation, and consideration of the issues surrounding the impoundment of federally appropriated funds. Other issues of particular concern to CAS were the retention of academic faculty, the problem of grant versus contract research, and clarifying the role and identity of the Council itself.

Dr. Petersdorf expressed the view that the CAS is part of a whole and that its goals and aspirations cannot be separated from those of the deans and hospital administrators. He also reflected on the diverse nature of the

CAS which comprises chairmen's societies, specialty societies, and the very large colleges. CAS has attempted to increase its visibility among its membership by publishing a directory and by making the *President's Weekly Activities Report* available to medical school faculty members.

This year the CAS sponsored educational workshops on individualized curricula and a spring meeting on categorical research centers. The format of the spring meeting was well accepted and will be continued in future years. Dr. Petersdorf concluded by reporting that Dr. Ronald Estabrook had succeeded him as chairman of CAS and that Dr. Jack W. Cole was the new chairman-elect.

Report of the Council of Deans

Dr. Sherman M. Mellinkoff reported on several major activities on which the COD had focused its attention during the past year. At its spring meeting in San Antonio the deans had asked the staff to prepare a sequel to the "Yellow Book," *Medical Education: The Institutions, Characteristics, and Programs*. This new document would set forth the Association's positions on all major issues in the broad areas of medical education, biomedical research, and the provision of health care. This document is currently being prepared by the Association staff and by AAMC committees which are deliberating the issues involved.

A second issue which concerned the Council of Deans has been the development of a remedy to the problems posed by the avalanche of applications for admission to medical school. At the initiative of the COD and with close cooperation of the Association's Group on Student Affairs, a multifaceted program on admissions has been developed. A feasibility study of a matching program for medical school applicants proved that such a plan would be technically feasible. The Association is currently sponsoring a pilot matching program for all the medical schools of Michigan and California. The remaining medical schools have been asked to participate voluntarily in a four-point program emphasizing

early decision plans and uniform acceptance dates.

Another major concern of the COD was the phenomenon of moonlighting among house officers. Discussion by the COD Administrative Board and by the Executive Council demonstrated that this was a complex issue raising many potentially troublesome questions. The Executive Council appointed a committee to examine the issue and formulate policy recommendations. Dr. Mellinkoff concluded by reporting that Dr. Ivan C. Bennett, Jr. of New York University School of Medicine had been chosen chairman-elect of the COD and that Dr. Emanuel M. Papper of the University of Miami School of Medicine had been installed as chairman.

Report of the Council of Teaching Hospitals

Dr. Leonard W. Cronkhite, Jr., chairman of the Council of Teaching Hospitals, reported on that Council's activities over the past year. He first reported that the Association's Department of Health Services and Teaching Hospitals had, with the advice and concurrence of the COTH Administrative Board, been split into a separate Department of Health Services and a separate Department of Teaching Hospitals. The activities of the two departments will continue to be closely coordinated.

The COTH sponsored four regional spring meetings which were well attended and provided a forum for discussing the major issues of concern to the teaching hospitals. These issues included the Economic Stabilization Program, quality assurance activities, federal cutbacks of medical school funds affecting teaching hospitals, and the implementation of the 1972 Social Security Amendments. This last issue has fostered an effective working relationship between the AAMC and the American Hospital Association. Close cooperation on future issues of mutual concern is foreseen.

Dr. Cronkhite concluded by reporting that Mr. Robert A. Derzon had succeeded him as chairman of the COTH and that Mr. Sidney Lewine had been chosen chairman-elect.

Report of the Organization of Student Representatives

OSR Chairman Kevin J. Soden reported that the students had concluded a vigorous program at the Annual Meeting. OSR task forces designed to orient new members and to generate ideas on important issues had been sponsored on the admissions process, financing of undergraduate medical education, health legislation, and the confidentiality of personal records. OSR representatives in the coming year will follow up on the ideas which evolved from these sessions.

A major interest and activity of the OSR Administrative Board during the past year was the development of a monitoring system to report violations of the NIRMP (National Intern and Resident Matching Program). With the assistance of the Group on Student Affairs and the AAMC legal counsel, the OSR Administrative Board developed a monitoring procedure which was adopted by the Association's Executive Council.

OSR representatives participated actively in the considerations of the Medical College Admissions Assessment Program and in the regional meetings of the Group on Student Affairs. OSR representatives also participated in the deliberations of most AAMC and GSA committees. In the future, the OSR chairman will be an invited guest at meetings of the Council of Deans Administrative Board.

Several modifications of the OSR rules and regulations had been adopted. Foremost among these was a provision changing the offices of chairman and chairman-elect to chairperson and vice-chairperson. Because of the limited tenure of OSR representatives, it was felt inappropriate to designate a chairman-elect who would succeed automatically to the chairmanship.

Mr. Soden concluded by reporting that Daniel Clarke-Pearson of Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine had been elected OSR chairperson. Vice-chairperson will be Marc Cannon of the Medical College of Wisconsin.

Report of the Coordinating Council on Medical Education

Dr. William G. Anlyan, AAMC representative to and chairman of the Coordinating Council on Medical Education, reported to the Assembly on the formation and initial year's activities of the CCME. Growing out of the 1966 Millis Committee recommendation that a Commission on Medical Education be established and after several years of negotiating the appropriate makeup of a body to accredit graduate medical education programs, the AAMC, the American Medical Association, the American Hospital Association, the American Board of Medical Specialties, and the Council on Medical Specialty Societies agreed to form a Liaison Committee on Graduate Medical Education and a Coordinating Council on Medical Education to oversee both liaison committees and to consider the broader issues of medical education. Dr. Anlyan reviewed the specific agreements reached by the participating organizations, the roles assigned to the LCGME and the CCME, and the composition of each body.

Dr. Anlyan went on to describe the two major issues which the CCME has begun to address. These two issues are the maldistribution of physicians among medical specialties and the financing of graduate medical education. Committees have been formed to address each of these issues and to recommend policy to the CCME for consideration and recommendation to the parent organizations.

Dr. Anlyan concluded by asking for increased input of the AAMC membership into the deliberations of the CCME through the AAMC representatives.

Report of the Chairman

Dr. Sprague summarized the activities of the Executive Council in guiding Association programs, establishing new priorities, working with representatives of the federal agencies, and managing the affairs of the AAMC.

Dr. Sprague outlined the priorities set by the December Retreat of the AAMC officers. These new initiatives included the development of a primary care program, the launching of an

active Coordinating Council on Medical Education, the feasibility study of a medical school applicant matching program, and the involvement of academic medical centers in the determination of quality of care. As the year progressed, new initiatives were undertaken regarding the implications of the 1972 Social Security Amendments, the impoundment of Congressionally appropriated funds for federal health programs, and an assessment of the potential effect of the President's fiscal 1974 budget requests on the medical schools and the teaching hospitals. Dr. Sprague cited the work of an AAMC committee chaired by Mr. Derzon which assisted the Bureau of Health Insurance of the Social Security Administration in better understanding the unique problems of the teaching hospitals. Also noted was the successful suit filed by the Association to obtain the release of Congressionally appropriated funds for biomedical research project grants, research training grants and fellowships, and special project grants.

The Association's Executive Committee has held meetings with Health, Education, and Welfare Secretary Weinberger, White House Health Adviser Cavanaugh, HEW Assistant Secretary for Health Edwards, and Office of Management and Budget Assistant Director O'Neill. Major issues discussed included the Administration's attitude toward research training, peer review, and institutional support of medical education.

In concluding, Dr. Sprague read to the Assembly a resolution passed by the Executive Council in honor of Mr. Joseph S. Murtaugh, who retired from the Association staff in September (copy attached to Archive minutes).

Report of the President

Dr. Cooper reported briefly on the organization and activities of the AAMC staff, alluding to a more complete summary contained in the Annual Report. The remainder of Dr. Cooper's report was devoted to the changing political climate found in Washington, a climate which was particularly visible in the health field.

One area of activity identified by the Executive Council this past year was the fostering of better communication and cooperation with the Executive Branch of the government. It was felt that the Association should keep these policymakers informed of the nature and problems of the medical schools and teaching hospitals. It was also hoped that the Association could serve these officials as a responsible sounding board for new ideas, thus anticipating and hopefully averting major policy dilemmas. To establish this dialogue, the Executive Committee met with virtually every high-ranking Administration official affecting health policy.

Very early in these discussions, the AAMC representatives realized that a major shift in the Administration's philosophy toward the role of the federal government in supporting federal health programs was taking place. The reduction and phasing out of many health programs was planned. This could not be accomplished by simply allowing statutory authorities to expire, since Congress had renewed all expiring authorities. The Administration attempted to effect its will by impounding funds appropriated by the Congress for programs which the Administration did not support.

The impoundment of funds sparked a battle between the legislative and executive branches of government with solutions being sought in the courts. The Association filed suit to force the expenditure of impounded funds which were appropriated for research project grants, research training grants and fellowships, and manpower special project grants. All court rulings to date on the impoundment of health funds have held that the act of impoundment was illegal. The Administration's disregard for the will of the Congress which was demonstrated by the impoundment battle was also visible as DHEW reorganized its health programs among a new array of agencies without consulting the Congress or holding public hearings of any kind. Plans were made to regionalize the administration of many of these health programs despite the statutory requirements of national competition and review by advisory councils. This administrative tumult was complemented

by a near-total turnover in upper echelon DHEW staff and agency heads.

Dr. Cooper went on to describe the Administration's attitude toward the determination of research priorities, peer review, and institutional support of the health professions schools. Dr. Cooper described the belief prevalent among some in the Administration that scientific inquiry can be managed so efficiently that only the avenues leading to miracle cures will be funded and all of the dead ends avoided. He also reviewed the OMB position that all educational assistance should be channeled through the students, thereby forcing graduates into debt and eventually into some form of national service.

Dr. Cooper reviewed major activities of the Association in contributing to the deliberations of the Cost of Living Council, the Veterans Administration, and the Social Security Administration, particularly regarding the promulgation of regulations implementing the 1972 Social Security Amendments.

He pointed out the significant impact of several AAMC surveys and concluded by thanking the membership for enabling the Association to respond effectively.

Report of the Secretary-Treasurer

Dr. Cronkhite, AAMC secretary-treasurer, reported that the Association had completed another year in which income had exceeded expenditures and commitments. Dr. Cronkhite alluded to the more detailed Treasurer's Report contained in the AAMC Annual Report. Dr. Cronkhite remarked that it was gratifying that the Association has achieved its growth while maintaining a sound financial structure. He commended Mr. J. Trevor Thomas, AAMC director of business affairs, for superior financial management.

ACTION: On motion, seconded and carried, the Assembly approved the report of the secretary-treasurer.

Election of Institutional Members

ACTION: On motion, seconded and carried, the Assembly elected the following schools to the indicated class of membership:

Institutional: Louisiana State University

School of Medicine in Shreveport; Rush Medical College, Rush-Presbyterian, St. Luke's Medical Center; University of Missouri-Kansas City, School of Medicine; University of Nevada, Reno, School of Medical Sciences.

Affiliate Institutional: Memorial University Faculty of Medicine, St. John's, Newfoundland; University of Calgary Faculty of Medicine, Calgary, Alberta.

Election of Academic Society Members

ACTION: On motion, seconded and carried, the Assembly elected the following academic societies to AAMC membership: American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, American College of Psychiatry, American College of Radiology, Association of Orthopaedic Chairmen, Biophysical Society, Central Society for Clinical Research, American Academy of Neurology, American Urological Association, American Society of Therapeutic Radiologists, American College of Chest Physicians, American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons.

Election of Teaching Hospital Members

ACTION: On motion, seconded and carried, the Assembly elected the following institutions to AAMC membership: Veterans Administration Hospital, Baltimore; Riverside Methodist Hospital, Columbus, Ohio; Bryn Mawr Hospital, Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania; Waterbury Hospital, Waterbury, Connecticut; Veterans Administration Brentwood Hospital, Los Angeles, California; Veterans Administration Hospital, Columbia, Missouri; Mt Sinai Hospital, Minneapolis; Veterans Administration Hospital, Tampa, Florida; Veterans Administration Hospital, San Diego, California; Morristown Memorial Hospital, Morristown, New Jersey; The Christ Hospital, Cincinnati, Ohio.

Election of Emeritus Members

ACTION: On motion, seconded and carried, the Assembly elected the following individuals to Emeritus Membership in the AAMC: Lowell T. Coggeshall, Ward Darley, Mark R. Everett, George T. Harrell, and John M. Russell.

Election of Individual Members

ACTION: On motion, seconded and carried, the Assembly elected 179 people to Individual

Membership in the AAMC (list attached to Archive Minutes).

Dues Increase for Academic Society Members

ACTION: On motion, seconded and carried, and on the recommendation of the CAS and the Executive Council, the Assembly approved the following dues schedule for academic society members of the AAMC: annual dues of \$500 for societies with fewer than 300 active members, \$1,000 for societies with from 300 to 999 active members, \$2,000 for societies with from 1,000 to 4,999 active members, and \$3,000 for societies with 5,000 or more active members.

Special Criteria for Programs in the Basic Medical Sciences

ACTION: On motion, seconded and carried, the Assembly approved the Liaison Committee on Medical Education document, "Special Criteria for Programs in the Basic Medical Sciences."

Amendment of the AAMC Bylaws

ACTION: On motion, seconded and carried, the Assembly approved the revisions of the AAMC Bylaws to:

1. incorporate a mechanism for the continued participation of individuals once active in the Association who no longer are members of any Council by modifying the category of Senior Membership;

2. realign the voting representation in the AAMC Assembly by providing the Council of Academic Societies and the Council of Teaching Hospitals each with one-half the number of votes provided the Council of Deans;

3. expand the Executive Council to include one additional representative of the Council of Teaching Hospitals and one representative of the Distinguished Service Members. (A copy of the specific Bylaws changes is attached to Archive Minutes.)

Followup Report on Resolutions Passed by the 1972 Assembly

Dr. Sprague called to the attention of the Assembly a follow-up report on resolutions which it had passed at its previous meeting. This report highlighted the staff activities undertaken in response to the resolutions.

Report of the Resolutions Committee

Dr. Sam L. Clark, Jr. presented the report of the Resolutions Committee recommending the adoption of two resolutions which had been presented to the Committee for its consideration. The first resolution was modified by the Resolutions Committee with the consent of the author. The second resolution was forwarded to the Assembly by the Resolutions Committee without change. The two resolutions are listed below:

RESOLUTION ON AVAILABILITY OF ADMISSIONS DATA

Many U.S. medical schools have the problem of receiving more applications for admission than they can realistically consider. One major cause of this problem is the fact that applicants have little idea about how to assess their chances for admission at any given school, and therefore, feel that they serve themselves best by submitting applications to as many schools as possible within human and financial limitations. We feel that if applicants had access to some detailed data on the members admitted to the first term class at each school, they would be able to make better decisions regarding the schools which should be eliminated from their consideration. There would be fewer students applying to schools at which they have virtually no chance for admission. This reduction in applications would benefit medical schools as well as applicants.

