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Objectives:

● Describe the gender differences present in the personal and professional sphere.
● Identify individual and systemic barriers that are prohibitive of female faculty advancement.
● Explore additional challenges faced by female faculty who are underrepresented in medicine as they progress along the academic continuum.
● Define strategies that can be implemented at the institutional level to improve faculty and leadership training/development.
**Definition of Terms**

**Women of Color**: terminology used to depict a community of women with multiple intersecting ethnic, racial, and gender identities. Often, these communities of individuals share social, political, and historical experiences and backgrounds. Intersectional experiences cannot be explained by one identity alone.

**Intersectionality**: the ways in which multiple identities (i.e., gender, class, race, immigration status, ethnicity) overlap and combine with one another to contribute to unique experiences of marginalization.

**Underrepresented in Medicine (URM)**: Underrepresented in medicine means those racial and ethnic populations that are underrepresented in the medical profession relative to their numbers in the general population (AAMC, 2004).

*Please note that institutions vary on the groups of individuals that they classify as URM. Because of the variations in definition amongst medical schools, not all women faculty of color are necessary considered to be URM faculty/students at their local institution.
Gender Differences in Personal and Professional Sphere

Women are limited by gendered barriers that are systematically produced and reproduced to foster harmful stereotypes that reinforce false notions that women are inferior to male counterparts (e.g. “women are emotional and less business savvy”). These stereotypes inform and reinforce gender discrimination in the home and the workplace (Burgess et al. 2012).

Biases against women are particularly prevalent in traditionally male dominated fields such as science, technology, engineering, and medicine (STEM).
Women in Leadership

Because of the gender stereotypes and unconscious biases that plague women in medicine and science, women are underrepresented in medical leadership, are given less funding than their male counterparts for research/grants, are promoted at lesser rates than their male counterparts, are more likely to experience isolation and exclusion from opportunities to advance in their medical careers, face barriers due to stereotype threat, and are more likely to have their issues conflated with familial issues in the workplace (Carr, 2003; Burgess et al., 2012; Corrice, 2009; Levine, 2013)
Underrepresented Women in Leadership

- Women with intersectional identities—specifically those of gender and race—often experience exacerbated gender discrimination in the workplace (Davis & Maldanado, 2015; Pololi and Jones, 2010).
  - One example of where women faculty of color experience increased discrimination is representation in academic leadership. While women comprise smaller percentages than their male counterparts in leadership positions throughout academic medicine, women faculty of color make up even smaller percentages of those same leadership positions (Lautenberger et al. 2016).
Underrepresented Women in Medicine

AAMC definition: "Underrepresented in medicine means those racial and ethnic populations that are underrepresented in the medical profession relative to their numbers in the general population” (AAMC, March 19, 2004).

“Double Disadvantage” (Pololi and Jones, 2010)

- Minority URM women (Rodriguez, Campbell, & Pololi, 2015).
- Non-MD faculty (PhD’s with doctoral degrees)

Deville, C., et al. (JAMA, 2015)
Underrepresented Women in Leadership

- 16% of women are chairs (372/2675) (AAMC, 2014)
- 1.3% of women chairs are underrepresented minorities (35/2675) (AAMC, 2014)
- 12% of women are in the “C-suite” (highest level executives; chief executive officer, chief financial officer) (Joliff et al. AAMC 2012; Travis et al., 2013)
- Greater representation in medical school deans’ offices
  - 16% of department Chairs
  - 21%
  - 44% assistant deans
  - 37% associate deans
  - 32% senior associate deans (Joliff et al. AAMC 2012; Travis et al., 2013)
Contributions of Underrepresented Minority Faculty

- Improve public health-access to care in underserved communities, (US Office of Disease and Health Promotion, 2010; Nivet, 2008)

- Expand research agenda (Cohen, J et al., 2002; Nivet, 2008; King, TE, et al, 2004; Nivet, 2008)

- Improve teaching of all students (Umbach, P., 2006; Nivet, 2008)
  - Diverse faculty use different pedagogical approaches that could lead to increased student learning
  - Benefit the learning environment

Nivet, 2008
“Leaky” Pipeline for URM Faculty

• Lack of:
  ▪ Welcoming environment; racial and ethnic bias and discrimination (Person et al., 2015; Nivet et al., 2008)
  ▪ Diversity and mentors among senior faculty (Nivet et al., 2008)
  ▪ Pathways to promotion (i.e. clinical track) (Palepu, et al., 1998; Nivet, 2009)
  ▪ “Social capital” and networking opportunities (Coleman, 1998; Nivet 2009)
• Disillusionment with academic medicine as a career pathway
“Leaky” Pipeline for URM Faculty

- Decision to participate in diversity-related activities, driven by personal commitment and institutional pressure
- Detection and reaction to discrimination
- Disconnect between intention and implementation of institutional efforts to increase diversity
- Need for a multifaceted approach to mentorship

Mahoney et al., 2008
Leaving Institution/Academia

This table includes feedback reported by both men and women faculty (Pololi et al., 2012)

Figure 1 Predictors of intention to leave their institution or academic medicine for dissatisfaction. The figure summarizes the authors’ findings concerning faculty who were considering leaving their institution or academic medicine. The findings are from a 2007–2009 survey of 4,578 faculty at 26 representative U.S. medical schools; 1,994 provided complete data (43%).

