

MINUTES  
*of the*  
Forty-first Annual Meeting  
*of the*  
ASSOCIATION *of* AMERICAN  
MEDICAL COLLEGES



October 14-15-16, 1930

School of Medicine  
University of Colorado  
DENVER, COLORADO



*Office of the Secretary*  
25 East Washington Street  
Chicago, Illinois



**Minutes of the Proceedings of the Forty-first Annual Meeting of the Association of American Medical Colleges held in Denver, Colorado, October 14, 15 and 16, 1930.**

**First Day**

The opening session was held in the Outpatient Department of the University of Colorado School of Medicine, and was called to order at 10 a. m. by the vice-president, Dr. Maurice H. Rees, the president, Dr. William Darrach, being unable to be present.

Announcements were made by Dr. Rees as to local arrangements, and by the Secretary.

The reading of papers was then begun.

The first paper read was written by G. Canby Robinson, director of the New York Hospital and Cornell University Medical College Corporation, and, he being absent, was read by C. V. Morrill at Dr. Robinson's request. The paper was entitled "A Survey of Several Educational Experiments in American University Medical Colleges."

The discussion on this paper was participated in by B. C. H. Harvey, B. D. Myers, Alan M. Chesney, Irving S. Cutter, Frederick T. van Beuren, Jr., Percy T. Magan, E. P. Lyon and C. V. Morrill.

The next paper, entitled "Correlation Clinics in Freshman and Sophomore Years," was read by W. G. O'Brien, of the University of Minnesota.

It was discussed by B. D. Myers, C. W. M. Poynter, William Pepper, A. S. Begg and W. G. O'Brien.

Dr. H. E. Robertson, of the Mayo Foundation, followed with a paper entitled "Development of the Liaison Activities of a Department of Pathology."

Dr. W. A. Bloedorn, of George Washington University, read a paper entitled "Relation of Autopsies to Teaching."

These two papers were discussed jointly by H. G. Weiskotten, Louis B. Wilson, J. C. Simpson, D. J. Davis, A. C. Bachmeyer, John Wyckoff, H. E. Robertson and W. A. Bloedorn.

Dr. C. C. Bass exhibited pictures of the new Tulane clinic building recently erected in New Orleans.

An adjournment was taken at 1 o'clock.

---

Following a luncheon given by the university, the delegates spent the afternoon visiting the various departments of the medical school, and the hospital, in which they were specially interested.

In the evening a dinner was given at the Brown Palace Hotel. About 165 persons attended this dinner, the largest number ever in attendance on a similar occasion.

In the absence of President Darrach, his presidential address was read by his associate, Frederick T. van Beuren, Jr.

An address was also delivered by Dr. Henry Sewall, a member of the faculty of the School of Medicine of the University of Colorado, and well known for his researches in physiology.

### Second Day

The delegates were called to order by Vice-president Rees at 9:25 a. m.

The first paper of the day was read by Franklin G. Ebaugh, of the University of Colorado, entitled "Progress in Teaching Psychiatry."

It was discussed by W. G. O'Brien and B. D. Myers.

Robert C. Lewis, University of Colorado, read a paper entitled "Methods of Admitting Students."

Dr. F. A. Moss, George Washington University, and secretary of the Committee on Aptitude Tests, read the report of this committee.

Both these contributions were discussed by F. T. van Beuren, Jr., William Pepper, Beverly Douglas, W. C. MacTavish, E. S. Ryerson, L. S. Schmitt, Edward S. Thorpe, Jr., Irving S. Cutter, Fred C. Zapffe, Robert C. Lewis and F. A. Moss.

At this juncture, the secretary, Dr. Zapffe, took the chair at the request of Vice-president Rees.

Dr. Harold Rypins, Board of Medical Examiners of the State of New York, read a paper entitled "Relation of Association of American Medical Colleges to State Licensing Boards."

This paper was discussed by H. G. Weiskotten, E. S. Ryerson, S. W. Prowse, Irving S. Cutter, Edward H. Hume, B. C. H. Harvey, D. A. Strickler, B. D. Myers, J. C. Simpson and Louis B. Wilson.

An adjournment was taken at 1:30 o'clock.

After luncheon the delegates were taken on an automobile tour through the mountains and the country surrounding Denver.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

Wednesday Evening, October 15, 1930

The Executive Session of the Association of American Medical Colleges, held at the Brown Palace Hotel, Denver, Colorado, was called to order at 8:00 p. m. by Dr. Maurice H. Rees, vice-president of the Association, in the absence of President Darrach.

VICE-PRESIDENT REES: We will now have the roll call by the Secretary.