We concur in the unanimous recommendation of the GSA Committee on Relations with Colleges and Applicants (November 2, 1972) that medical schools make such admissions data available for publication by the AAMC.

BE IT RESOLVED that the AAMC annually request its member schools to submit information on grade-point averages, MCAT scores, college majors, sex, and minority group composition of the students in as recent a freshman class as possible, this information to be included in each year's edition of Medical School Admission Requirements. *Where appropriate, schools should also be urged to submit data on any other variable (e.g., age, state of residence) that they feel would assist*

applicants in deciding whether or not to apply for admission, and should also be urged to stress the level of importance of non-cognitive factors.

We further recommend that medical school admissions officers be urged to present the GPA and MCAT data in one of a number of "sample standard formats" to be suggested by the AAMC.

RESOLUTION ON INFORMATION TO PREMEDICAL ADVISERS

BE IT RESOLVED that the AAMC should encourage and assist undergraduate colleges and universities in gathering and disseminating information to their premedical students regarding the qualifications and results of the applicants to medical schools from their preceding classes of premedical students.

The first resolution prompted considerable discussion among the members of the Assembly. Several representatives expressed the view that to provide additional information relating to grade-point averages and MCAT scores would be to misrepresent the process of medical school admissions. Supporters of the resolution argued that these factors were simply one more variable in the admissions process and that their relevance or irrelevance might be better ascertained by the applicant if detailed statistics were available. Proponents of the two resolutions acknowledged that the AAMC had already made considerable progress toward the goal envisioned in the resolutions. The purpose of the resolutions, therefore, was to reiterate and emphasize these efforts.

ACTION: On motion, seconded and carried, with a number of dissenting votes, the Assembly approved the report of the Resolutions Committee, thereby adopting the two resolutions recommended to it.

New Business

Mr. Fred Sanfilippo of the OSR introduced a resolution proposing that an attempt be made to incorporate an experience in primary care into the curriculum of each medical school, as part of the required training of all medical students. Since this resolution was not channeled through the Resolutions Com-

mittee as required by the AAMC Bylaws, a two-thirds vote was necessary in order to allow the Assembly to consider the resolution.

ACTION: The Assembly declined to consider the resolution on primary care as presented by Mr. Sanfilippo.

Report of the Nominating Committee

Dr. Cheves Smythe, chairman of the AAMC Nominating Committee for 1973, presented the report of that committee. The charge to the Nominating Committee was to recommend to the Assembly one candidate for each vacant position on the Executive Council and one candidate for the office of chairman-elect of the Association. Dr. Smythe presented the following slate of officers: chairman-elect: Sherman M. Mellinkoff; Executive Council, COD representatives: Clifford G. Grulee, Jr., John A. Gronvall, Julius R. Krevans; Executive Council, CAS representatives: Jack W. Cole, Robert G. Petersdorf; Executive Council, COTH representative: Sidney Lewine.

ACTION: On motion, seconded and carried, the Assembly approved the report of the Nominating Committee, thereby electing the recommended slate of officers.

Installation of the Chairman

Dr. Sprague presented the gavel to Dr. Daniel C. Tosteson, the new AAMC Chairman.

In accepting, Dr. Tosteson expressed the Association's appreciation and thanks for Dr. Sprague's outstanding leadership during his year as chairman. The Assembly responded with a rising accolade. Dr. Tosteson expressed his feeling that the Association had progressed toward its goal of representing the whole spectrum of academic medicine. He expressed the hope that in the coming year the professional medical educators would promote a better understanding of health among their fellow citizens.

Adjournment

The Assembly meeting was adjourned at 3:45 p.m.

The Eighty-Fourth Annual Meeting

Washington Hilton Hotel, Washington, D.C. November 4-8, 1973

Theme: Preparation and Role of the Physician: Comparative Approaches

Program Outlines

PLENARY SESSIONS

November 5

Presiding: Daniel C. Tosteson, M.D.

Chairman's Address: *National Health Policy: Objectives and Strategy*

Charles C. Sprague, M.D.

Control and Regulation of the Health Industry
Leonard W. Cronkhite, Jr., M.D.

Research in the Pursuit of National Health Goals

Charles C. Edwards, M.D.

November 6

Presiding: Charles C. Sprague, M.D.

The Role of Medical Education in Planning the Development of a National Health Care System—The Swedish Experience

Bror Rexed, M.D.

Good Features of the British National Health Service

Paul B. Beeson, M.D.

The World of Health and the American Physician

John H. Knowles, M.D.

Alan Gregg Memorial Lecture: *The Changing Role of Physicians in a Health Services System: A Canadian Viewpoint*

John R. Evans, M.D.

COUNCIL OF DEANS

November 5

Business Meeting

COD-VA JOINT PROGRAM

November 5

Presiding: Sherman M. Mellinkoff, M.D.

Panelists: Donald E. Johnson
Marc J. Musser, M.D.
Selected Members of
the VA Staff

COD-GME-GSA JOINT MEETING ON EVALUATION

November 7

MEDICAL COLLEGE ADMISSIONS ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (MCAAP)

Moderator: Bernard W. Nelson, M.D.

Presentation of Task Force Recommendations and Rationale

Thomas H. Meikle, Jr., M.D.

Some Suggested Strategies for Implementation
James L. Angel

Response by Representative Discussants and Discussion with Audience

NATIONAL BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS GOALS AND PRIORITIES COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS: CURRENT STATUS AND IMPLICATIONS

Moderator: D. Dax Taylor, M.D.

Overview of Evaluation, Certification, and Licensure in Medicine

John P. Hubbard, M.D.

GAP Committee Recommendations: Their Implications and Challenges

William D. Mayer, M.D.

Implementation Status

John P. Hubbard, M.D.

Reactor Panel

INFORMATION AND DISCUSSION SESSIONS

Medical College Admissions Assessment Program

Moderator: Bernard Nelson, M.D.

NBME Goals and Priorities Committee

Moderator: D. Dax Taylor, M.D.

COUNCIL OF ACADEMIC SOCIETIES

November 4

Business Meeting

General Session

Programs and Plans at the NIH

Robert S. Stone, M.D.

Health Care in the Teaching Setting: The Impact of H.R. 1

Arnold S. Relman, M.D.

Implications of the Reorganization of HEW for the University Health Center

Kenneth Endicott, M.D.

A Discussion with John A. D. Cooper, M.D.

November 5

CERTAIN ETHICAL ASPECTS OF BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH

Robert G. Petersdorf, M.D.

Evolution of Concepts of Ethical Standards
James F. Toole, M.D.

The Consequences of Over-Regulation of Clinical Research

Thomas C. Chalmers, M.D.

Some Practical Problems of Peer Review
Robert L. Levine, M.D.

Non-Therapeutic Research on Children, An Ethical Dilemma

Charles U. Lowe, M.D.

COUNCIL OF TEACHING HOSPITALS

November 5

Institutional Membership Meeting

Presiding: Leonard W. Cronkhite, Jr., M.D.

General Session

THE ECONOMIC STABILIZATION PROGRAM AND OTHER HEALTH INDUSTRY CONTROLS

Presiding: Robert A. Derzon

Contemporary and Future Implications
David D. Thompson, M.D.

An Analysis of the Legal Issues
James E. Ludlam

The Government Position
John E. Twiname

ORGANIZATION OF STUDENT REPRESENTATIVES

November 3

Administrative Board Meeting

Orientation Session

November 4

Central Region Meeting

Northeast Region Meeting

Southern Region Meeting

Western Region Meeting

Small Group Discussions

Business Meeting

Presiding: Kevin J. Soden

MINORITY AFFAIRS PROGRAM

November 6

RECRUITMENT AND PROGRESS OF MINORITY GROUP MEDICAL STUDENTS

Presiding: Dario O. Prieto

Introduction of Keynote Speaker:
John A. D. Cooper, M.D.

Keynote Speaker:
Vernon C. Smith, M.D.

Panel Discussion

**PRIMARY CARE—
QUALITY OF CARE
GENERAL SESSION**

November 7

Opening Remarks:
John A. D. Cooper, M.D.

ISSUES IN PRIMARY CARE EDUCATION IN THE
ACADEMIC HEALTH CENTER

Moderator: Thomas E. Piemme, M.D.

*Reorganization of Systems for Primary Care
Delivery*

Mitchell T. Rabkin, M.D.

Graduate Physician Training in Primary Care
Robert J. Haggerty, M.D.

*The New Health Practitioner and Primary
Care*

Alfred M. Sadler, Jr., M.D.

*AAMC Survey of Primary Care in Academic
Health Centers*

Steven A. Schroeder, M.D.

ASSESSMENT OF QUALITY OF CARE AND ITS USE-
FULNESS IN TEACHING AND RESEARCH

Moderator: Robert J. Weiss, M.D.

*Current Status and Future Direction of PSRO
Legislation and Regulations*

Barry Decker, M.D.

*State of the Art in Quality of Care Measure-
ment*

Robert H. Brook, M.D.

*Direction for Academic Health Centers in
Health Services Evaluation*

John H. Westerman

FACULTY ROSTER REPORT

November 7

*Review of the Background and Present Opera-
tional Status of the Faculty Roster Project*

H. Paul Jolly, Ph.D.

*Trends in the Participation of Women and
Under-Represented Minorities on U.S. Medical
Faculties*

Thomas A. Larson

*The Impact of NIH and Other Federal Support
on Staffing Patterns in U.S. Medical Schools*

Stuart Fribush

GROUP ON BUSINESS AFFAIRS

November 5

Augustus J. Carroll Memorial Lecture: *No
Trumpets; No Drums*

Ivan L. Bennett, Jr., M.D.

Business Meeting

Presiding: Daniel P. Benford

Panel Discussion

*Surveys, Questionnaires, Statistical Standards
and Information Resources*

Ronald A. Lochbaum

Donald H. Lentz

Federal Regulations and Sponsored Support
Hugh E. Hilliard

Budgets and Funding—Needs and Mechanisms
Thomas A. Rolinson

GROUP ON MEDICAL EDUCATION

November 5

Business Meeting

Small Group Discussions

*Educational Orientation for GME Represen-
tatives*

Host: D. Dax Taylor, M.D.

Recorder: L. Thompson Bowles, M.D.,
Ph.D.

Accelerated Programs

Host: Joseph Gonnella, M.D.

Recorder: Paul Brading, Ph.D.

Early Clinical Exposure

Host: Howard Levitin, M.D.

Recorder: Robert McCollister, M.D.

Integrated Teaching

Host: Douglas Eastwood, M.D.

Recorder: Gary Striker, M.D.

The Continuum of Medical Education

Host: Glen Leymaster, M.D.
Recorder: Daniel Fleischer, Ph.D.

Instructional Materials Exchange

Host: James Griesen, Ph.D.
Recorder: Norbert Jones, Ph.D.

Evaluation of Clinical Student Performance

Host: Barbara Andrew, Ph.D.
Recorder: Lawrence Solomon, M.D.

GROUP ON PUBLIC RELATIONS

November 4

NEWCOMERS WORKSHOP

Presiding: Bernice Kellar

Internal Relations

Shirley Bonnem

The Printed Media—How, When, Where and Why

Alan Davis

Publications—Inside/Outside

Daniel Gashler

Using Electronic Media

Al Hicks

Community Relations

Robert Fenley

November 5

Care and Feeding of Congressmen

Dick West

HIGHLIGHTS FROM REGIONAL PRESENTATIONS

Northeast: *The Image of Medicine*

South: *When the VIP is in the House*

West: *News Release vs. News Conference*

Midwest-Great Plains: *Point of Order—Inside China.*

Business Meeting

Presiding: Kenneth A. Niehans

November 7

PRESENTATIONS OF SELECTED CASE HISTORIES FROM THE 1973 AWARD OF EXCELLENCE ENTRIES

Presiding: Joseph Sigler

FROM CELL TO CELLULOID

Presiding: Marion Corrdry

TV News and Features in Medical Stories, the Network Approach, the Local Approach

Photo News and Features

Radio News and Features

Mixed Media Using Your Own A-V

November 8

MED-AWARE

Moderator: Charles Fentress

Panel Discussion

HOSPITALS & UNIONS . . . AND PUBLIC RELATIONS

Moderator: Herbert Kadison

Panel Discussion

GROUP ON STUDENT AFFAIRS

November 5

Business Meeting

Discussion and Orientation Session

INTERNATIONAL MEDICAL EDUCATION

November 7

LIAISON OFFICERS FOR INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES

Open Forum on the International Activities of U.S. Medical Schools

PLANNING COORDINATORS GROUP

November 7

PLANNING IN AN ERA OF RADICAL CHANGE

Moderator: John J. Walsh, M.D.

Film: *Future Shock*

Planning for Radical Changes in the Manpower Output of Medical Schools

Marvin Dunn, M.D.

Reactor Panel

Planning for Radical Changes in the Funding of Medical Education

James F. Ryan

Reactor Panel

Business Meeting

Presiding: George M. Norwood, Jr.

November 8

PROBLEM SOLVING CLINICS

Capital Funding

Moderator: George M. Norwood, Jr.

Modeling for Clinical Educations in a University Hospital

Panelists: Walter G. Rice, M.D.

Judi Mason

Karen F. Saariner

Frank S. Zilm

Use of Consultants for Planning

Gerlandino Agro

**RESEARCH IN MEDICAL EDUCATION
TWELFTH ANNUAL CONFERENCE**

November 8

Plenary Session

RESEARCH RELATING EDUCATION TO THE DELIVERY OF HEALTH CARE

Moderator: Christine McGuire

Panel: Frederick C. Robbins, M.D.

Hilliard K. Jason, M.D.

Paul J. Sanazaro, M.D.

Field Evaluation of Physician Extenders in the Rural Southeast

M. J. Duttera, M.D.

W. R. Harlan, M.D.

The Practical Utility of Log-Diaries in Describing Physician Activities

Robert C. Mendenahll

Stephen Abrahamson, Ph.D.

Occupational Analysis of Multispecialty Primary Care Settings: Medical Education Applications

William F. O'Connor, Ph.D.

Lon G. McKinnon

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS I

Moderator: Evan G. Pattishall, M.D., Ph.D.

Longitudinal Study of Personality Traits in Medical Students from Application to Graduation—A. I. Rothman, N. Byrne, J. Barlow*Curricular Change and Medical Student Attitudes*—Curt Canning, M.D., Robert L. Kane, M.D., Robert Gray, Ph.D.*High and Low Levels of Dogmatism in Relation to Personality Characteristics of Medical Students: A Follow-up Study*—Isabel R. Juan, Rosalia E. A. Paiva, Harold B. Haley, Robert D. O'Keefe

CONTINUING EDUCATION

Moderator: Clement R. Brown, M.D.

Experiment in Changing Behavior in Physician Performance—Beverly D. Payne, M.D.*Initial Receptivity of Practicing Physicians to Voluntary Participation in a New Program in Medical Education*—Michael A. Counte and John R. Kimberly*The Identification of Educational Problems in Community Hospitals*—Carter Zeleznik, Joseph Gonnella, M.D., John Killough, M.D., Ph.D.