Pololi et al. 2012
URM Barriers to Academic Promotion
URM Barriers to Academic Promotion

- Ethnic and racial bias and discrimination
- Isolation and reduced networking opportunities
- Insufficient time for activities that lead to promotion
- Financial resources limited
- Limited understanding of requirements necessary for faculty success

Nivet, 2008
Current Gender Climate

Varied perceptions regarding current gender climate

Continued lack of parity:
- Rank and leadership
- Talent development
- Retention
- Compensation equity
- Grant support

Burden of family responsibilities and work-life balance on career progression is disproportionate

Carr et al., 2015
URM Women Faculty Barriers

- Financial resources* - not as prevalent for women as a whole
- Inadequate career counseling
- High attrition rates
- Poor support network
- Competition for candidates
- Anti-affirmative action legislation
- Limited programs focused specifically on minority women faculty
Institutional and Individual Strategies
Institutional Strategies:

- Diversity 3.0 (Nivet, 2011; 2015)
  - Broad definition of diversity that is inclusive
  - Diversity and inclusion as a means to “build innovative, high-performing organizations.”
- Institutional assessment of workforce diversity, climate, and cultural competence inclusive of gender-based education offerings
- Diversity infrastructure (Peek et al., 2013)
- Diversity statements/policies
  - Commitment to an inclusive and diverse learning environment and workforce (Nivet, 2011, 2015)
Institutional Strategies: Recruitment and Retention

• Recruit and develop minority faculty (Peek, 2013)
  ▪ Human capital and social relationships
  ▪ Institutional support/resources

• Educate leaders, faculty and staff regarding the impact of unconscious bias
  ▪ Review, hiring, and promotional processes

• Focus on education as a tool to foster URM and gender awareness training (Nivet, 2011, 2015)
Institutional Strategies:

• Develop specific programming for minority women faculty (Wong, 2001)

• Create mentorship programming for women in multiple role management and planning (Carr, 2015)

• Enhance opportunities for sponsorship for women faculty (Travis et al., 2013)

• Consider a reduction of the commitment taxation: “brown tax” or “black tax” (Peek et al., 2013)

• Provide resilience-centered skill development (Cora-Bramble, et al. 2010)
Institutional Strategies:

- Assess and address climate issues
  - Institutional climate-disconnect between personal priorities and institution’s (Levine, Carr, 2015)
- Create institutional report card for gender and racial equity
Institutional Strategies: Increase Women’s Access to Leadership

- Educate about second generation gender bias “work cultures and practices that appear neutral and natural on their face…reflect masculine values and life situations of men who have been dominant in the development of traditional work settings.” (Ibarra et al., 2013 and Carter 2011)

- Create safe identity workplaces to support learning, experimentation, and community that also facilitate transitions to bigger roles

- Anchor women’s development efforts in a sense of leadership purpose rather than in how women are perceived
Individual Strategies:

• Obtain opportunities for leadership training and faculty development
• Seek both mentorship and sponsorship
• Maximize personal support networks (internal and external)
• Identify an institutional environment that promotes faculty engagement and inclusion, and one whose values are aligned with your personal values
Faculty Development: Leadership Training A Systematic Review

• 48 articles described 41 studies that included 35 interventions (1985-2010)

• Non-specific and focused populations: women, junior faculty, senior faculty

• 6 of the studies focused on the ELAM (Executive Leadership in Academic Medicine) intervention

• Majority clinical faculty in family medicine and pediatrics

• Short and long-term interventions

Steinert, Naismith & Mann, 2012
Faculty Development: Leadership Training A Systematic Review

- Leadership topics
  - Conflict management and negotiation
  - Budgeting and financial management
  - Leadership theory and concepts
  - People management and performance issues
  - Networking, team-building and mentoring
  - Organizational structure and culture
  - Change management
  - Strategic planning and problem-solving
  - Time management
  - Personal leadership styles
  - Continuous quality improvement

Steinert, Naismith & Mann, 2012
Faculty Development: Leadership Training A Systematic Review

• Leadership faculty development findings
  • Endorsed value in participating
  • Identified change in attitudes towards organizational contexts and leadership roles
  • Gained leadership skills (i.e. change management, conflict resolution, personal effectiveness, interpersonal communication)
  • Improved knowledge (i.e. organizational development, leadership styles, strategic planning)
  • Changed leadership behaviors (i.e. leadership style, applied leadership skills in the workplace environment)

Steinert, Naismith & Mann, 2012
Faculty Development: Leadership Training A Systematic Review

- Implications for planning faculty development leadership offerings
  - Define focus of leadership training
  - Utilize theory in the development and design of programming
  - Incorporate elements previously described in the literature that are associated with positive outcomes
  - Consider the relevance of context (course faculty, organizational culture, program curriculum)
  - Extend program length to allow for longitudinal growth, practice and learning
  - Include communities of practice and work-based learning into the planning of the intervention

Steinert, Naismith & Mann, 2012
Institutional Considerations: Training and Mentorship

- Improve access and quality of leadership training and faculty development (i.e. Career Coaching, degree and certificate programs)
- Maintain sustained mentorship offerings for clinicians, educators, and researchers at all ranks (internal and external leaders and consultants)
- Define goals and tracks to promotion
- Provide assistance for managing work-life balance factors
- Implement continuous quality improvement and assessment of leadership training, faculty development, and mentorship programming
Institutional Considerations: Child and Eldercare

- Offer child-care and elder-care programming
- Provide on site facilities
  - Child day care
  - Child care extended hours
  - Eldercare
- Subsidize back up childcare (i.e. illness)
- Flex or compression of work schedules
- Assist with child/dependent related costs
  - Adoption assistance
  - Paid leave for birth of child or adoption
Institutional Considerations: Financial Support Strategies

- Offer flexible family care spending in grants
- Create gender neutral award programs for primary care givers, provide extra hands awards specifically for technicians, administrative assistance or post doc fellows
- Offer financial assistance to alleviate domestic responsibilities (i.e. childcare, tuition costs for children/dependents, eldercare)
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