## Roll Call

SECRETARY ZAPFFE: The following fifty-eight colleges are represented by one or more delegates:

- University of Alabama School of Medicine.—Stuart Graves.  
 College of Medical Evangelists.—P. T. Magan; E. H. Risley.  
 University of California Medical School.—Langley Porter; L. S. Schmitt;  
 Esther Rosencrantz.  
 McGill University Faculty of Medicine.—J. C. Simpson.  
 University of Manitoba Faculty of Medicine.—S. W. Prowse; O. S. Waugh.  
 University of Toronto Faculty of Medicine.—E. Stanley Ryerson.  
 University of Colorado School of Medicine.—M. H. Rees.  
 George Washington University Medical School.—W. A. Bloedorn; Fred A.  
 Moss.  
 Howard University School of Medicine.—N. P. G. Adams.  
 Emory University School of Medicine.—Russell H. Oppenheimer.  
 University of Georgia Medical Department.—R. V. Lamar.  
 Loyola University School of Medicine.—P. J. Mahan.  
 Northwestern University Medical School.—Irving S. Cutter.  
 University of Chicago.—B. C. H. Harvey; Ernest E. Irons.  
 University of Illinois College of Medicine.—D. J. Davis.  
 Indiana University School of Medicine.—Burton D. Myers.  
 State University of Iowa College of Medicine.—Henry S. Houghton; John  
 T. McClintock.  
 University of Kansas School of Medicine.—H. R. Wahl; O. O. Stoland;  
 Henry C. Tracy.  
 University of Louisville School of Medicine.—John W. Moore.  
 Tulane University of Louisiana School of Medicine.—C. C. Bass.  
 Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine.—Alan M. Chesney.  
 University of Maryland School of Medicine.—J. M. H. Rowland.  
 Boston University School of Medicine.—A. S. Begg; Wm. D. Reid.  
 Harvard University Medical School.—Worth Hale.  
 Detroit College of Medicine and Surgery.—W. H. MacCracken.

- University of Michigan Medical School.—F. G. Novy.
- University of Minnesota Medical School.—E. P. Lyon; Wm. G. O'Brien.
- University of Minnesota Graduate School, Medical Department.—Louis B. Wilson; H. E. Robertson.
- University of Mississippi School of Medicine.—P. L. Mull.
- St. Louis University School of Medicine.—Chas. H. Nielson; D. M. Schoemaker; Wm. D. Collier.
- University of Missouri School of Medicine.—Edgar Allen.
- Dartmouth Medical College.—John P. Bowler.
- Albany Medical College.—H. S. Rypins.
- Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons.—F. T. van Beuren, Jr.
- Cornell University Medical College.—C. V. Morrill.
- New York Homeopathic Medical College.—Claude A. Burrett.
- New York Post Graduate Medical School.—Edward H. Hume.
- University and Bellevue Hospital Medical College.—John Wyckoff.
- Syracuse University College of Medicine.—H. G. Weiskotten.
- University of Buffalo Medical Department.—E. W. Koch.
- University of Nebraska College of Medicine.—C. W. M. Poynter.
- University of North Dakota School of Medicine.—H. E. French.
- Ohio State University College of Medicine.—R. J. Seymour; Clayton S. Smith.
- University of Cincinnati College of Medicine.—A. C. Bachmeyer; David A. Tucker.
- University of Oklahoma School of Medicine.—Leroy Long.
- Hahnemann Medical College.—W. A. Pearson.
- University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine.—William Pepper; Edward S. Thorpe.
- Medical College of the State of South Carolina.—W. F. R. Phillips.
- University of South Dakota College of Medicine.—G. R. Albertson.
- Meharry Medical College.—John J. Mullaney.
- University of Tennessee College of Medicine.—O. W. Hyman.
- Vanderbilt University School of Medicine.—Beverly Douglas.
- Baylor University College of Medicine.—W. H. Moursund.
- University of Utah School of Medicine.—B. I. Burns; Jas. P. Kerby.
- University of Vermont College of Medicine.—J. N. Jenne.
- Medical College of Virginia.—Lee E. Sutton, Jr.
- Marquette University School of Medicine.—A. H. Berens; Bernard F. McGrath.
- University of Wisconsin Medical School.—C. R. Bardeen.