PATIENT VARIABLES

Moderator: Edwin F. Rosinski, Ed.D.

Costs of Medical Education: The Out-Patient's Share—Donald M. Hayes and Robert Fleury*Patient Satisfaction at a Nurse Practitioner Clinic in Rural Washington*—Philip Weinstein, Judy L. DeMers, Nancy L. Risser, Frank W. Evans*The Factor Structure of Consumer Beliefs and Attitudes: Implications for the Evaluation of Medical Care and Medical Education*—John E. Ware, Jr., Mary K. Snyder, W. Russell Wright

DEVELOPMENT OF CLINICAL SKILLS

Moderator: Stephen Abrahamson, Ph.D.

Evaluation of a Systematic Approach to Teaching Interviewing—Lewis Bernstein, Ph.D., Lois M. Rasche, Ph.D., Philip E. Veenhuis, M.D.

Sequential Problem Solving—An Experiment in the Measurement of Clinical Competency—G. Octo Barnet, M.D., John R. Senior, M.D., Craig J. Richardson, Penny Prather, Barbara B. Farquhar

The Relationship Between Career Choice and Some Aspects of Information Seeking Style Among Medical Students—Isabel R. Juan, Robert D. O'Keefe, Albert H. Rubenstein

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS II

Moderator: Maurice Levy, Ed.D.

Some Determinants of Career Choice in the Second Year of Medical School—Philip Weinstein and Cindy Gipple

Specialty Choice Transition in Medical Students—Roger M. Echols, Phillis P. Schmitt, Leslie S. Long

The Effect of "Attitudinal Content" on the Formation of Professional Attitudes in Medical Students—Arthur J. Bonito and David M. Levine

Replication of a Study of Three and Four Year Medical School Graduates—Judith Garrard, Ph.D. and R. G. Weber

Designing and Implementing a Quantitative System of Admissions for a Medical School—Howard Teitelbaum, Ph.D., Arthur S. Elstein, Ph.D., Sue Rex, James L. Conklin, Ph.D.

CLINICAL EDUCATION AND THE COMMUNITY

Moderator: Earl Bowen, M.D.

The C.A.R.E. Project—Margaret W. Linn, Joan Carmichael, Lynn Carmichael, M.D.

Involvement of the Practicing Physician in the Training of Beginning Doctors: The Illinois Experience — Thomas Ellsworth Gamble, Ph.D.

The Use of the Community in the Development of Professional Values in Medical Students—John M. Short, M.D.

Changing Patterns in the Assimilation of Foreign Medical Graduates (FMGs) in U.S. Medicine—Cheryl A. West, Dianne T. Hruska, Yvan J. Silva, M.D.

Interdisciplinary Team Training in Health Sciences: An Experiment in Learning—Pearl P. Rosenberg, Ph.D., and Thomas P. Anderson, M.D.

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL PERFORMANCE

Moderator: George L. Baker, M.D.

Peer and Self Assessment in the Quest for Evaluative Techniques that Predict Delivery of Quality Care—Bernard S. Linn, M.D., Martin Arostegui, M.D., Robert Zeppa, M.D.

Clinical Competence as Measured by the National Boards, Part III—Robert Mackowiak, M.D., and Joseph Gonnella, M.D.

The Validity of Direct-Observation in Assessment of Clinical Skills—A. Finkel, M.D. and G. R. Norman, Ph.D.

Foundations for Evaluating the Competency of a Pediatrician—The American Board of Pediatrics

A Methodology for the Development of Examinations to Assess the Proficiency of Health Care Professionals—Barbara J. Andrew, Ph.D.

INSTRUCTIONAL PLANNING

Moderator: Gary E. Striker, M.D.

A School of Health Professions: A Model Plan for Health Sciences Education—Gary M. Arsham, M.D., Ph.D., Daniel S. Fleisher, M.D., Bruce L. Hulbert, Ph.D., William Harless, Ph.D., Bruce E. Spivey, M.D.

Development of a Student-Paced Course in General Pathology Utilizing a Computer Managed Evaluation System—Thomas H. Kent, Donald H. McClain, Stephen W. Wesels

Effect of Previous Clerkship Experience on Readiness for an Internal Medicine Clerkship—P. Kenneth Morse, Ph.D., Maurice Levy, Ed. D., Jennifer C. Johnson, M.D., Alfred Jay Bollet, M.D.

The Development of Cognitive Knowledge in Clinical Clerkships—Harold G. Levine, and Phillip M. Forman, M.D.

Guided Self-Education in the Undergraduate Teaching of Gastroenterology — Harvey J. Dworken, M.D.

Annual Report

Message from the President

John A. D. Cooper, M.D.

The medical schools and teaching hospitals of this country are concluding what has been a most tumultuous year.

Rising public expectations for the training of more and better qualified physicians have been coupled with an increasing unwillingness on the part of some government officials to spend federal dollars in support of this national goal. Efforts to expand our knowledge of disease and the life processes and to train young researchers toward the goal of preventing infirmity and alleviating suffering similarly have been hampered by a diminishing federal commitment. The provision of high-quality medical care to all Americans regardless of income, long a goal of our university-owned and affiliated hospitals and of our federal policy-makers, now is being compromised by new legislative authorities which may force our medical centers to treat elderly and low-income patients differently than they would treat other patients.

The medical schools and teaching hospitals must now choose between the abandonment of these important national goals and the certainty of financial crisis. The institutional stability promised by the Comprehensive Health Manpower Training Act of 1971 has not become reality. Instead, the failure of the national administration to fulfill this promise, combined with decisions to substantially reduce funds for related research and patient care activities, threatens the viability of the institutions and the quality of their programs. The effects of this shift in philosophy on the appropriate role of the federal government in supporting health programs undoubtedly will become more severe as existing legislative authorities expire during the coming year.

Concurrent with these reversals of previously established policy has come a major overhaul of the federal health bureaucracy. Without the counsel or concurrence of the Congress or other interested parties, the health programs of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare were reorganized among a new array of federal agencies. New appointments were made to virtually every decision-making position within the Department's health structure. While this bureau-

cratic face-lift may have been designed to break the ties to previously held positions and allegiances, it is too early to assess the real effect of this discontinuity on the administration of federal health programs.

In the midst of this tumult, the schools have a responsibility to protect the quality and integrity of their programs and to respond progressively to the changing needs of society. We are proud that all of our institutions serve their communities well in spite of their precarious financial straits. Many of our centers of excellence have assumed leadership roles in providing health care to their local communities and to outlying underserved populations through the use of new and innovative methods. But the pioneering efforts of these institutions in utilizing prepayment mechanisms and a team approach in the ambulatory setting can only achieve wider application if new sources of support appear. At a time when the very foundation of educational support is in jeopardy, few schools can commit their limited resources to experimentation and innovation.

The setting of goals and priorities by the schools must demonstrate a commitment to excellence and an ability to marshal their resources responsibly to achieve these goals. On the national level, the setting of goals and consequent strategies to achieve those goals cannot be done unilaterally by any health agency. To be effective, this determination of a national health policy must integrate the experience and wisdom of the major voluntary health organizations, the federal government, and the public. To provide exactly such a forum, the Coordinating Council on Medical Education has been chartered.

Through the Coordinating Council as well as through less formal cooperative efforts with the other major health organizations and the federal government, the AAMC, its officers, its Councils, and its staff will continue to play an active role in the determination of goals and strategies at the national level, while providing every assistance to our membership to allow better definition of these elements at home.

Executive Council, 1972-73

Charles C. Sprague, chairman
 Daniel C. Tosteson, chairman-elect
 John A. D. Cooper, president

Council Representatives:

COUNCIL OF ACADEMIC SOCIETIES

Robert G. Petersdorf
 Ronald W. Estabrook
 Ernst Knobil
 Sam L. Clark, Jr.

COUNCIL OF DEANS

Sherman M. Mellinkoff
 Emanuel M. Papper
 Ralph J. Cazort

William F. Maloney
 Robert S. Stone*
 Robert L. Van Citters
 William D. Mayer
 J. Robert Buchanan
 Clifford G. Grulee

COUNCIL OF TEACHING HOSPITALS

Leonard W. Cronkhite, Jr.
 Robert A. Derzon
 George E. Cartmill

ORGANIZATION OF STUDENT REPRESENTATIVES

Kevin J. Soden

* Resigned June 1973

Administrative Boards of the Councils, 1972-73

COUNCIL OF ACADEMIC SOCIETIES

Robert G. Petersdorf, chairman
 Ronald W. Estabrook, chairman-elect
 William B. Weil, secretary
 Robert M. Blizzard
 David R. Challoner
 Ludwig Eichna
 Robert E. Forster, II
 Charles F. Gregory
 Rolla B. Hill, Jr.
 Sam L. Clark, Jr.
 Ernst Knobil

COUNCIL OF DEANS

Sherman M. Mellinkoff, chairman
 Emanuel M. Papper, chairman-elect
 Ralph J. Cazort
 William F. Maloney
 Robert S. Stone*

* Resigned June 1973

Robert L. Van Citters
 William D. Mayer
 J. Robert Buchanan
 Clifford G. Grulee
 Andrew D. Hunt, Jr.

COUNCIL OF TEACHING HOSPITALS

Leonard W. Cronkhite, Jr., chairman
 Robert A. Derzon, chairman-elect
 George E. Cartmill, immediate past chairman
 John H. Westerman, secretary
 Daniel W. Capps
 Sidney Lewine
 Charles B. Womer
 David H. Hitt
 Arthur J. Klippen
 David D. Thompson
 Herluf V. Olsen, Jr.
 Stuart M. Sessoms
 Eugene L. Staples
 Thomas H. Ainsworth, Jr., AHA representative

The Councils

The Councils of the Association have continued to concern themselves with national issues relating to the activities of the medical schools and teaching hospitals, the development of policy statements related to these issues and informing the public, the academic institutions, the Congress, and the federal agencies of their views and recommendations. The Councils have also given careful consideration to the governance and operation of the Association and the appropriate representation of all groups within the medical school. The following areas highlight matters considered during the past year.

An ongoing concern has been the participation in AAMC affairs of various professional segments within the medical schools. After considerable debate over a period of two years, the Assembly defeated a proposal to establish a Council of Faculties. The Council of Academic Societies has become increasingly active in bringing the faculty perspective to bear on issues through its disciplinary representation. Faculty members have played important roles on the committees and task forces of the Association.

During the past year, the Executive Council has extended formal recognition to five AAMC Groups, each of which provides a national forum for staff responsible for a particular area of the medical school's operations. The Groups, which now have a formal relationship to the staff of the AAMC include: Group on Business Affairs, Group on Medical Education, Group on Public Relations, Group on Student Affairs, and the Planning Coordinators Group.

The Councils approved Guidelines for Academic Medical Centers Planning to Assume Institutional Responsibility for Graduate Medical Education. The Guidelines were developed by the AAMC's Committee on Graduate Medical Education following As-

sembly adoption of a statement recommending this assumption of corporate responsibility. The document is under active consideration by other associations and groups. It provides a rational approach to the development of a true continuum of medical education.

On this recommendation of the Councils, the AAMC Assembly approved a document setting forth general principles for the accreditation of undergraduate medical education. "Functions and Structure of a Medical School" has since been approved by the AMA House of Delegates and replaces a 1957 accreditation document.

In response to growing public interest and concern, the Councils adopted a Policy Statement on the Protection of Human Subjects, which in part supports Department of Health, Education and Welfare guidelines on the ethical conduct of biomedical research. A Policy Statement on Professional Standards Review Organizations urged the involvement of medical schools and teaching hospitals in developing PSROs and the incorporation of quality-of-care assessment into clinical educational programs.

The Councils extensively discussed the final report of the Sprague Committee on the Financing of Medical Education. The report, which will make a definitive statement on the elements, objectives, and costs of undergraduate medical education, will be released this fall. It will form the basis for further studies and recommendations of the Committee on the financial support of the medical schools.

The Executive Council

The Executive Council held four meetings during the year. Deliberation at these meetings covered a wide range of matters, from supervision of the Association's internal affairs to the consideration of policies on a variety of

matters affecting the medical schools and teaching hospitals. The Council acted on a number of issues arising from discussions of the constituent Councils or referred for action by the membership. Except in cases where immediate action was needed, all policy matters were referred to the constituent Councils for discussion and recommendation before final action was taken.

In addition to acting on policy relating to educational matters, the Executive Council has regularly reviewed and established AAMC policy on national issues concerning federal programs in the health field. Whenever possible, the Executive Council has reviewed Association testimony on legislative issues and made recommendations to guide AAMC spokesmen. This year, particular attention has been devoted to issues concerning the executive branch of government and the Administration's policies toward federal health spending, the impoundment of Congressionally-mandated health funds, and the reimbursement of teaching physicians under the Social Security Amendments of 1972.

The Council's Executive Committee met preceding each Executive Council meeting, and held additional special meetings to consider matters requiring immediate attention. The Committee met with high-ranking Administration officials during the year to discuss developing federal health policies. Two meetings were held with Caspar Weinberger, Secretary of Health, Education and Welfare, to discuss the particular problems faced by the schools in view of shifting federal commitments and the Administration's attitude toward research training, peer review, and institutional support of medical education. Similar discussions were held with White House health advisor James Cavanaugh, HEW Assistant Secretary for Health Charles C. Edwards, and Office of Management and Budget Assistant Director Paul O'Neill. The Executive Committee, acting in a liaison capacity, also met with officers of the American Medical Association, the American Hospital Association, and the American Academy of Family Physicians at various times during the year. The officers of the Association have also

arranged for meetings on a monthly basis with Assistant Secretary for Health Edwards to provide Association input on the development of Administration policy.

The annual retreat of the elected officers was held in November to review the ongoing activities of the Association and to recommend high priority areas for future action. The activities targeted for immediate attention, reaffirmed by the Executive Council, included the development of a primary care program, the launching of the Coordinating Council on Medical Education, a feasibility study of a medical school applicant matching program, and the stimulation of the schools and hospitals to become more involved in quality-of-care assurance programs.

The Executive Council, along with the AAMC Secretary-Treasurer, Finance Committee, and Audit Committee, maintained vigilance over the fiscal affairs of the Association and approved a moderately expanded general funds budget for fiscal year 1974.

Council of Deans

The Council of Deans held two national and several regional meetings during the year. At its fall meeting it received and endorsed a report on medical school admissions problems prepared by an ad hoc committee established at the request of the COD. The Council adopted several of the committee's recommendations. These urged that the feasibility of a medical school admissions matching program be explored and that the Association's work with premedical advisors be continued with emphasis on developing background information on and advising students of the range of potential careers available in the health field.

In response to the COD resolution, adopted at its Spring 1972 meeting, urging the Association to assume a leadership role in developing standards and priorities by which the quality of health care services might be assessed, the AAMC Health Services Advisory Committee established a subcommittee on Quality of Care. The Committee presented a report at the Council meeting presenting the results of its investigations and calling particular atten-

tion to the implications of the sections of the 1972 Social Security Amendments calling for the establishment of Professional Standards Review Organizations.