### Absentees

The following twenty colleges are not represented:

Stanford University School of Medicine.  
 Georgetown University School of Medicine.  
 Tufts College Medical School.  
 Creighton University School of Medicine.  
 Temple University School of Medicine.  
 Long Island College of Medicine.  
 Wake Forest College School of Medicine.  
 Western Reserve University School of Medicine.  
 Jefferson Medical College.  
 University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine.  
 Woman's Medical College.  
 University of Philippines College of Medicine and Surgery.  
 University of Texas Department of Medicine.  
 University of Virginia Department of Medicine.  
 West Virginia University School of Medicine.  
 Yale Medical School.  
 Washington University Medical School.  
 University of Oregon School of Medicine.  
 University of North Carolina School of Medicine.  
 University of Pennsylvania Graduate School of Medicine.

### Others Present

Harold Rypins, secretary Medical Examining Board, State of New York; Everett S. Elwood, National Board of Examiners; William D. Cutter, University of Southern California School of Medicine; John C. Futrall and Frank Vinsonhaler, University of Arkansas School of Medicine; C. H. Stange, Iowa State College; W. C. MacTavish, Washington Square College of New York University; Alfred Owre, School of Dentistry, Columbia University.

VICE-PRESIDENT REES: The Secretary will now read the minutes of the last business session.

### Minutes of 1929 Meeting

SECRETARY ZAPFFE: The minutes of the last meeting were distributed and sent directly to the deans. Unless there is a particular wish to have these minutes read, I will offer them as published.

VICE-PRESIDENT REES: A motion to approve the minutes as published will be in order.

DR. A. S. BEGG (Boston University): I so move.

The motion was regularly seconded, was put to a vote and carried.

VICE-PRESIDENT REES: The Secretary's report is next in order.

## Report of Secretary-Treasurer

SECRETARY ZAPFFE: A full report on the activities of the Secretary's office during the year would probably require about two hours of your time and tax your patience.

Some of the members seem to be under the impression that all the Secretary's office has to do is get up the program and publish the JOURNAL. Those of you who have had anything to do with editorial work know what work a journal demands. The work in the office has increased very materially over that of last year. The number of people who are coming in to see me about things in connection with themselves personally, and their medical schools, is getting greater all the time, and the correspondence is getting heavier. This year for the first time I had 2000 letterheads printed, and I have not enough left to send out another round robin to the colleges.

### Membership

The membership of the Association at the present time consists of seventy-eight colleges. Sixty-five of those are four-year schools, ten are two-year schools, and three are graduate and postgraduate schools. One of the two-year schools, I understand, is making the first motions toward going on a four-year basis. Next year the University of Missouri will start with the junior year. Three of the four-year schools, as you know, are Canadian schools.

### Applications

There are before the Association three applications for membership which will be reported on by the Executive Council later. These three colleges are: University of Arkansas, University of Rochester and Duke University.

### Student Work Records

One of the jobs that fell to the lot of the Secretary was to comply with the resolution presented by Dr. Begg at Indianapolis two years ago. The resolution was adopted unanimously. It was to the effect that a report should be secured from all the colleges in membership on the work that was done by the freshman students of that year for the purpose of reporting back to the colleges of liberal arts from which these students came. The letters were sent out to secure the reports from the colleges, and the reports came in in due time. There was no effort made to secure any reports on those students who went into their own medical schools.

We attempted to make a uniform grading system. The grading systems as adopted by the different schools vary rather widely, and I had to work out a system which could be applied to all the schools. It may not be entirely satisfactory but it was the best that could be done. I felt that it would tell the colleges of liberal arts what their students were doing in the medical schools.

These students came from about 550 colleges. I made a list of every college with a complete list of the students who came from that college, the medical school they attended, and their records of performance.



There were 2315 students in the freshman class in the Fall of 1928 who went into medical schools other than those connected with their own universities, if the university from which they came had a medical school.

It was felt that to report back to the college the record a student had made in medical school would be of advantage to the faculty of that college engaged in teaching premedical students. The report would serve for checking up purposes.

### Attitude of Arts Colleges

This is really a very important piece of work. I received letters from liberal arts colleges, and I have had several presidents from liberal arts colleges come to see me to find out, first of all, just what the Association of American Medical Colleges would like to have them teach these premedical students. Second, they wanted to know whether it was possible to find out through the Association what these students did after they got into medical schools. A few of those gentlemen called on me after this was compiled and I showed them the records. They thought it was a most valuable piece of work and were extremely anxious to have the record for their particular college forwarded to them.

It ought to be continued.

### Committee on Aptitude Test

You heard this morning the report of the Committee on Aptitude Tests. In spite of the fact that the committee got \$500 to help it along in its work from a donor, the committee is still "minus" in its treasury. The Committee hopes eventually, if the aptitude test is adopted by the medical schools, as part, at least, of the method of selection of students for matriculation to the freshman year, it will be able to turn over to the Association some revenue. It is very likely that it can if all the schools cooperate, because last fall there were matriculated in the medical schools of this country 6250 freshman students.