Dr. Ivan Bennett, chairman of the Management Advancement Program Steering Committee, reported at the fall meeting, on the progress of that program. He indicated that the first seminar was viewed as a useful and profitable experience for the 19 deans in attendance with a high degree of interest in the follow-up seminars planned.

Prior to its fall business meeting, the COD held a joint meeting with the directors of affiliated Veterans Administration Hospitals and representatives of the VA Central Office. The theme of this meeting was, "The VA Medical School Relationship: Current Concepts and New Directions." Items covered included the selection and appointment of hospital directors, chiefs of staff, and service chiefs; the extension of VA educational programs; and new VA programs for providing health care.

The Council of Deans joined with the Council of Academic Societies in sponsoring a half-day program at the annual meeting on the theme "Colleges and Medical Schools—Approaches to Accomplishing Their Joint Mission." The well-attended program consisted of the presentation and discussion of six papers dealing with educational programs at the interface between colleges and medical schools including such matters as undergraduate education, collaborative programs, entrance requirements, A.B.-M.D. programs, and medical student course work in other colleges of the university.

The Spring Meeting of the Council was held in San Antonio on the theme: "The Influence of Third Party Payers on Medical Education and Patient Care in the Teaching Setting." Three program sessions explored such matters as the sources of funds for academic medical center operations and the projected impact of the Social Security Amendments of 1972, the policy questions related to the growing dependence on faculty practice income as a source of medical center financing, and the educational implications of forces tending to move medical education in the direction of the

ambulatory setting. The events of this meeting stimulated two resolutions: one urging that the Association carry out strategic planning in a more formal way and the second expressing the Council's appreciation to the Veterans Administration and its current leadership for the positive contributions which have been made to medical education.

Council of Academic Societies

During the past year, the Council of Academic Societies has focused much of its effort on improving communications between the AAMC and the medical school faculty members.

A CAS directory, published for the first time this year, lists the 51 member societies, their officers, and official representatives, and includes information regarding the AAMC, its governance, and the way in which CAS relates to its overall mission and goals. The directory is updated bimonthly.

The Administrative Board of the CAS held four meetings during the year; the entire Council met in conjunction with the 1972 Annual Meeting in Miami Beach and in a special meeting held in Washington, D.C., in March 1973.

At the 1972 Annual Meeting the CAS sponsored a joint program with the Council of Deans on "Colleges and Medical Schools—Approaches to Accomplishing Their Joint Mission."

In March, a four-day meeting of the CAS was held in Washington, D.C. The first day was devoted to the CAS Business Meeting and the second day was a Conference on the Impact of Large Center Categorical Grants on the Academic Health Centers. Speakers from the institutions and the Government presented issue papers that were discussed by the participants. A Workshop on Individualized Medical Education comprised the final two days of this special spring program. With the financial support of the Commonwealth Fund, this meeting brought together over 100 faculty members to discuss experiences and problems growing out of the increasing flexibility in undergraduate medical education. A report of the proceedings has been distributed

to the Council of Academic Societies, Council of Deans, Group on Medical Education, and workshop participants.

The CAS has been involved in a major way in developing an AAMC position on the FY 1974 Federal budget. Results obtained in an AAMC survey of the probable impact of the proposed FY 1974 Federal budget on the departments of pediatrics, biochemistry, medicine, microbiology, physiology, and psychiatry were distributed to the CAS and to those who participated in the survey in early July. Additional data were collected subsequently for a more extensive analysis.

The CAS plans a fall program on "Certain Ethical Aspects of Biomedical Research." The 1974 spring program will deal with faculty tenure, problems of the rotating chairmanship, departmental review, governance, and early retirement plans.

Council of Teaching Hospitals

The COTH Administrative Board held four meetings during the year, developing the program and interests of the teaching hospitals, and providing input to the policy considerations of the Executive Council. Of particular concern were increasing attention to the cost of educational programs and the expansion of external control and regulation. At least seven types of control phenomena are at some stage of implementation:

1. Control of capital input, better known as the certificate of need concept;
2. Control of planning—how much institutional planning must be done, and to how many public bodies it must be reported;
3. Control of costing and pricing, for example, rate setting commissions;
4. Control of quality of care;
5. Control of the data base and method of outcome measurement;
6. Control of the health benefit package;
7. Control of manpower output.

At the COTH portion of the 1972 Annual Meeting some of these issues were addressed as they have been experienced in New York State and Philadelphia. The theme for the 1973 COTH general session will be "The

Economic Stabilization Program and Other Health Industry Controls."

The COTH Administrative Board approved the establishment of two COTH \$2500 research support grants to doctoral candidates in the organizational and/or behavioral sciences, e.g., programs in hospital and health administration or departments of economics. The applicants will be full-time doctoral candidates who have passed their comprehensive examination and who have a formally approved dissertation proposal. The subject matter addressed in the research proposal must be directly related to the financing, organization, or delivery of health services in an academic medical center environment. Proposals will be screened by the AAMC staff, and the final selection of award recipients will be chosen by the COTH Administrative Board.

COTH spring regional meetings were held in Pacific Grove, California, Atlanta, Chicago and Boston. At the western meeting John Kasonic of Arthur Young and Company discussed "The Implications of H.R.1 on the Provision of Professional Services in the Teaching Setting." In Chicago, Al Whitehall and Thomas McConnell described the New Mexico Foundation Plan under the title, "Quality of Care and the Teaching Hospital." Vernon Weckworth presented an analysis of the issues involved in quality assurance proposals. Additionally, Robert Laur, Acting Director, Office of Policy Development and Planning, DHEW, set forth his observations on implications of federal program shifts on teaching hospitals.

At the southern meeting in Atlanta, John Lynch of North Carolina Baptist Hospitals discussed probable federal cutbacks in medical school funds as they will affect teaching hospitals. George Stockbridge, Executive Secretary, Health Planning Council for Central North Carolina, described the recent North Carolina Supreme Court decision which declared the North Carolina "Certificate of Need Law" unconstitutional. Larry Martin, Comptroller and Associate Director of Massachusetts General Hospital, presented the implications of rate review legislation for teach-

ing hospitals. Featured speaker at the north-eastern meeting was John D. Twiname, Executive Director for Health of the Cost of Living Council, who discussed the control of health care costs under Phase III of the Economic Stabilization Program.

Organization of Student Representatives

The interest and participation of medical students in the Organization of Student Representatives grew rapidly during the year. Over 100 students participated in OSR activities at the 1972 Annual Meeting in Miami Beach. During these sessions students voiced concern about a wide range of issues including the doctor draft, health maintenance organizations, the geographic maldistribution of physicians, and the National Intern and Resident Matching Program. OSR also sponsored a successful Annual Meeting program about the problems of racial minorities in medical schools.

OSR was well represented at four regional spring meetings held in conjunction with the Group on Student Affairs. Students partici-

pated actively in joint meetings with GSA, and student views on various aspects of the admissions crisis were incorporated in GSA actions on the Early Decision Plan and the adoption of uniform dates for notification of acceptance to medical school. The OSR also played a primary role in developing a program for monitoring the procedures of NIRMP and for reporting violations of these procedures to appropriate national authorities.

The OSR Administrative Board met in December and June. The first Board meeting was largely devoted to an orientation about the organization and activities of AAMC. In June the student leaders evaluated the results of the OSR regional meetings and outlined the issues to be considered by OSR at the 1973 Annual Meeting. A third Administrative Board meeting was planned for early September.

After a two year period of organization and growth, the OSR has become an effective vehicle for bringing student views to the development of policy and programs in the Association, through participation in the Executive Council, Assembly and most committees.

National Policy

The national policy interests of American medical schools and teaching hospitals commanded major attention by the Association in the past year. The Association's activities ranged across the fields of biomedical research, medical education and patient care. It participated actively in seeking adequate federal funding for key health programs as well as in suggesting approaches for the development of overall national health strategy.

Without question, the dominant issue of the year was the level of federal funding for national health programs. President Nixon vetoed two successive appropriations measures for the activities of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare during the year ending June 30, 1973; as a result, the Department operated a full year without specific, Congressionally approved appropriations. In each case, the Association urged the President to sign the legislation so that national goals and objectives in health, as determined by existing federal programs, could be supported with adequate funds.

Release in January 1973 of the President's budget for the year ending June 30, 1974, showed clearly that the funding issue was to remain important. Proposed decreases in the levels of funding for research, for medical education assistance, and for numerous health service programs were so sharp that the impact on the schools would be serious. To measure the impact, the Association undertook a detailed survey of the effect of the budget cuts on the schools. The results confirmed that the proposed budget levels would lead to faculty cutbacks, smaller enrollments, fewer community services, and possibly irreversible dismantling of research programs. With the survey results in hand, the Association itself, and in cooperation with the Coalition for Health Funding and the Federation of Associations of Schools of the Health

Professions, testified before both House and Senate appropriations subcommittees urging increased levels of funding. Congress responded and restored many of the sharp cuts to previous levels. The President's Office of Management and Budget also was kept informed of the survey and its results. In related appropriations action, the Association worked vigorously through testimony and correspondence to secure adequate funding for the medical activities of the Veterans Administration, as they relate to VA-medical school relationships.

Another important issue this year was the setting of overall national health strategy. Opportunities to do this arose because of the simultaneous expiration of legislative authorities for 12 key federal health programs, and the need to consider legislation modifying and extending the programs. Included were authority for health services research and development, national health statistics, migrant health, comprehensive health planning and services, assistance to medical libraries, Hill-Burton hospital construction aid, allied health and public health education assistance, regional medical programs, family planning services, community mental health centers, and aid for persons with developmental disabilities. The Association has deep interests in a number of these programs as they relate to medical schools and teaching hospitals, as well as a deep and continuing concern with the absence of a coherent national health policy. Since the Congressional committees could not devote sufficient time to consider separately the many important programs whose legislative authority was expiring, the Association supported legislation to extend the expiring programs for one year. Such legislation was enacted. Later, separate legislation on which the Association testified was considered, dealing with health service

research and development, national health statistics, medical library assistance, and allied and public health education assistance. Additional legislation was to be considered later. In testimony and in correspondence, Association spokesmen supported recodification of the Public Health Service Act, the basic federal health statute, as a first step toward reorganizing federal health programs into a more rational administrative structure, and establishment of a Presidentially appointed commission to undertake a study of all federal health programs and to recommend appropriate restructuring of them. The Association continued to support legislation to establish a separate, cabinet-level Department of Health, but no Congressional action occurred.

Different issues dominated the Association's activities in research, teaching and patient care. In research, the key issues were the research training programs of the National Institutes of Health and the safety of human subjects in experimentation. In teaching, the key development was the completion of the report to the Executive Council of the Committee on Financing of Medical Education and the many and complex issues that the report raised. In patient care, the dominant issue was the implementation by the Social Security Administration of provisions of the Social Security Amendments of 1972 dealing with the reimbursement of physicians in the teaching setting. In each of these areas, the Association through its officers and senior staff exerted constant efforts to inform key members of the Legislative and Executive Branches of the needs of the schools and hospitals in meeting national health objectives and the likely effects of varying federal approaches toward meeting those needs.

Proposals included in the President's fiscal 1974 budget to begin phasing out the existing programs of research training grants and fellowships triggered intense Association activities. The Association's budget survey documented the serious adverse effect such a move would have on the development of new biomedical researchers and medical school faculty and on the financial stability of schools

which were heavily engaged in research training. The Association cooperated with interested members of Congress in developing legislation to retain the present research training programs and supported the legislation in testimony and through other appropriate means. The Association stressed the need for increasing numbers of researchers and faculty and the efficiency of training grants and fellowships in meeting that need. The Association's arguments were further supported by favorable reports from the National Institutes of Health and the President's Science Advisory Committee. Where appropriate, meetings were arranged with key officials of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare and the Office of Management and Budget.

The issues of research training and protection of human subjects in experimentation were linked by legislation considered in the Senate which included in the same measure provisions dealing with both issues. Public concern had been mounting over the issue of research ethics, and the Association earlier had adopted a policy statement, based on the DHEW Guidelines for the Protection of Human Subjects, which declared that ensuring respect for human rights and dignity is integral to the educational responsibility of the institutions and their faculties. The Association testified in support of the ethics provisions of the Senate bill, which call for establishment of a National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research. The Commission would develop guidelines for research involving human subjects.

Completion of the Association's report on the financing of medical education marked an important development in preparation for upcoming consideration of legislative proposals to modify and extend the federal program of aid to undergraduate medical education. The report was prepared under the direction of Dr. Charles Sprague, AAMC chairman and president, University of Texas Health Science Center at Dallas. The report focuses upon the problems and issues surrounding the measurement of the cost of undergraduate medical education, a matter

of long concern to the Association, the federal government, and the medical education community. Determining the cost of medical education has become increasingly important as federal assistance has shifted to capitation support, which provides a given amount of aid per student. In fact, the legislation establishing the present capitation-grant support program, the Comprehensive Health Manpower Training Act of 1971, also called for a study by the National Academy of Sciences of the cost of educating medical students and other health professionals. Information from the Association's report and further work of Dr. Sprague's committee in developing methods of financing undergraduate medical education are certain to play critical roles in the preparation of new health professions education assistance legislation.

Meanwhile, the Executive Council has appointed a Committee on Health Manpower to review the authorities of the 1971 legislation, which expire June 30, 1974, and to recommend appropriate modifications which the Association should support in working with Executive and Legislative officials on the extension of the authorities.

In other health manpower developments, the Association worked closely with the Veterans Administration in implementing a new VA program of aid to new state medical schools and to expanding schools presently affiliated with a VA hospital. The new programs were authorized in legislation enacted late in 1972. The Association watched with concern the government's initial efforts at setting up a Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, in effect a federal, military medical school, which was authorized by legislation enacted, despite Association opposition, late in 1972. And the Association monitored closely an administrative reorganization of the DHEW which saw, among other changes, the Bureau of Health Manpower Education shifted from the National Institutes of Health to a newly created Human Resources Administration. The Bureau is the agency which administers the federal program of aid to undergraduate medical education.

The Association's concern with reimbursement of teaching physicians under Medicare deepened over the past year as regulations were prepared by the Social Security Administration for carrying out the 1972 Social Security legislation which modified the previous reimbursement methods. The legislation shifted reimbursement from a fee-for-service basis to a cost basis in many instances. Regulations specifying details of the new reimbursement method appeared certain to result in sharp drops of revenue for most schools from the practices of their clinical faculty members and demands for increased support for the schools from other sources. To assess the impact on the schools of the legislation and the regulations the Association established an ad hoc committee to review the issues and also conducted a survey of the schools for their estimates of possible revenue effects. The Committee held a number of meetings with SSA officials who were drawing up the regulations. Frequent efforts were made to explain the complex interrelationships existing between a medical school and a teaching hospital. Once regulations were issued, the Association successfully requested an extended period to prepare the results of its survey and to comment as forcefully as possible on the impact of the regulations.