### Analysis of Entrance Credentials

Recently I published in our JOURNAL an analysis of the entrance credentials of the students who matriculated in medical schools last Fall. I did the same thing the year before. That analysis was based on the best evidence obtainable. The 6,250 blanks which the students filled out, signed and turned over to their deans to be sent in to the office of the Council on Medical Education were analyzed.

### Lists of Fellowships

Last year, and again this year, there accompanied the JOURNAL of January as Part II a list of fellowships. The list that came in 1929 was a list of fellowships in undergraduate medical schools that were available to undergraduate medical students. The list that came in 1930 was of fellowships for graduates in medicine only. That list contained what was up to that time a complete list of all the foundations in this country that had any medical fellowships.

Since the publication of that list there has been added one foundation, the Macy Foundation of New York, on which we have not received any information up to the present time.

Those two supplements to the issue of the JOURNAL would not have been possible if the Association had not received support from the Rockefeller Foundation.

### Inspections

In the course of the year inspections, or rather visits, have been made to some membership colleges. With the exception of one school there was nothing unfavorable to report to the Executive Council. In the case of the one school, a very full report was made to the Council because it was felt that some action was needed. That school is the University of Mississippi.

It seems that no one from any organization had visited that school for fourteen years. No one knew anything about what was going on in that school. When the defects of the school were pointed out to the chancellor of the University he expressed an extreme willingness to conform to any rules laid down by this Association to make that school what it should be. The school is now in process of re-organization, under a new dean, and I think something will be effected.

You will hear further from the Executive Council as to what action was taken in regard to that particular case.

### The Journal

There is not much that I can tell you about the JOURNAL. You receive it every two months. The attempt is being made to make it as useful to you as possible. Anyone who has ever edited a journal of any sort will appreciate the work that is involved in digging out news, making abstracts from the medical literature of the world. A few of the colleges send in news regularly. In the main, I am dependent on getting the news from newspaper clippings and whatever other source I can tap.

May I suggest that each dean delegate his secretary to send me the news every month. It would make the job considerably easier and the news more reliable. Whatever happens in your school is news to every other school in the country. Whether a man leaves you or is promoted or is demoted, whether you get in a new man or whether some one resigned or died, that is news.

I feel that future issues of the JOURNAL should contain an additional department by way of news that would be of extreme interest to you. That sort of news might be called "administration" news. We could, for instance, publish a sample copy of a record card or what not that a certain medical school has gotten up and feels is better than anything it has ever seen. That will help the administrative officers of the medical schools.

The number of copies published each issue has increased very considerably. The JOURNAL is attracting attention every where. It is even attracting the attention of advertisers. They are beginning to recognize our JOURNAL.

If there is anything that anyone feels should be included in the JOURNAL, they ought to notify the editor so that he can make some effort to supply any want. Your help will be appreciated.

### Finances

The seventy-eight colleges in membership are contributing \$7800 per year. Outside of the advertising, which merely helps to defray part of the cost of publication of the JOURNAL, there is no other income. The total expenditure last year was \$8,531.28.

The general Association expenses for the year were \$4,977.57. The JOURNAL expense was \$3,553.71, a total of \$8,531.28. The cash balance on hand today is \$5,634.80.

### Cost of Journal

I have drawn up a comparative statement of the cost of the JOURNAL to the Association.

In 1927-1928 the cost of the JOURNAL was \$3,185.17 which, less \$882.76 received for advertising, made a net cost of \$2,302.41. To that had to be added the postage and the clerical help which amounted to \$355.03, or a total net cost to the Association of \$2,657.44.

For the year 1928-1929 the cost was approximately the same, \$3,107 as against \$3,185. The advertising increased from \$882 to \$1,088, leaving a net cost of \$2,018 as against \$2,302. The clerical help had increased \$10, to \$365, making the actual net cost of the JOURNAL to the Association \$2,383 as against \$2,657 the year before.

For 1929-1930 the cost of the JOURNAL was \$3,184, a trifle higher than the year previous and a little bit lower than the year before that.

It costs a lot of money to set tables. Each year we have had at least one article, which involves setting a large number of tables and they are extremely expensive because they have to be hand set. Still the cost was kept down to \$3,184. The advertising brought in a revenue for the five issues (thus far published this year) of \$2,023, an increase of almost \$1,000 over the four issues of the previous years, which brings the net cost of the JOURNAL down to \$1,160 so far. With a \$4 increase in postage and clerical help, \$369, the net cost of the five issues for the JOURNAL this year is \$1,530. If we add the approximate net cost of the sixth issue for this year by ratio on the basis of \$1,500 for five issues, the six issues will cost not to exceed \$1,900 as against \$2,383 last year and \$2,657 the year before.

This report was submitted to an Auditing Committee which reports, October 15, 1930: "The above report has been this day checked by vouchers, audited and found correct.

(Signed)

HENRY S. HOUGHTON

JOHN P. BOWLER

On motion of Dr. Henry S. Houghton, regularly seconded, the report was approved.

### Place of 1931 Meeting

Dr. H. G. Weiskotten, Syracuse University, moved to take up as a special order of business at this time the next place of meeting. Dr. Henry S. Houghton (University of Iowa) seconded the motion.

The motion was put to a vote and carried.

The Secretary read invitations for the next place of meeting from Memphis, Tennessee, and called attention to the invitation of Dr. Bass to meet in New Orleans in 1931.

Invitations to hold the 1932 meeting in the city of Cincinnati and the city of Nashville, and an invitation to meet in Iowa City in 1933 were also read.

Dr. C. C. Bass seconded the invitations presented by the city of New Orleans.

Dr. O. W. Hyman extended an invitation for the 1931 meeting to be held in Memphis.

The question was put to a vote by the chairman and was decided in favor of New Orleans (New Orleans, 28 votes; Memphis, 16 votes).

Dr. E. P. Lyon (University of Minnesota) extended an invitation to meet in Minneapolis in 1932.

### Report of Executive Council

DR. IRVING S. CUTTER (Northwestern University): The Executive Council has considered the applications of three schools for membership. The University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, was inspected, and it is recommended that the University of Rochester be accepted in membership.

The application of Duke University was received before the school fully organized and no inspection has been made. It is the recommendation of the Council that the application of Duke University be approved subject to the usual inspection.

The University of Arkansas was inspected by the Secretary. A number of things about the school were found to disqualify the school on the standards now held by the Association. Many of these were called to the attention of the authorities of the University of Arkansas and a consultation has been held with them at this meeting. It is recommended by the Council that action on the University of Arkansas' application be withheld for one year, pending further improvements which they know about and which they expect to make in the near future.

They have made very definite and modern progress in the re-organization of their teaching. In addition to that, they have greatly strengthened their faculty, and probably nothing has been so salutary to their organization as the inspection and the recommendations that have been made to them.

It is recommended that the application of the University of Rochester School of Medicine be accepted, and that the application of Duke University School of Medicine be accepted subject to inspection.

As a result of the inspection by the Secretary and other information which reached the Association relative to the University of Mississippi, the Council desires to recommend that the School of Medicine of the University of Mississippi be placed on probation for one year.

This is done very definitely with the idea that this move will help the School of Medicine of the University of Mississippi, and that if destructive measures should be inaugurated by unfriendly university authorities this action will be a deterrent thereto.

The next item is a curious one and I hardly know how to state it. The Commission on Medical Education, which was launched at the meeting of this Association five years ago, was constituted for a period of five years. Their work has not as yet been completed, and many of the member schools in this Association have recently received letters from the Commission on Medical Education urging that the schools renew their subscriptions for an ensuing period of five years.

After much discussion, the Council desires to place itself on record as follows, and this need not be adopted as a rule of the Association unless the Association so desires, but it is simply the recommendation of the Council: The period for which the Commission on Medical Education was organized having elapsed, the Executive Council feels that it can no longer recommend to the schools in membership in the Association a continuance of their financial support to the Commission.

The feeling was that such studies as might be undertaken by the Commission to complete the report should be financed by the Commission and that subsequent and new studies should emanate from this Association and be more directly and vitally concerned with the affairs of the constituent schools.

I hope it is perfectly clear that even if this should become the rule of this body that any school choosing to continue its support to the Commission on Medical Education would not in any sense be contravening the rule of the Association. It is simply the recommendation of the Council, that a resolution made five years ago, when this matter was first launched, is now withdrawn.

In connection with the proposed increase in dues of the constituent members of the Association, the Executive Council feels that not only they as a body but all the committees of the entire Association are in a very definite sense futile. The absence of a specific budget means that the Association is very definitely limited in its activities.. Merely to get together and read some papers which are inspirational and informational, and definitely of use, drops the thing when it is starting without a real "carry on" or follow up that does the real job.