The 1972 Social Security legislation was an omnibus bill and several other provisions also have attracted the attention of the Association. It has been particularly concerned with the development of Professional Standards Review Organizations and with requirements for increased review of teaching hospital activities by health planning agencies.

The Association continued to support legislative efforts to provide federal support for the establishment and expansion of emergency medical service systems and for the establishment of health maintenance organizations. Also, the Association has followed with concern the various developments affecting hospital costs and charges in connection with Phases II, III, and IV of President Nixon's economic stabilization program.

Working with Other Organizations

The AAMC realizes the importance of maintaining close ties with other health-related organizations to pursue mutual goals and objectives more effectively.

This year marked the formal organization of the Coordinating Council on Medical Education, a joint undertaking of the five major voluntary organizations having responsibility for medical education. The stated purpose of the CCME is to provide a forum for the members of the agencies represented to discuss and develop policies on all issues related to medical education and to initiate the necessary steps for their consideration by the five parent organizations. Membership on this important deliberative body consists of the AAMC, American Medical Association, American Hospital Association, American Board of Medical Specialties, Council on Medical Specialty Societies, as well as federal and public representatives. In its first year, the CCME has begun to address the issues of the relationship of accreditation to federal programs, institutional responsibility for graduate medical education, institutional accreditation, professional and geographic distribution of physicians, and foreign medical graduates.

The Liaison Committee on Medical Education, now under the supervision of the CCME, continues to serve as the nationally recognized accrediting agency for programs of undergraduate medical education. A cooperative effort of the AAMC and the AMA, the LCME conducted twenty accreditation surveys during the 1972-73 academic year and has expanded its services to universities contemplating the development of a medical school. This year a new document, "Functions and Structure of a Medical School," which sets forth the general principles of accreditation of undergraduate medical edu-

cation was formally adopted by the AAMC Assembly and the AMA House of Delegates.

A second liaison committee charged with overseeing the accreditation of graduate medical education was established this year under the supervision of the CCME. This Liaison Committee on Graduate Medical Education has been defining its appropriate relationship to the five parent bodies and to the twenty-two residency review committees. As these efforts progress and the LCGME begins to deal in greater depth with the substantive issues facing graduate medical education, it is becoming apparent that this coordinated approach holds great promise for the realization of medical education as a continuum.

The AAMC continues to work closely with the American Medical Association and the American Hospital Association on issues of common interest. Major collaborative efforts were undertaken this year to develop a joint position on new regulations proposed by the Social Security Administration to reimburse physicians in the teaching setting under Medicare. In addition, the AAMC Executive Committee has met with the officers of each of these important national organizations to discuss other issues and to facilitate collaboration in the future.

The Association has maintained its close working relationship with the staff of the Institute of Medicine of the National Academy of Sciences, particularly relating to the Institute's study of the cost of medical education. The resources and capabilities of the AAMC in this area have been made available to the IOM staff to assist them in successfully meeting their Congressional mandate.

An active liaison with the Veterans Administration in matters relating to the institutional relationships of medical schools and affiliated VA hospitals is maintained by the Association. The AAMC/VA Liaison Com-

mittee has discussed such timely issues as the appointment of hospital directors, the development of affiliation guidelines, regulations for establishing VA-supported medical schools, and the role of the VA in supporting basic and clinical research.

As a member of the Federation of Association of Schools of the Health Professions, the AAMC meets regularly with members representing both the educational and professional associations of eleven different health professions on interdisciplinary and national issues. Ways have been explored to expand appropriate programs of one association which encompass other health professions. Concerted efforts have been carried out in minority affairs programs and in the development of audiovisual and other non-print material for the education of health professionals. Last year, for the first time, a Federation spokesman presented testimony on health manpower appropriations for the membership. The growing unity among the members of the Federation has led to the development of a proposal under which the Federation would establish a small central office to coordinate specific programs of interests to its members. Areas of broad interest to the various health groups include the education of health professionals as a team, improving the management capabilities of the health centers, and a re-assessment of the present pattern of accreditation.

The Coalition for Health Funding, which the Association helped form four years ago, continues to grow in size, now having more than forty non-profit health related associations in its membership. The Coalition also enjoys the respect and confidence of most members of Congress. A Coalition document analyzing the Administration's proposed health budget for Fiscal Year 1974 and making recommendations for increased funding is widely used by Congress and the press.

The AAMC continues to assist the Association for Academic Health Centers on issues of concern to the Vice Presidents for Health Affairs. Representatives of each organization are invited to Executive Council and Board meetings of the other. The AAMC keeps the

Vice Presidents informed of developments on the national scene through its distribution of newsletters and memoranda.

Through the Division of International Medical Education, the Association has continued to support the Pan American Federation of Associations of Medical Schools in its aim to strengthen medical education in the Americas. Several projects this past year were initiated with PAFAMS through the sponsorship of the W. K. Kellogg Foundation. Two of these projects were particularly successful. One concerned the initiation of a faculty training program in Latin American medical schools on preparing and using self-instructional materials. The other was an analysis of the relationship between social security institutions and medical schools in Latin America for the purpose of determining the extent to which the health resources of social security institutions could be employed for the benefit of medical education.

With similar objectives in mind the AAMC has provided support to the Association of Medical Schools in Africa by developing and conducting three regional seminars on the Teaching and Practice of Family Health. The meetings brought together representatives from African medical schools, nursing and other allied health professional training institutions, observers from WHO regional offices, ministers of health, and local government representatives interested in the general field of population and family planning.

The AAMC has also provided administrative and logistical support to the newly constituted World Federation for Medical Education, a voluntary, non-governmental, non-profit organization representing medical schools and medical educators on a world wide basis. The AAMC, a constituent member of the WFME through the Panamerican Federation of Associations of Medical Schools, is giving specific program support for the planning of an international conference on the role of the physician in population change to be held during the World Population Year (1974) and to be planned in conjunction with the World Medical Association.

The AAMC's Division of International Medical Education has undertaken assignments for specific educational needs as well. Assistance was provided in the recruitment of faculty members for a four-man teaching team to work at the University Center for Health Sciences in Yaounde, Cameroon. This has led to a contract between the U.S. Agency for International Development and Harvard Medical School which will provide technical and logistical support to the team working in Yaounde, and will have responsibility for the evaluation phase of the project.

The Association as a sponsoring agency has taken an active role in furthering closer

collaboration between the Educational Council for Foreign Medical Graduates and the Commission on Foreign Medical Graduates. It is expected that these efforts will lead to a fusion of the two organizations and stronger representation by the sponsors in the development of national policies and programs for foreign medical graduates. Realizing the critical nature of these issues, the Association has appointed a special task force to review the general problems associated with the FMG. Specific recommendations for policy and action on the part of the Association are expected from the task force in the coming year.

Education

The AAMC's Group on Medical Education is completing its first full official year. The four regions of the GME have initiated projects which include information site visits for programmatic evaluation, and identification of non-print educational materials for evaluation and indexing. This latter activity is in cooperation with a project directed by the AAMC's Division of Educational Resources under a contract with the National Library of Medicine. The GME is also supporting AAMC efforts to establish policies and guidelines for the collection and dissemination of academic data. The GME is deeply involved in the activities of the AAMC Department of Academic Affairs and has become an increasingly valuable mechanism for ensuring the relevancy of AAMC staff efforts to constituent needs.

The Continuing Education Study Committee, chaired by Thomas C. Meyer, M.D., submitted a report to the Executive Council setting forth certain recommendations as the basis for developing a new thrust in the continuing education of physicians. The Committee will continue work this year to implement new strategies to carry out these recommendations.

The *1972-1973 AAMC Curriculum Directory* was distributed in November, 1972 to all medical schools in the United States and Canada. As a resource for basic information about medical curricula, the Directory has filled an important need for dean's offices, curriculum committees, offices of research in medical education, foundations, government offices, medical students and applicants. The *1973-1974 AAMC Curriculum Directory* has been generously supported by a grant from the Josiah A. Macy, Jr. Foundation.

AAMC Education News, a newsletter supported by the National Fund for Medical Education, has been initiated to describe current activities in student assessment, cur-

riculum change, and instructional innovations. Brief program descriptions will be written for the medical faculty member with the goal of providing useful information on education to teachers in the medical school. The first issue will appear in October, 1973 and then every other month through June, 1974—a total of five issues the first academic year. The publication will be mailed to all medical school deans and full-time faculty members.

Data from the MCAT Questionnaire for the years 1968-1970 and 1972 have been accumulated and partially analyzed. Reports on the data will be published. Beginning in May 1973, the administration of the MCAT and all related areas of security, confidentiality, irregularities and score reporting became the responsibility of the American College Testing Program.

Three new revised forms of the MCAT Science Sub-test have been delivered and are being utilized. These revisions were developed under the supervision of representatives from premedical and medical faculties across the country. The program is committed to the maintenance of the high technical quality of the current test with simultaneous efforts to initiate the development of an expanded program of pre-enrollment assessment.

This effort to expand pre-enrollment assessment has been formalized as the AAMC's Medical College Admission Assessment Program (MCAAP). During this year, a program director was appointed and an extensive communications program started with the various constituent groups and related organizations, including the regional organizations of the Council of Deans, Organization of Student Representatives, Group on Student Affairs, Group on Medical Education, and the Association of Advisors for the Health Professions. The Committee on the Measurement

of Personality and the GSA Committee on Minority Affairs have had extensive involvement as well. Representatives from all these organizations met to consider position papers containing recommendations for program development. From the recommendations of the Task Force, specifications were developed for presentation to the membership at the AAMC Annual Meeting in 1973.

Special attention has been directed to the non-cognitive needs of the MCAAP. The MCAAP advisory groups hope that non-cognitive assessment will be of assistance to schools in broadening their admissions requirements to meet societal health care needs. Since currently available personality tests are not completely appropriate for use in the medical school admissions process, research is being encouraged in this area, along with efforts to improve currently used non-cognitive techniques such as the biographical questionnaire, the autobiography/essay and the admission interview.

The AAMC Longitudinal Study of the Class of 1960 is the focus of research related to the career development of physicians, identifying both cognitive and non-cognitive variables that might be related to performance criteria. The study has been funded by the National Center for Health Services Research and Development for Phase II of its follow-up stage. Using the computer data bank, the archive of previous research, and the tentative follow-up strategy generated in Phase I, current activity involves the generation of a detailed protocol for collecting data on the performance criteria and relating these to the early characteristics of the physicians.

To facilitate more efficient utilization of biomedical communication technology by the medical school faculties, the Association has developed a program related to non-print educational resources. These include such multimedia educational materials as motion pictures, videotapes, filmstrips, slide sets, audiotapes, models, simulation equipment and computer-assisted instruction. As a result of continuing cooperative efforts with the National Library of Medicine and the Bureau of Health Resources Development, a contract

was awarded to the AAMC to permit the development of specific projects in: 1) the identification, acquisition, assessment, indexing and cataloging of currently available educational materials in the health sciences; 2) the design of a Health Sciences Test Item Library; and 3) a feasibility study of retrieval of learning materials by educational objectives. These initial projects will implement some of the recommendations made by previous AAMC committees.

A survey of existing educational materials found to be effective by the AAMC constituency is currently in progress. Steps planned in the development of a Health Sciences Test Item Library include a national survey of test item pools available, followed by the establishment of a small core staff and advisory team.

During this past year the Association has worked with U.S. medical schools in helping to provide broad health educational experiences for students with unusual career interests. The AAMC has been particularly interested in the potential contribution an educational program in international health could make to the present efforts of U.S. medical schools in providing their students a broader health care experience. Toward this end, the Association's Division of International Medical Education has discussed with regional groups of schools the development of a structured academic pathway in international health.

The Association became convinced of the need to support such a broadened health education pathway as a result of its successful five-year experience with the Public Health Service in running a ten-week foreign fellowship program for U.S. students. First in Israel and then Yugoslavia, this program has provided public health clerkships and investigative situations for 46 students each year under the direction of foreign medical and public health schools. A study to ascertain the influence of foreign fellowship experiences on the future career practices of U.S. medical students is currently underway.

The AAMC has worked extensively on some of the problems involved in coordinating international health experiences with the academic requirements of U.S. schools. These problems

relate both to communication and to the content of the experience itself. Through the development of close ties with the Yugoslav fellowship schools this year, it has been possible to make some adjustments in the methods used to evaluate students so that the information obtained is more usable for U.S. institutions. In addition, the fellowship instruction has tended to stress those aspects of Yugoslavian health and life which have been shown to be the least familiar but most informative to U.S. students. Students' project reports have been made available upon request. Information about these reports is provided through the publication of abstracts in *DIME Dialogue*.

Through an extended communication network, the Division of International Medical Education has established close working relationships with the Liaison Officers for International Activities, who serve as the focal point for programs of international health at their respective institutions. In several instances these relationships have resulted in arrangements for consultation on international health projects. Together with the staff of the Fogarty Center for International Health, the AAMC is preparing plans for a workshop on the role of academic medical centers in international health.

Research

As part of its increased activities in the area of biomedical research, the Association has established a Committee on Biomedical Research and Research Training. Eugene Braunwald, M.D., of Harvard Medical School, is Chairman of the Committee which initially has been charged with determining the cost of the contribution of biomedical research to undergraduate medical education. The Committee has also been examining the impact of possible termination of Federal research training grant programs while evaluating alternate methods of ensuring an optimal flow of new, young biomedical research personnel.

The Committee has also been concerned with the adequate support for investigator-initiated biomedical research programs which have built an impressive momentum in the attack against the diseases and impairments of man. The principal effect of the Administration's FY 1974 budget on the conduct of biomedical research would be to accelerate recent Administration policy to diminish investigator-initiated research and increase the level of targeted research funded through contracts. Further, the increased Federal support for heart and cancer research proposed in the FY 1974 budget clearly draws funds away from research in other fields. This would thwart the synergistic efforts of a balanced, coordinated national program of research into the physical and mental impairments of man. The Association has emphasized that biomedical research in any single field is related to and supported by simultaneous research and investigation in every other life science. It has repeatedly pointed out that to reduce the research activity in other fields while increasing activity in a highly targeted field, such as cancer, may in fact foreclose important unforeseen advances in the national effort to conquer cancer.

In testimony before the Appropriations Committees and both the Senate and House

Health Subcommittees, the Association emphasized that the proposed phase-out of the Federal support for biomedical research training could not be justified by either the past performance of these programs or the potential merits of alternate mechanisms to meet the need for new biomedical research personnel. Training grant and fellowship programs have been highly successful in producing career researchers and teachers. They have assured the constant flow of people with advanced training in the biomedical sciences to provide new faculty and staff for university health centers. These training programs are particularly valuable because they provide both student and institutional support. The student support is essential in offsetting the attractions of the more lucrative clinical specialties. The institutional support component is necessary to ensure training capabilities of high excellence and to provide for the further development of the structure of graduate education in the biomedical sciences. To phase out training grant programs would reduce support which has made it possible for medical schools to develop formal educational programs to prepare students with the advanced knowledge which has permitted rapid progress in the national effort to conquer disease. The Association also indicated that the general research support program of the NIH provides funds which medical schools can use at the discretion of the institution for the development of new programs, providing initial support for young investigators, undertaking pilot projects and feasibility studies and supporting generalized facilities and services needed by multiple investigators. These funds thus greatly multiply the value of appropriations specifically directed to the support of other research programs.