The Council feels that a budget of approximately \$18,000 to \$20,000 is necessary if this Association is to take an important place in the march of progress in medical education. If this Association does not do it within a short time some other agency and some other body will do it.

When I brought this matter of the increase in dues before the faculty at Northwestern and also before the president of the university, he was in receipt of a request from the Commission on Medical Education to renew the subscription or add to it if the university so chose, and the faculty voted that such additional funds as would normally come for educational investigation would be payable by Northwestern University to this Association first rather than to any other organized body.

It is the desire of the Council to be in a position to recommend to you a year hence a definite budget and a definite program. If the dues are raised at this meeting, the Council desires to call your attention to the fact that they are not due or payable until September of this coming year and that we would have an entire year after voting for it to develop a real constructive program and to submit a budget. The budget would naturally come before you for adoption a year hence, if the dues are raised, together with such amendments to the Constitution and By-laws as may be necessitated thereby.

(Signed) B. D. MYERS  
 A. S. BEGG  
 M. H. REES  
 FRED C. ZAPFFE  
 IRVING S. CUTTER, Chairman

The various items in the report were considered and voted on separately. Dr. W. F. R. Phillips, Medical College of the State of South Carolina, moved that the recommendation with reference to the application of the University of Arkansas School of Medicine be adopted. The motion was seconded by Dr. John T. McClintock, University of Iowa, and duly carried unanimously.

The recommendation with reference to the application of the University of Rochester, and the one with reference to the Duke University application were voted on together, Dr. Phillips moving their adoption. The motion was seconded by Dr. Edward H. Hume, New York Post Graduate Medical School, and carried unanimously.

The recommendation with reference to the University of Mississippi School of Medicine was accepted unanimously on motion made by Dr. E. P. Lyon, University of Minnesota, and seconded by Dr. John Wyckoff, New York University.

On motion of Dr. W. F. R. Phillips, seconded by Dr. Percy T. Magan, College of Medical Evangelists, the recommendation with reference to continuing financial support of the Commission on Medical Education was adopted.

### Report of Committee on Aptitude Test

DR. H. G. WEISKOTTEN (Syracuse University): In the absence of Dr. Sollmann, I presented the recommendations in connection with the report of the Committee on Aptitude Tests, the special committee which was appointed last year.

We recommend the following:

1. That the Association record its sense of the importance of the study and of the value of aptitude tests in relation to the selection of students for medical schools.

2. That the Association recommend the use of aptitude tests as one additional criterion for selecting medical students, suggesting that as long as the work of this committee is continued the individual colleges make the Association of American Medical Colleges aptitude test a normal requirement except when specifically excused by the admission officers of the college.

3. That next year the tests be given the same day in all the premedical schools in the United States and a distribution showing the standing of all the applicants together with the names and scores of the individuals applying to each school be sent to the dean of the medical school concerned.

4. That \$1.00 be charged each applicant to cover the expenses of this service of the Committee.

On motion of Dr. E. P. Lyon, University of Minnesota, seconded by Dr. B. C. H. Harvey, University of Chicago, recommendations were adopted.

### Committee on Intern Training

Dr. Irving S. Cutter, Northwestern University, chairman, asked for permission to publish the report in the JOURNAL.

Dr. W. F. R. Phillips, Medical College of South Carolina, asked whether there are any recommendations in the report.

Dr. Cutter replied that the recommendation may be briefly stated as follows: A great many schools are now on the accepted list for interns made by the American Medical Association, the separate school lists and the list of the American College of Surgeons. Many of the hospitals on these lists are not doing real teaching and, as it was called to the attention of the membership of this Association last year, the intern year is fundamentally the most valuable year of the student's career. It is the desire of this Committee to formulate a list that will grow from year to year and increase in value, on which only those hospitals shall be mentioned whose staffs are doing sound and constructive teaching.

A study has been made of something over 5000 medical students covering graduation from several schools in the last five years, and the report shows that students vary greatly in their estimates of given hospitals. For instance, five students may be interning at the same hospital. Three of them report it good and two report it poor. An analysis of their reports will frequently show that the three who reported it good had a choice of service which really constituted a good internship. The two who reported it poor had a sequence of service which made the internship unproductive.

Studies of that sort cannot be completed in a comparatively short time. While over 5000 answers have been received from students who have served internships, all of the data have not been adequately compiled.

Minutes 41st Ann. Meeting -  
Oct 14-16, 1930

There is also this factor that has come out, namely that many students who reported upon internships as good five years ago report upon those same internships in the light of their problems which they are facing in the practice of medicine as being unusually poor. In other words, a canvass was made not only of the intern's expression of opinion at the conclusion of his hospital internship period but at the end of, say, a five-year period thereafter. I think that involves us in another phase of that study.

On motion of Dr. A. S. Begg, Boston University, seconded by Dr. W. F. R. Phillips, Medical College of South Carolina, the report was accepted and placed on file.

### Committee on Medical Education and Pedagogics

The Committee again this year considered the subject of premedical requirements and especially the proposal to state these requirements in terms of course content rather than in terms of semester hours. The Committee feels that it should be the policy of this Association to require broad general courses dealing with general principles and that, at least at the present time, it would not be in the best interests of this policy to endeavor in any way to restate the entrance requirements.

✓ The Committee feels that this Association should recognize its responsibility in regard to the increasingly important subject of graduate medical education, and that further careful study be carried on in regard to the matter. In this connection the Committee feels that it would probably be unwise at any time to specify in detail the content of such graduate study but does feel that the Association should formulate certain general principles and policies in regard to it.

The Committee was requested by one of the member colleges to consider the matter of the teaching of physical therapy in the undergraduate course in medicine. Although recognizing the value of the work and the spirit of the request, the Committee feels that it is contrary to the present policy of the Association to make any definite suggestions in regard to the details of the medical curriculum.

The Committee also considered a communication from the Committee on Fractures, of the American College of Surgeons expressing a desire to cooperate with this Association and asking approval of the recommendations in regard to the teaching of fractures. Here, again, although recognizing the great value of this work, the Committee feels that it is contrary to the present policy of this Association to take any definite action in regard to the matter.

(Signed)

H. G. WEISKOTTEN, Chairman  
JOHN WYCKOFF  
E. S. RYERSON  
FREDERICK T. VAN BEUREN, JR.



Dr. Percy T. Magan, College of Medical Evangelists, moved that the report be adopted. Dr. Beverly Douglas, Vanderbilt University, seconded the motion. The motion was put to a vote and carried.

### Committee on Nursing Schools

Dr. A. C. Bachmeyer, University of Cincinnati, stated that owing to the illness of the chairman of the committee, Dr. C. P. Emerson, a report could not be made at this time.

On motion, duly seconded, the committee was continued, and asked to report next year.

The secretary stated that there are two amendments before the Association. The first is: The Committee on Medical Education and Pedagogics has suggested that the name of this Committee be changed to "Committee on Educational Policies."

Dr. L. S. Schmitt, University of California, moved that the By-laws be so amended. Dr. Edward H. Hume, New York Post Graduate Medical School, seconded the motion. The motion was put to a vote and carried.

The secretary stated that the seconded amendment was presented at the 1929 meeting by Syracuse University School of Medicine, and proposes to amend Article III, Section 5, Dues, to read as follows: "The annual dues shall be \$250, payable in advance not later than February first \* \* \*," the remainder of the Section remaining unchanged.

Dr. A. S. Begg, Boston University, moved the adoption of this amendment.

Dr. B. D. Myers, Indiana University, seconded the motion.

The motion was put to a vote and carried (thirty-eight in favor, and seven opposed).

### Report of Nominating Committee

The Nominating Committee, consisting of Drs. Wyckoff, John W. Moore and A. C. Bachmeyer reported the following recommendations for the officers for the ensuing year:

President, Maurice H. Rees.

Vice-president, C. C. Bass .

Secretary-Treasurer, Fred C. Zapffe.

Members of the Executive Council:

Dr. A. S. Begg.

Dr. B. D. Myers.

A motion to adopt the report of the Nominating Committee was made and seconded, put to a vote and carried.

The secretary was instructed to cast the ballot for the election of the nominees. He did so.

PRESIDENT REES: I assure you that I greatly appreciate this honor that you have given me. In fact, I consider it the greatest honor which I have ever received. I feel that I have almost been an executive officer this year and

this is sort of a double header, so I hope you will bear with me for another year in the trials and tribulations of the Presidency of this Association.

I thank you for the confidence which you have placed in me.

Dr. L. S. Schmitt, University of California, moved the thanks of the Association to Dean Rees and the Medical School of the University of Colorado for the hospitality extended during this meeting.

The motion was severally seconded and carried unanimously by a rising vote.

Following an announcement by the President, the meeting adjourned at 9:45 p. m.

### Third Day

The meeting was called to order by President Rees at 9:45 a. m.

H. R. Wahl, University of Kansas, read a paper entitled "Admission of Patients from Outpatient Clinic to Hospital."

The paper was discussed by C. R. Bardeen, Beverly Douglas, A. C. Bachmeyer, M. H. Rees, James P. Kerby, Alfred Owre and H. R. Wahl.