In a related development during the year, the Association closely monitored the

mounting Congressional interest in the broad issue of the ethics of biomedical research. There were a number of widely publicized incidents concerning major health research projects which raised serious questions about the ethics and supervision of certain kinds of clinical research. The Association adopted a policy statement which called for even greater efforts to assure that the rights of individuals were protected in all research projects, whether funded from Federal agencies or other sources of support.

In discussions with key Administration and

Congressional representatives, the AAMC lent strong support to the system of peer review of proposals for Federal research support. Reportedly under attack from within the Federal Administration, the peer review system allows eminent scientists in a particular field to judge which research projects are most worthy of support. This scientific review reduces the potential influence of politics on the granting of research funds and assures scientific determination of where the funds will do the most good. Administration officials have agreed that the peer review system must be retained.

Health Care

Early in 1973, the AAMC's Division of Health Services was given departmental status, a reflection of the growing emphasis being given to health care issues within the Association. Under the advice and guidance of the Health Services Advisory Committee, chaired by Dr. Robert Heyssel, the Department continued to expand its programs in various critical areas related to the health care activities of the medical schools and teaching hospitals.

The first phase of the HSMHA-supported project studying the implications of health maintenance organizations for academic medical centers was completed and a final report with findings and recommendations was submitted. In addition, a selected group of papers from the eight regional HMO workshops was published as a supplement to the April 1973 issue of the *Journal of Medical Education* under the title "HMO Program Development In the Academic Medical Center." This document has stimulated a great deal of interest among medical centers throughout the country. In line with the recommendations contained in the final report on Phase I, contractual support was received from HSMHA under which the Association undertook the planning and coordination of the development of a series of prototype HMOs at five of its constituent institutions. The participating institutions selected by HSMHA include Children's Hospital of San Francisco, Creighton University, the University of Michigan, University of Pennsylvania and Wayne State University. All HMO prototype projects are proceeding with technical assistance programs developed in concert with the AAMC staff and a group of primary project consultants who provide a continuous source of expert advice and consultation for each project.

The Subcommittee on Quality of Care of the Health Services Advisory Committee, under the leadership of Dr. Robert Weiss, has been

actively concerned with emerging developments in this important area. Its program has included two major meetings as well as consultation with key congressional and HEW officials concerned with professional standards and quality review as well as authorities concerned with the methodology of quality assessment. As a result of its work, an AAMC policy statement on Professional Standards Review Organizations was developed and approved by the Executive Council at its March 1973 meeting.

The major new area of emphasis in the Department of Health Services is that of primary care. In response to the direction of the Executive Council that a primary care initiative be high among the new priorities established for the Association, the Health Services Advisory Committee was charged with the implementation of a program to carry out such an initiative. Drs. Thomas Piemme and Steven Schroeder, both of the George Washington University School of Medicine, were engaged as special consultants. Their first task involved the development and distribution of an extensive questionnaire surveying the interests and activities of medical schools in health services delivery and primary care education. Response has been received from more than 70 schools and it is contemplated that valuable data will soon be available which will provide a basis for developing Association policy and plans. A parallel development involves the appointment of a Task Force on Primary Care composed of physicians from academic medical settings having expert knowledge and experience in this field.

A significant reflection of the impact of these activities is the commitment by the AAMC of a half-day program at the November 1973 Annual Meeting on the subject of Primary Care and Quality Assurance.

Faculty

During the past year a major part of the Association's activities has been devoted toward increasing the participation of the medical school faculty members in the affairs of the Association through the Council of Academic Societies. Association staff and members of the CAS Administrative Board have met with both the governing boards and the full membership of many of the academic societies to encourage faculty participation. In addition, there has been an increased involvement of faculty members on Association committees, task forces and accreditation teams.

The Association's Faculty Roster project, financed under a contract with the Bureau of Health Resources Development, has become increasingly useful in answering questions raised by the Federal government relative to the merits of various manpower development programs such as Research Training Grants and Health Manpower legislation. The Faculty Roster project was initiated in 1965 in order to assess the intellectual capital of medical education, to study the sources of faculty and the circumstances of their training, and to characterize the flow of persons from one institution to another and the reasons for departure from medical academia. It is the only comprehensive study of its kind and has been particularly concerned with the development of manpower to staff new and expanded medical schools. More recently, the roster has been used to measure the progress of the schools in increasing the representation of women and under-represented minorities.

Although the primary purpose of the project remains the support of this national study, the activity has a number of useful by-products for both the schools and the faculty members. The schools are now being provided systematic and organized copies of their own data so that they will be able to use the system as a faculty data base at the school. Since faculty roster

information contains all pertinent education and employment data, only lists of publications need to be added in order to supply resumes of faculty members to accompany grant applications. Some schools will also use rosters to keep track of tenure and promotion schedules and to supply faculty lists required for accreditation site visits.

Because of the comprehensive nature of this faculty roster system, it is possible to discourage the conduct of additional surveys which would duplicate part or all of this effort. Thus, the project relieves the schools and faculty members of a clerical burden, while providing the government and the public with a locus of information on the medical school faculty.

Annual surveys of faculty salaries are continuing to give a better understanding of trends in compensation. This program permits schools to adjust their faculty compensation system in order to compete more effectively in the recruitment of new faculty.

The Graduate Medical Education Committee, chaired by William G. Anlyan, M.D., completed the development of Guidelines for Academic Medical Centers Planning to Assume Institutional Responsibility for Graduate Medical Education. This document addresses the entire spectrum of graduate medical education and in particular, states that faculty should be responsible for policy development and program review of all facets of graduate medical education. Faculty from both basic and clinical academic departments should expect to contribute to the teaching programs of the various disciplines. In most institutions, mechanisms for ensuring that the faculty exercises this responsibility have been well developed for the undergraduate program leading to the M.D. degree. Because of the greater complexity of graduate education, it is particularly important that full participa-

tion of members of the faculty, ranging from basic scientists to practicing clinicians, be engaged in setting standards for student selection, reviewing and approving curriculum plans, assessing the validity of resident evaluation procedures, and ratifying the graduation of residents from various graduate medical programs. The guidelines developed by the committee and approved by the Executive Council are designed to facilitate this assumption of responsibility.

The Association conducted two studies to evaluate the impact of the proposed Federal budget for fiscal year 1974 on medical education. The first study evaluated the impact on the medical school as an institution and the second study evaluated the impact on six disciplines: physiology, microbiology, biochemistry, medicine, pediatrics, and psychiatry.

Data from the 78 schools participating in the institutional survey indicate that the number of full-time faculty supported from

Federal grants and contracts would decrease from 8,785 in FY 1973 to 7,364 in FY 1974 as a result of the anticipated decrease in Federal funding of institutional support grants, research training grants, research grants and regional medical programs. Data from the departmental survey indicate that clinical departments planned to increase their patient care activities to compensate for the curtailment in both Federal and non-Federal research grants and contracts. Department chairmen indicated that this would moderately compromise their ability to teach. Psychiatry predicted the greatest effect from the changing patterns in Federal funding. Psychiatry chairmen anticipate a 17% decrease in the number of faculty members who could be supported in FY 1974 with the phase out of the training grant and reduction in other programs. The information gained furnished the basis for informing the Administration and the appropriation committees on the serious consequences of inadequate funding of programs.

Students

The Association has devoted considerable effort to examining medical school admissions problems generated by the three-to-one ratio of applicants to available first-year places. Although a feasibility study indicated that an admissions matching plan would be technically possible and relatively inexpensive, AAMC staff and advisory panels were less optimistic about the universal acceptance of such a plan. Accordingly, a four-stage plan was recommended to encourage: 1) more detailed admissions information about each school; 2) more widespread use of the Early Decision Plan; 3) uniform dates for notifying applicants of their acceptance by a medical school; and 4) prompt mailing of "rejection letters" to allow maximum time for alternate plans. The Early Decision Plan will be used by 51 schools and Uniform Acceptance Dates by 69 schools in selecting their 1974-75 entering class.

The Group on Student Affairs has remained closely involved with the AAMC's student programs. The Association worked closely with the GSA's Committee on Financial Problems of Medical Students to secure information from medical school financial aid officers about the importance of the Federal Health Professions Scholarship Program, which is scheduled for termination. Over 80 medical schools responded to the request for data detailing scholarship support provided for low-income students. The AAMC continued to administer the \$10,000,000 Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Student-Aid Program as well as the Transfer Program for two-year medical schools. Liaison was maintained with the Selective Service System, and administrative support was provided for the Association of Advisors for the Health Professions and the Organization of Student Representatives.

This past year has seen the further strengthening of the Office of Minority Affairs in the AAMC. A major concern of this office has been

to work directly with AAMC member institutions in efforts to expand the applicant pool of minority students.

Experiences and approaches to the expansion of the applicant pool and to the admission and retention of minority students in medical schools were shared with 800 participants at the 1972 AAMC Annual Meeting in Miami. The AAMC, through the Office of Minority Affairs, also presented two workshops on Simulated Minority Admissions Exercises. These exercises were offered in workshop settings to help admissions and minority affairs officers to identify new and positive criteria for selecting minority applicants. The workshops highlighted some eight variables which include realistic institutional attitudes toward the disadvantaged student. A simulated structured interview with a minority candidate using the "latent image" process provides a method for institutions to assess their admission criteria.

The Office of Minority Affairs continued to provide information on opportunities for minority medical students through its information clearinghouse operation as well as the biannual distribution to medical school admissions officers of the Medical Minority Applicant Registry (Med-MAR).

The OEO-funded program entitled "Efforts to Increase Minorities in the Health Professions," administered by the AAMC, was successfully concluded on June 30, 1973. Through this program, financial and technical assistance was provided to a total of 50 community and school based projects.

The COTRANS program continued to expand in 1972. Eight hundred and seven applicants were sponsored for participation in Part I of the National Board of Medical Examiners' test in June and September. Of the 676 who took the examination, 215 (31.8%) achieved an overall passing score. In addition,

50 COTRANS sponsored examinees achieved scores in anatomy, biochemistry, and physiology that were high enough for second-year acceptance consideration. Advanced Standing admissions were awarded to 214 COTRANS sponsored students (36 for 2nd year, 167 for 3rd year, and 11 for 4th year places) representing an increase of 99 (86.1%) over 1971. An overview of the COTRANS experience from 1970 through 1972 was published in the Datagram, "COTRANS: A Progress Report," in the May 1973 issue of the *Journal of Medical Education*.

Significant increases in the number of Medical College Admission Test examinees continue. The estimate for 1973 is 58,000 examinations, up from 51,500 in 1972 and 45,000 in 1971.

The Biochemistry Special Achievement Test for advanced achievement testing in this discipline was initiated in the fall of 1970. During the 1972-73 academic year, 38 schools participated in the testing program. Seventeen schools tested a small number of students for advanced placement and 21 schools administered the test to the entire freshman class. Nine of the participating schools administered the test to more than one group of students. A revised edition of the test has been prepared for use in the 1973-74 academic year.

To meet the growing demand for studies relating to students, a decision was made to establish a new Division of Student Studies in the Department of Academic Affairs. The new division is expected to make more effective use of the growing collection of data on characteristics of applicants, students, graduates and their medical education.

The formation of the Division of Academic Information during 1971-72 furnished the organizational base for securing applicant and medical student data. The major responsibilities of this unit were to strengthen and expand the American Medical College Application Service (AMCAS) program, reorganize and integrate the various files of applicants and enrollee information, and to expand the Association's capability to provide appropriate information to its constituency and the public. In order to better coordinate

all of the activities related to admissions and student affairs, the Division of Academic Information and the Division of Student Affairs were discontinued and their functions were assigned to a new Division of Student Programs and Services.

A recent agreement initiated between the Association and the Bureau of Health Resources Development provides for development and analysis of student data. This past year has been devoted to a review of existing files and the definition of items of information which will comprise the integrated medical student information system.

Work is continuing on a cooperative research project with the Educational Testing Service studying the flow of talent from undergraduate colleges to advanced degree work with implications for medical school admission and environment. A report, *The Graduates*, has been published by ETS with AAMC support, describing the characteristics and plans of approximately 20,000 college seniors taking part in the 1971 survey. Work is now in progress to analyze the data obtained from a follow-up survey of the same individuals one year later. A series of reports focusing on the group of seniors who planned to enter medical school is being prepared. The first of these reports appeared as a datagram in the *Journal of Medical Education*. Studies are also being made of the feasibility of matching the ETS data with data derived from the MCAT Program for this particular group of students.

The AMCAS program, which provides a centralized application service for medical school applicants has grown from 58 participating schools in 1971-72, to 75 which will participate in 1973-74. During 1972-73, a total of 33,853 individuals submitted a total of 224,647 applications through AMCAS, compared to 28,215 applicants who filed 165,882 applications in 1971-72. MCAT questionnaire data from non-AMCAS applicants is combined with AMCAS applicant information to produce summary reports covering all applicants.

Student record files for all students who entered medical school since 1965 have been

consolidated into a single data base. The Accomplishment Report for currently enrolled students has been produced under the new title of Class Roster.

A pilot information service for undergraduate preprofessional advisors was initiated this year on the recommendation of the Council of Deans. This service will be pro-

vided for a minimum fee to 199 institutions which will participate during 1973-74. The information will consist of aggregate data about the characteristics of the national applicant pool as well as information about the candidates from the subscribing institution. Individual data is released only with the written consent of the student.

Institutional Development

The Management Advancement Program has been in operation a full year, with the third Executive Development Seminar (Phase I) being conducted in August 1973. This program, maturing with the deep involvement of representatives of the Council of Deans under the direction of a Steering Committee chaired by Dr. Ivan Bennett, has sought to enhance the leadership qualities and skills requisite to the task of creative institutional development.

The program consists of two seminars. The first, an Executive Development Seminar (Phase I) for deans or the principal executive officer of the medical schools, is a one-week workshop on management technique and theory conducted for a maximum of 25 participants by faculty from the MIT Sloan School of Management. The second, a follow-up Institutional Development Seminar (Phase II), is conducted three months to a year following the Phase I seminar. The dean, who has completed the Phase I seminar, selects a group of colleagues to join him in Phase II. He and his colleagues review some of the concepts and informational input from the first seminar and have an opportunity to apply some of these concepts to a problem of concern to the institution. In addition to the faculty, which provides the formal education presentations, individual consultants are assigned to work during the seminar with each school.

Underlying the design of the program is the perception that the leadership of the academic medical center and component institutions can benefit greatly from an enhancement of their technical managerial skills and a refinement of their human relations or behavioral skills. This is coupled with a recognition of the necessity of broadening the base of interest in improving the managerial quality within individual schools. Thus, not only the principal manager or executive is involved, but a whole group of those concerned with the

development of the institution and its programs. Finally, the need for follow-up and reinforcement is recognized and accounts for the iterative nature of the program.

With the third Phase I, 70 deans will have participated in the Executive Development Seminars. The follow-up seminars have involved 17 institutions and over 100 individual participants. The individuals have included, in addition to the deans, 31 department chairmen, nine hospital administrators, four vice presidents, and one chancellor, as well as program directors, business officers and planning coordinators.

Funds for the planning and implementation of the first seminar were awarded by the Carnegie Corporation of New York and the Grant Foundation. A major grant from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation permitted the full implementation of the program for a two-year period.

Closely associated with the activities of the Management Advancement Program, the Management Systems Development and Analysis Program was established to review the extent to which academic medical centers are utilizing tools of management science in their operations and to assist in the implementation of such tools as management information systems and simulation planning models. With the guidance of the Management Systems Development Liaison Committee, chaired by Dr. Jane G. Elchlepp, the AAMC is seeking to coordinate the development of data sets, tools and processes used in academic medical centers. Such coordination must assure that external data sets are useful for internal management and that internal information systems are designed to meet external information requirements as well as meeting internal management requirements.

Fundamental to institutional development is the professional development of the adminis-

trative support staff at the health center. Primarily for this reason, two sub-council groups have been formed within the AAMC, the Group on Business Affairs and the Planning Coordinators Group. Specifically, the Group on Business Affairs has as its main purpose the advancement of "medical education particularly in the areas of business, fiscal and administrative management of medical schools and to facilitate direct interaction of the AAMC staff and councils with institutional representatives charged with responsibilities in business affairs." Developed some five years ago, the GBA in addition to assisting the AAMC in the collection of financial data from its constituency has excelled in the development of strong continuing education programs around such topics as financial reporting, resource management, medical service plans, and fiscal planning.

The Planning Coordinators Group was established to advance the state-of-the-art of professional planning in academic health science centers and to establish better communication among its members by a fruitful exchange of information through regional and national conferences. This group was formally approved by the AAMC last December. Plans are proceeding to develop a series of workshops relating to a key problem—coordination of academic plans, physical resources, organizational and administrative plans, and fiscal plans.

Also directed toward the goal of institutional renewal is the establishment of a new AAMC Division of Institutional Studies. This division will undertake a series of descriptive and analytical studies of the academic medical centers, their structure and the process of governance and decision-making. The objective is to provide medical school managers

with a coherent body of information on alternate forms of organization and their implications for institutional effectiveness.

As currently envisioned, the studies will examine such matters as the characteristics, role and interrelationships of boards of trustees, the vice presidents for medical affairs, deans, hospital administrators, faculty members, students, and support personnel. In addition, such issues as the implications and future of academic programs will be explored. Finally, work is progressing on the collection and analysis of material on educational programs on management-related topics appropriate for medical center executives and managers; consultants available to provide assistance in such fields as management, financial affairs, and organizational behavior; and an annotated bibliography of current literature of relevance to medical center management.

In response to the numerous requests for information about women in medicine from students, faculty, medical school administrators and professional and scientific organizations, the AAMC's Department of Institutional Development is attempting to organize data available on this subject. Drawing on the existing and extensive AAMC sources including Student Information, Faculty Profile Studies, and the Longitudinal Study, this office will coordinate the pooling of information pertaining to women. A special effort has been made to gather information from a wide variety of resources outside the AAMC and to represent the AAMC to the extent possible on an ad hoc basis at meetings and conferences which deal in a significant and relevant way with the subject of women in medicine. Additionally, the Association will focus on the special problems encountered by women who choose medicine as a career.

Communications

Through a variety of publications, news releases, press conferences and personal interviews, the Association communicates its views, studies and reports to its constituents, interested federal representatives and the public. The major news story generated by the Association this year concerned the release of the results of a survey the AAMC conducted of the medical schools to assess the impact of the Administration's proposed fiscal year 1974 Federal budget on the institutions. This survey was made public at a news conference held at AAMC headquarters on May 21, 1973. The conference attracted 25 newspaper and magazine reporters plus network radio and television crews and, as a result, the story received wide use across the country.

The President's Weekly Activities Report is the AAMC's major communications vehicle for keeping the constituents informed. This weekly publication is designed to report on Association activities and attempts to give insight into the implications of these events. It also makes an effort to keep its readers abreast of Federal activities in the health area. More than 30 schools, at their request, now receive this report in bundles of 50 copies for internal distribution.

The *Journal of Medical Education* expanded its operation slightly during fiscal year 1972-73. The *Journal* published 1,288 pages of editorial material during this period compared with 1,159 pages the previous year. Two supplements were printed: "Educational Technology for Medicine: Academic Institutions and Program Management" and "HMO Program Development in the Academic Medical Center." A total of 151 papers were published; special sections were devoted to career choices, development of new medical schools, and sex education. Plenary addresses from the AAMC 1972 Annual Meeting and the 1972 AAMC Proceedings and Annual

Report were also published in the *Journal*. The publication received 359 manuscripts for consideration during the 1972-73 year. Of these, 130 were accepted for publication, 153 were rejected, 19 were withdrawn, and 57 were pending as the year ended. The circulation of the *Journal* is about 6,000 copies a month. At the annual meeting of the *Journal* Editorial Board in November, Dr. Edmund D. Pellegrino succeeded as Board Chairman.

The *AAMC Bulletin*, which contains news items from the schools, the Association, the government, and related fields of education, circulates almost 7,000 copies a month. In addition to the *Bulletin*, other newsletters of a more specialized nature were produced by various offices: *The Advisor*, *COTH Report*, *DIME Dialogue*, *Student Affairs Reporter*, *The DEMR Report*, and the *MCAAP Report*. Almost 35,000 copies of the annual *Medical School Admission Requirements*, and 4,500 copies of the *AAMC Directory of American Medical Education* were distributed. The *AAMC Curriculum Directory*, issued for the first time, provided information on the changing scene of medical education.

Three AAMC publications won awards for excellence in the annual national competition conducted by the Educational Press Association of America. The publications were the *Journal of Medical Education* for its special issue on career choices, the *AAMC Bulletin*, and the 1971-72 *AAMC Annual Report*.

The newest of the AAMC newsletters will be the *AAMC Education News*, which will make its debut about October 1. This eight-page publication will be circulated free to all the full-time medical school faculty members. This five-times-a-year publication will be primarily concerned with reporting on changes and innovations in medical school curriculum. It is being made possible through support from the National Fund for Medical Education.

The Association continues to meet with editorial boards of major national newspapers. In addition, Association staff members are frequently interviewed by newspaper and magazine reporters.

The Group on Public Relations, representing the public information officers of the medical schools, received official status in the Association this year. The GPR has worked closely with the Association staff in stimulating nationwide coverage of important events through the use of media contacts at the local level.

Two important projects have been undertaken by the Group during the year. A committee has been formed and charged with the responsibility of formulating national guidelines for use by the teaching hospitals in providing information to news media, particularly in cases involving patients of national prominence. The guidelines are intended to offer suggestions for accommodating the news media and, at the same time, protecting the privacy of the patient.

This committee will be working with representatives of the national wire services, the National Radio and Television News Directors Association, American Medical Association,

American Hospital Association, Veterans Administration, and the American Bar Association in order to receive a wide spectrum of input to the preparation of procedures to be submitted to the Councils of the Association for review and suggestions.

The second major committee formed by the GPR will undertake a national public relations campaign, which has been named Project Med-Aware, to inform the public of the medical schools' contributions to the community. This campaign will focus primarily on biomedical research conducted by the medical schools, and will attempt to point out the need for sustaining the present level of research and how society can benefit from increasing these efforts. This program will require the production of television and radio spot announcements which will be used as public service announcements by network radio and television, as well as by local stations. In addition, a fifteen-minute film will be produced for the use of speakers, who will be recruited from medical schools and the practicing community, to show to interested groups throughout the country. Coupled with these efforts will be the publication of posters and literature for distribution.

Information Systems

The Association collects data on applicants, students, faculty members and institutions to provide a data base for analytical studies and statistical reports. The reports permit our institutions to view themselves in a regional or national perspective and provide support for institutional planning activities. Analytical studies are aimed at the identification of significant trends and new problems, and at the illumination of policy alternatives for the schools, the Association, and state and federal governments.

The sources of data are the MCAT and AMCAS questionnaires, the faculty roster questionnaire and change report, and Parts I and II of the questionnaire of the Liaison Committee on Medical Education. These are supplemented by annual surveys of medical school faculty and administrative salaries.

The Association has begun an ambitious effort to integrate its many data files into a comprehensive information system, with the aim of improving access and reliability and reducing redundancy and inconsistency in the data base. The effort in information systems development is supported in part by the DHEW Bureau of Health Resources Development, encompassing in a single contract activities such as the Faculty Roster and the Cost Allocation Study, previously supported individually.

The Association continues to serve as a resource for information of particular importance to teaching hospitals, including medical school affiliation arrangements, de-

isions concerning the taxability of stipends paid to house officers, and collective bargaining trends.

In the spring of 1973 the fifth annual survey of House Staff Policy was initiated. The questionnaire was designed to obtain response on policy matters in the relationship between teaching hospitals and interns, residents and fellows as well as the stipends and fringe benefits paid to house officers. Preliminary results of the survey were released in June and the final report was published in August. The current year's salary shows an increase of 4.7 percent over last year, as opposed to last year's 5 percent over the previous year.

The fifth annual survey of executive salaries in teaching hospitals is being planned and results are expected in December.

The September 1973 issues of the *Journal of Medical Education* contained the results of a special survey of 60 university-owned or operated teaching hospitals in the nation to determine sources of income for these hospitals, particularly through state appropriations.

The American Hospital Association has shared the results of their annual survey of hospitals. These results are now incorporated in the AAMC data system. It is anticipated that this arrangement will continue. This data, which will be updated on a continuing basis, provides valuable information for studies of teaching hospitals and comparisons with other types of institutions.

Treasurer's Report

The audited statements and the audit report for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 1973 were carefully examined by representatives of the Association's auditors, Ernst and Ernst, and members of the Association's Audit Committee on August 28, 1973. At its meeting in Washington on September 14, 1973, the Executive Committee reviewed and accepted the final and unqualified audit report. The management letter accompanying the audit report indicates that the Association's fiscal and internal control systems are adequate and minor recommendations for their improvement have already been implemented.

Total income increased 15.53% to \$6,257,849. Added volume of activity in special projects accounted for 41% of the increase, with contracts and grants providing 23%, membership dues 23%, and sundry

items 13%. The increased dues income results principally from the increase in COTH dues during the year.

Expenditures and transfers to restricted funds for special purposes totaled \$5,544,396. 31% of the increase in expenditures was in grants and contracts and 69% in other Association programs.

Balances in funds restricted by grantor increased \$105,358 to \$427,031 while residual funds available for general purposes increased \$667,358 to \$2,052,326—a reserve equal to approximately four and one-half months operations at the 1972-73 level of expenditures.

As the Association continues to expand its services, and given the uncertainties of the sources of the Association's future financial support, these reserves appear most appropriate.

Association of American Medical Colleges

Balance Sheet

June 30, 1973

ASSETS

Cash	\$ 167,473
Certificates of Deposit	1,900,000
Investments in United States Government	
Short-term Securities at cost and accrued interest	965,901
Accounts Receivable	659,284
Deposits & Prepaid Items	23,527
Investments in Management Account	790,703
Total	\$4,506,888

LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES

Liabilities

Accounts Payable	\$ 283,038
Deferred Income	773,127

Fund Balances

Funds restricted for special purposes	1,101,541
Funds restricted to investment in plant	296,856
General Funds	2,052,326
Total	\$4,506,888

Operating Statement

Fiscal Year ended June 30, 1973

SOURCE OF FUNDS

Income

Dues & Service Fees from Members	\$1,412,268
Grants Restricted by Grantor	464,667
Cost Reimbursement Contracts	1,289,743
Special Services	2,617,871
Journal of Medical Education	55,435
Other Publications	164,122
Sundry	253,743
Subtotal	\$6,257,849
Reserve for MCAT Development	60,290
Total	\$6,318,139

USE OF FUNDS

Operating Expenses

Salaries & Wages	\$2,158,847
Staff Benefits	240,239
Supplies & Services	2,257,744
Equipment	97,550
Travel	510,556
Subtotal	\$5,264,936

Transfer to Restricted Funds

for Special Purposes	280,000
Increase in Restricted Funds Balances	105,358
Increase in Unrestricted Funds Balances	667,845
Total	\$6,318,139

AAMC Membership

<i>TYPE</i>	<i>1971-72</i>	<i>1972-73</i>
Institutional	97	101
Provisional Institutional	17	14
Affiliate	14	15
Provisional Affiliate	3	2
Graduate Affiliate	1	1
Academic Societies	51	51
Teaching Hospitals	387	390
Individual	2,848	2,233
Emeritus	78	65
Senior	—	10
Contributing	18	13
Sustaining	19	15
Nonmembers in Development	1	—

AAMC Committees, 1972-73

Ad Hoc Committee on Medicare Regulations

Robert A. Derzon, chairman
John M. Dennis
L. Edgar Lee, Jr.
Lawrence C. Martin
Arnold S. Relman
G. Thomas Shires
Robert Van Citters
Charles B. Womer

Audit

Leonard W. Cronkhite, Jr., chairman
Sam L. Clark, Jr.
Christopher C. Fordham III

Biochemistry Special Achievement Test Steering

Armand J. Guarino
Clyde G. Huggins
H. J. Sallach

Biomedical Research and Research Training

Eugene Braunwald, chairman
W. Gerald Austen
Stuart Bondurant
Yale Drazin
James E. Eckenhoff
Ronald Estabrook
Melvin M. Grumbach
Wolfgang K. Joklik
Baldwin G. Lamson
A. Brian Little
Joseph E. Rall

Borden Award

Lewis Thomas, chairman
Kenneth M. Brinkhous
John W. Eckstein
Ronald Kracke
David Thompson
Richard W. Vilter

CAS Nominating

Sam L. Clark, Jr., chairman
John W. Corcoran
Douglas W. Eastwood
G. Thomas Shires
Nancy E. Warner
Ralph J. Wedgwood
Louis G. Welt

COD Nominating

Christopher C. Fordham III, chairman
Neal Gault
Leon Jacobson
Paul Marks
Clayton Rich

COTH Committee To Review Pertinent Sections of HR 1 (PL 92-603)

S. David Pomrinse, chairman
John W. Colloton
John M. Stagl
Charles B. Womer

COTH Nominating

George E. Cartmill, chairman
Leonard W. Cronkhite, Jr.
Russell H. Miller

Continuing Education Study

Thomas C. Meyer, chairman
Clement R. Brown
John N. Lein
William H. Luginbuhl
Donald Shropshire
Frank R. Woolsey III

Coordinating Council on Medical Education

AAMC Members

William G. Anlyan, chairman
Clifford Grobstein
T. Stewart Hamilton

LIAISON COMMITTEE ON MEDICAL EDUCATION

AAMC Members

Ralph J. Cazort
 Kenneth R. Crispell
 T. Stewart Hamilton
 Thomas D. Kinney
 Ernst Knobil
 C. John Tupper

LIAISON COMMITTEE ON GRADUATE MEDICAL EDUCATION

AAMC Members

William G. Anlyan
 John M. Danielson
 William D. Holden
 Julius R. Krevans

Data Systems Development

Robert M. Bucher, chairman
 John Bartlett
 David L. Everhart
 Christopher C. Fordham III
 Mary Ellen Hartman
 Jay Hassell
 Marion Mann
 Thomas E. Morgan
 Raymond H. Murray
 Bernard W. Nelson
 John E. Pauley
 Walter G. Rice
 Marvin Siegel
 John L. Townsend

Financing of Medical Education

Charles C. Sprague, chairman
 William G. Anlyan
 James W. Bartlett
 Howard L. Bost
 Robert A. Chase
 John A. Gronvall
 William D. Mayer
 Russell A. Nelson
 Bert Seidman
 William H. Stewart

TASK FORCE ON THE COST OF GRADUATE MEDICAL EDUCATION AND FACULTY PRACTICE PLANS

William G. Anlyan, chairman
 Christopher C. Fordham III

William J. Grove
 Arnold S. Relman
 Charles B. Womer

TASK FORCE ON COST OF MEDICAL EDUCATION

John A. Gronvall, chairman
 James W. Bartlett
 John Bartlett
 Donald A. Boulton
 Ray E. Brown
 John E. Chapman
 Christopher C. Fordham III
 Arnold Lazarow
 J. Edgar Lee, Jr.
 William D. Mayer
 Henry C. Meadow
 William B. Weil, Jr.