Edward S. Thorpe, Jr., University of Pennsylvania, followed with a paper entitled "Relative Value of Cultural Courses in Premedical Training" and E. P. Lyon, University of Minnesota, read a paper entitled "Cultural Value of the Medical Curriculum."

These two papers were discussed by J. C. Simpson, F. G. Novy, Langley Porter, B. D. Myers, W. C. MacTavish, S. W. Prowse, Louis B. Wilson, Edward S. Thorpe, Jr., and E. P. Lyon.

James P. Kerby, University of Utah, read a paper entitled "Utilization of the Roentgen Ray in the Teaching of Anatomy and Physiology."

The paper was discussed by C. W. M. Poynter, H. H. Harvey, C. R. Bardeen and James P. Kerby.

Esther Rosencrantz, University of California, read the final paper on the program, entitled "Teaching of Tuberculosis."

This paper was discussed by Harry Corper, I. D. Bronfin, Langley Porter and Esther Rosencrantz.

There being no further business to come before the Association, a motion to adjourn was made, seconded and carried.

(Signed) MAURICE H. REES, President  
FRED C. ZAPFFE, Secretary

**Minutes of the Organization Meeting of the Executive Council held in the dean's office, School of Medicine, University of Colorado, Oct. 16, 1930, at 2:30 p. m.**

Those present were: M. H. Rees, A. S. Begg, B. D. Myers and Fred C. Zapffe.

The meeting was called to order by the secretary.

On motion of Dr. Begg, seconded by Dr. Rees, Dr. Myers was duly elected chairman of the Council for the ensuing year.

The following committees were appointed: Committee on Education Policies: H. G. Weiskotten, chairman; E. S. Ryerson, F. T. van Beuren, Jr., John Wyckoff and Louis B. Wilson.

Committee on Aptitude Test: Torald Sollmann, chairman; F. A. Moss, secretary; Edw. A. Koch, Beverly Douglas and H. G. Weiskotten.

Committee on Nurses' Training Schools: A. C. Bachmeyer, chairman; C. P. Emerson and A. M. Schwitalla.

The Committee on Intern Training was continued with its present membership.

On motion of Dr. Begg, seconded by Dr. Rees, the secretary was instructed to secure a mail vote as to preference of the colleges for the time of the 1931 meeting in New Orleans. It was felt that for geographic reasons it might be desirable to hold the meeting at a later time than that provided for by resolution. It was suggested that Dr. Bass be consulted.

On motion of Dr. Begg, seconded by Dr. Rees, the secretary was voted an honorarium of \$3,000 for the current year.

On motion, the Council adjourned to meet in Chicago during the Congress on Medical Education sometime in February, 1931.

(Signed) B. D. MYERS, Chairman.

FRED C. ZAPFFE, Secretary.

**Minutes of Meeting of Executive Council held in Chicago, February 17, 1931**

A called meeting of the Executive Council was held on February 17, 1931, at 4:30 p. m. in the Palmer House, Chicago.

The members of the Council present were: B. D. Myers, Irving S. Cutter, M. H. Rees, A. S. Begg and Fred C. Zapffe. Dr. Bass, vice-president of the Association, was also present.

The secretary stated that he had asked for a mail vote of all the colleges in membership as to the most desirable date for the New Orleans meeting. Seventy-seven colleges voted. Forty-six voted for the date, November 30, December 1 and 2.

This being a majority of the votes cast, it was moved and seconded that the forty-second annual meeting of the Association be held on the dates stated. The motion was duly passed.

The secretary reported the results of his inspection of Duke University School of Medicine on February 3, 4 and 5, and recommended that the application be accepted. The Executive Council having been empowered at the annual meeting held in Denver, October 15, 1930, to act on the recommendation of the inspector, if it agreed therewith, entertained a motion to accept. The motion was made and seconded and passed unanimously. Duke University School of Medicine was declared to be in full membership in the Association.

The secretary presented the program that had been prepared for the New Orleans meeting. With two exceptions the program met with the approval of the Council. The secretary was instructed to notify the authors of two papers offered that for stated reasons it would be preferable to place them on the program for the 1932 meeting, if that met with their approval.

It was moved, seconded and carried that the salary of the secretary be increased for the year September, 1930, to September, 1931, by \$1,500 to a total of \$4,500.

The following finance committee was appointed: Irving S. Cutter, chairman; B. D. Myers and Fred C. Zapffe.

The Council then adjourned.

(Signed) B. D. MYERS, Chairman.  
FRED C. ZAPFFE, Secretary.