TASK FORCE ON FACILITIES

Howard L. Bost, chairman
 Charles F. Gregory
 Baldwin G. Lamson
 Robert G. Lindee
 Manson Meads

Flexner Award

Harold C. Wiggers, chairman
 Ray E. Brown
 Robert Kohn
 C. Ronald Stephen
 Joseph M. White

Graduate Medical Education Committee

William G. Anlyan, chairman
 Sam L. Clark, Jr.
 William J. Grove
 William Holden
 Julius R. Krevans
 Christian Ramsey
 Arnold S. Relman
 David B. Wilson
 Dael L. Wolfe

Group on Business Affairs

STEERING

Daniel P. Benford, chairman
 William C. Hilles, executive secretary
 Richard Aja
 Ruth E. Bardwell

James L. Bennett, Jr.
Thomas A. Fitzgerald
M. James Peters
Thomas A. Rolinson
Marvin H. Siegel
Richard C. Webster

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

V. Wayne Kennedy, chairman
Alfred F. Beers
Robert L. Machugh
Joseph Preissig
Marvin H. Siegel
Marshall Smith

RULES AND REGULATIONS

E. Wayne Drehmel, chairman
Daniel P. Benford
Hubert A. Davis
Eugene D. Ellis
Warren Kennedy

FINANCIAL AND STATISTICAL STANDARDS

Ronald A. Lochbaum, chairman
Harry W. Bernhardt
Ronald E. Cornelius
Thomas A. Fitzgerald
Paul Gazzo, Jr.
Jerry Huddleston
James C. Leming
Frederick B. Putney
C. Robert Richardson

INFORMATION RESOURCES

Donald H. Lentz, chairman
Robert Blackwell
Philip J. Gillette
Thomas A. Rolinson
Robert Walker
George L. Walsh

NOMINATING

Lawrence J. Guichard, chairman
Hubert A. Davis
Floyd L. Hagan
Cyril W. Kupferberg
Robert L. Machugh
Marvin H. Siegel

EXTERNAL RELATIONS

Hugh E. Hilliard, chairman
Thomas A. Fitzgerald
Clifton K. Himmelsbach
F. Haydn Morgan
C. N. Stover, Jr.

PROGRAM

James C. Rich, chairman
Donald K. Hames
Clifton K. Himmelsbach
Harold B. Jordan
M. James Peters

Group on Medical Education

STEERING

D. Dax Taylor, chairman
James B. Erdmann, executive secretary
Hugh D. Bennett
L. Thompson Bowles
John R. Graham
Jack D. Hain
Howard Levitin
Christine McGuire
Ethel Weinberg
W. Loren Williams, Jr.

Group on Public Relations

STEERING

Ken Niehans, chairman
Charles Fentress, executive secretary
Devra M. Breslow
Daniel H. Gashler
Georgia A. Herbert
Richard E. Miller
Joe H. Sigler
Helen M. Sims
Lawrence M. Strum
Beverly P. Wood

Group on Student Affairs

STEERING

Robert L. Tuttle, chairman
Robert L. Thompson, executive secretary
Jack Colwill
J. Woodruff Ewell
William Fleeson

W. W. Morris
 Bernard Nelson
 Robert L. Simmons
 Kevin Soden
 John Watson

FINANCIAL PROBLEMS OF MEDICAL STUDENTS

Geno Andreatta, chairman
 J. Woodruff Ewell
 Stephen R. Keasler
 Bernard W. Nelson
 John Steward
 W. Albert Sullivan
 George Warner

LIAISON WITH EXTERNAL ORGANIZATIONS

Diane J. Klepper, chairman
 Robert A. Green
 Marion Mann
 Horace Marvin
 Harold Simon
 Robert L. Tuttle
 Joseph L. Walters

MEDICAL EDUCATION OF MINORITY GROUP STUDENTS

John Watson, chairman
 Alonzo Atenzio
 Harry Clark
 James Curtis
 Mary Ellen Hartman
 Edgar B. Jackson, Jr.
 Reid Jackson
 Bernard W. Nelson
 William Sutton

MEDICAL STUDENT RECORDS

Thomas Morgan, chairman
 Rebecca Backenroth
 Alvin Beilby
 Miles Hench
 Bernard W. Nelson
 Mitchell Rosenholtz
 Karl Weaver

NOMINATIONS AND RULES

John Herweg
 Mary Ellen Hartman
 Horace N. Marvin

RELATIONS WITH COLLEGES AND APPLICANTS

George H. Adams, chairman
 Charles Chantell
 Patrick Connell
 John S. Graettinger
 Lester Kieft
 Roger Lambson
 Frederick E. Mapp
 Harvey I. Scudder
 Hartwell Thompson
 Robert L. Tuttle

TRANSFER PROCEDURES

Nicholas Danforth, chairman
 Michael Ashcraft
 Leo Henikoff
 T. Herman
 Stephen Miller
 Wallach Nelson
 Owen C. Peck
 George Rinker
 Robert L. Tuttle
 Richard Young

Health Services Advisory

Robert M. Heysel, chairman
 Clement R. Brown
 David R. Challoner
 Luther Christman
 Christopher C. Fordham III
 M. Alfred Haynes
 Richard L. Meiling
 Daniel L. Pearson
 Thomas E. Piemme
 Stuart M. Sessoms
 Anne R. Somers
 Robert J. Weiss
 John H. Westerman

SUBCOMMITTEE ON QUALITY OF CARE

Robert J. Weiss, chairman
 Clement R. Brown
 David R. Challoner
 Christopher C. Fordham III
 Richard L. Meiling
 John H. Westerman

TASK FORCE ON PRIMARY CARE

Thomas E. Piemme, chairman
 Steven A. Schroeder, cochairman

Clement R. Brown
Lynn Carmichael
Evan Charney
Thomas L. Delbanco
Alfred M. Sadler, Jr.
Henry Seidel
William H. Wiese
Hibbard Williams

International Relations in Medical Education

Frederick C. Robbins, chairman
Donald J. Caseley
Kurt Deuschle
H. Mead Cavert
Pierre M. Galletti
George Lythcott
Reuel A. Stallones
Kevin Soden

Journal of Medical Education Editorial Board

Edmund D. Pellegrino, chairman
Carlton P. Alexis
Barbara Bates
Ray E. Brown
Robert M. Bucher
Peter L. Eichman
Renée C. Fox
Ralph W. Ingersoll
Richard Janeway
Hilliard Jason
Peter V. Lee
Richard M. Magraw
Evan G. Pattishall, Jr.
Osler L. Peterson
Richard P. Schmidt
Robert S. Stone
Emanuel Suter
Jan R. Weber
John H. Westerman

Measurement of Personality

William Schofield, chairman
John L. Caughey, Jr.
Harold B. Haley
Betty Hosmer Mawardi
Woodrow W. Morris
Evan G. Pattishall, Jr.
John W. Williamson

Management Programs Coordinating

Ivan L. Bennett, Jr., chairman
Jane G. Elchlepp
Bernard J. Lachner
L. Edgar Lee, Jr.
Walter G. Rice
Clayton Rich
William B. Schwartz

**MANAGEMENT ADVANCEMENT PROGRAM
STEERING**

Ivan L. Bennett, Jr., chairman
J. Robert Buchanan
John A. Gronvall
Irving London
William D. Mayer
Donald N. Medearis, Jr.
Clayton Rich
Cheves McC. Smythe

MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT

Jane G. Elchlepp, chairman
Robert M. Bucher
Ben R. Forsyth
Bernard Lachner
Lucian Leape
L. Edgar Lee, Jr.
James C. Leming
Irving J. Lewis
Henry W. Riecken
John Rockart
Robert F. Sharp

Nominating

Cheves McC. Smythe, chairman
George Cartmill
Sam L. Clark, Jr.
Christopher C. Fordham III
John Gronvall

Planning Coordinators Group

STEERING

George Norwood, Jr., chairman
Gerlandino Agro, executive secretary
John C. Bartlett
Roger Bennett
Jane G. Elchlepp
John W. Hornback
David E. Price

Document from the collections of the AAMC Not to be reproduced without permission

Walter G. Rice
Kenneth Wheeler

RMP-CHP Committee

Stuart M. Sessoms, chairman
Andrew D. Hunt, Jr.
William S. Jordan, Jr.
Alexander M. Schmidt
William H. Stewart
James V. Warren
William R. Willard

RIME Program Planning

Joseph W. Hess, chairman
Jo Boufford
Charles W. Dohner
Ralph W. Ingersoll

Peter V. Lee
W. Loren Williams, Jr.

Resolutions

C. John Tupper, chairman
Sam L. Clark, Jr.
George Woods
Charles B. Womer

Veterans Administration Liaison

William G. Anlyan, chairman
Richard Ebert
Richard Egdahl
T. Albert Farmer
John Stagl
Robert Van Citters

AAMC Staff, 1972-73

Office of the President

President

John A. D. Cooper, M.D., Ph.D.

Special Assistant to the President

Bart Waldman

Division of Business Affairs

Director and Assistant Secretary-Treasurer

J. Trevor Thomas

Assistant Director

Samuel Morey

Assistant Comptroller

William Martin

Administrative Assistant

Linda Smiley

Division of Data Processing

Director

H. Paul Jolly, Ph.D.

Assistant Director

Jesse Darnell

Senior Systems Analyst

Jack Matthews

Division of Program Liaison and Evaluation

Director

Doris A. Howell, M.D.

Division of Publications

Director

Merrill T. McCord

Manuscript Editor

Rosemarie D. Hensel

Assistant Editor

Michele Black*

James Ingram

Staff Editor

Vickie Wilson

Assistant to Staff Editor

Daisy L. Harrison

Division of Public Relations

Director

Charles Fentress

Department of Academic Affairs

Director

August G. Swanson, M.D.

Deputy Director

William G. Cooper, Ph.D.

Assistant Director

Michael F. Ball, M.D.

Senior Staff Associate

Mary H. Littlemeyer

Division of Biomedical Research and Faculty Development

Director

Michael F. Ball, M.D.

Division of Curriculum and Instruction

Director

L. Thompson Bowles, M.D., Ph.D.*

Administrative Assistant

Jean White*

Division of Educational Measurement and Research

Director

James B. Erdmann, Ph.D.

Associate Director

Ayres D'Costa, Ph.D.

Assistant to the Director

Michael McGraw

Project Director, MCAAP

James Angel

Project Director, Longitudinal Study

Rosemary Yancik, Ph.D.

Research Associate

Bonnie Nelson

* Resigned

Research Assistant

Robert Feitz
Anne Schafer
Xenia Tonesk

Division of Educational Resources**Director**

William G. Cooper, Ph.D.

Technical Assistant

Jenny Johnson

AV Project Coordinator for Medicine

Norbert A. Jones, Ph.D.**

AV Project Coordinator for Dentistry

Robert M. Conner, D.D.S.**

Data Management Specialist

Edward L. Campbell**

Administrative Assistant

Phyllis M. Bevis**

Division of Student Programs and Services**Director**

Robert L. Thompson, Ed.D.

Assistant to the Director

Dorothy J. Joyce

Associate Director, Student Programs

Robert J. Boerner

Roy K. Jarecky, Ed.D.*

Staff Associate, Special Programs

Suzanne P. Dulcan

Associate Director, Minority Affairs

Dario O. Prieto

Associate Director, Student Services

Gerald Kurtz

Division of Student Studies**Director**

Davis G. Johnson, Ph.D.

Assistant Director

W. F. Dubé

Department of Health Services**Director**

James I. Hudson, M.D.

Robert H. Kalinowski, M.D.*

Staff Associate

Lily Engstrom

Special Consultant

Stephen J. Ackerman

**Department of
Institutional Development****Director**

Marjorie P. Wilson, M.D.

Deputy Director

Doris A. Howell, M.D.

Assistant Program Coordinator, MAP

Amber Jones

Administrative Assistant

Jan Mintz*

Staff Assistant

Evelyn Harrison

Division of Accreditation**Director**

James R. Schofield, M.D.

Administrative Assistant

Nan Oldham*

Nan Hayes

Division of Institutional Studies**Director**

Joseph A. Keyes, J.D.

Department of Teaching Hospitals**Director**

Richard M. Knapp, Ph.D.

Assistant Director

Dennis Pointer, Ph.D.

Staff Associate

Robert Carow

Armand Checker

Editor, COTH Report

Grace W. Beirne

**Department of Planning and
Policy Development****Director**

Joseph S. Murtaugh

Deputy Director

H. Paul Jolly, Ph.D.

* Resigned

** Located in Atlanta, Georgia

Division of Federal Liaison

Director

Prentice Bowsher

Legislative Analyst

James R. Wagner*

Rosemary L. Wilson

Linda Stokes

Division of Operational Studies

Director

H. Paul Jolly, Ph.D.

Assistant Director

Thomas J. Campbell*

Director, Institutional Profile

Gregory Fawcett, Ph.D.

Economic Analyst

Joseph Rosenthal

Senior Staff Associate

William Hilles

Staff Associate

Gerlandino Agro

Jonathan Bromberg

Stuart Fribush

Marcia Lane

Project Director, Faculty Roster

Thomas A. Larson

Assistant Project Director, Faculty Roster

Aarolyn Galbraith

Division of International Medical Education

Director

Emanuel Suter, M.D.

Research Associate

R. Kennon Guerry, M.D.*

Administrative Associate

Jack W. Swartwood

Administrative Assistant

Wendy Waddell

* Resigned